```
N1B6HAD1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
     -----x
 2
3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                             20 CR 468 (RMB)
                V.
                                             Trial
5
     ROBERT HADDEN,
6
                    Defendant.
 7
            -----x
 8
                                             New York, N.Y.
                                             January 11, 2023
9
                                             9:00 a.m.
     Before:
10
                         HON. RICHARD M. BERMAN,
11
                                             District Judge
12
                                             -and a jury-
                               APPEARANCES
13
14
     DAMIAN WILLIAMS,
          United States Attorney for the
15
          Southern District of New York
     BY: PAUL MONTELEONI
          JANE KIM
16
          LARA POMERANTZ
17
          Assistant United States Attorneys
     DAVID PATTON
18
     FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK, INC.
          Attorney for Defendant
19
     BY: DEIRDRE D. VON DORNUM
20
          MICHAEL WEIL
          KATHRYN WOZENCRAFT
21
     Also Present:
22
     Aaron Spivack, FBI Special Agent
     Connor Hamill, USAO Paralegal
     Sarah Howard, Investigator
23
     Caroline Kissick, Federal Defenders Paralegal
24
25
```

1 (Trial resumed; jury not present) 2 THE COURT: So if we can go over the list of witnesses just briefly. I think it's pretty much consented to. I just 3 4 want to know who's still disputed and who isn't. So if you can 5 just briefly tell us who is coming today. 6 MS. KIM: Sure. The witnesses we're planning to call 7 today are Ms. Anderson, who will have some more direct and cross-examination. 8 9 THE COURT: Yep. 10 MS. KIM: Followed by Keyvan Gabbay, followed by 11 Dr. Lisa Rocchio. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. And as to whom there's no 13 dispute? 14 MS. KIM: Correct. 15 THE COURT: Okay. MS. KIM: Followed by Sara Stein. 16 17 THE COURT: Yep. An indicted --18 MS. KIM: Yes. And then we also plan to call Rosalina Lozada, who is a Columbia employee. She is one of the nurses. 19 20 THE COURT: One of the two? 21 MS. KIM: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Okay. So this is in terms of time, I 23 think this can all be done today? 24 Yes. We believe so, your Honor. MS. KIM: And with 25 respect to Ms. Lozada, we would just note, we had her be

available for several trial days. She is not available after today. And so to the extent that the Court is able to give us a ruling so she can testify today, we would appreciate that.

THE COURT: Okay. But she's going to come in?

MS. KIM: She's here today.

THE COURT: No. I think I'm going to give you the green light on her.

MS. KIM: Great. Terrific.

THE COURT: Now, would you be able to, or want to take her out of turn to make sure you get her done?

MS. KIM: We may do that just depending on how the day goes. We may take her before Ms. Stein, depending how the testimony goes this morning.

THE COURT: Okay. So the names that we've just gone over, is that a full day or no? Do you think there's room for more.

MS. KIM: I believe it will be. Your Honor, can I have one minute?

Your Honor, it may not be a full day. We may need to end early. The additional witnesses that we have, two are traveling -- have traveled in from out of town. Those are in the process of traveling out of town.

THE COURT: Which are those?

MS. KIM: Those are the witness testifying under the name Charlotte Brookes, the witness testifying under the name

25

Gabriella Diaz, and Jessica Sell-Chamberts. 1 2 THE COURT: Those people, are you saying, are not necessarily available even if they are --3 MS. KIM: Correct. 4 5 THE COURT: So they're prepared --MS. KIM: We will have them prepared to testify 6 7 tomorrow. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So they're not available today, 9 even if they are going to --10 MS. KIM: Yes, your Honor. 11 MS. VON DORNUM: Your Honor, are you not calling 12 Dr. Rocchio? 13 THE COURT: She mentioned Dr. Rocchio. 14 MS. KIM: I said her. MS. VON DORNUM: I didn't hear her. 15 16 THE COURT: Yep. 17 MS. VON DORNUM: Thank you. 18 MS. KIM: We also have on our list Amalia Hudson, who 19 was the state court reporter for the plea transcript. She will 20 be called as a custodian because there is no stipulation as to the authenticity of the transcript. 21 22 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 23 MS. KIM: So we were going to call her and a paralegal 24 to read the transcript today, but given that there is a

potential dispute on that as raised by the defense, we were

holding off.

THE COURT: What's the dispute?

MS. KIM: The defense would like an additional portion of the plea transcript to be presented to the jury.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. And so do I know what that portion is?

MS. VON DORNUM: You had asked us to meet and confer about it last night. Unfortunately, we were not able to reach agreement on that, so we can send you a letter.

But, in brief, the government on opening statement said that Mr. Hadden had pled guilty to something in state court but not of the indicted victims, none of the four indicted victims. Then yesterday on the cross-examination -- I mean on the direct examination, pardon me, of Ms. Anderson, she was asked -- oh, no, I'm sorry. I'm getting all confused. Let me start over. Of Ms. Kanyok.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. VON DORNUM: She was asked repeated questions; he pled guilty to the lick, yes. He didn't plead — did he plead guilty to the manual stimulation; did he plead guilty to the breast exam; did he — all of which implied that he had refused to accept responsibility for some of the acts, which is not the case, legally, right? There was a plea agreement in the state that covered all of the acts, and he pled guilty to two counts in full satisfaction of the indictment and with an agreement

with the Manhattan DA's Office that he had covered for all the acts. All of those acts were known to the Manhattan DA's Office.

So it leaves an implication. We hoped the government would agree just to include the one additional sentence where the ADA says in the state court, "Your Honor, the parties have agreed this plea covers all acts known to the prosecution as of today." They have not agreed to that.

THE COURT: Okay. So the doable proposition is whether I approve one sentence or addition to the plea transcript?

MS. VON DORNUM: Yes.

THE COURT: What you want to do and the government opposes. Okay.

MS. KIM: Your Honor, what the defense is essentially suggesting and arguing is that the defendant has admitted to all of the acts that he was charged with in state court. And that is simply not true. The defendant was charged in the state indictment in nine counts. He pled to two of them. He admitted guilt to two of them.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. KIM: It is not true, and it is not accurate, to say that he has accepted responsibility for all of these acts.

THE COURT: What is this sentence? Is it --

MS. KIM: The sentence from the plea transcript? Is

it to analyze orally or is it -- does it require a brief?

MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, we think that this actually does require some briefing. We would propose putting in letters tonight.

Of course, whether the defendant accepted responsibility or didn't accept responsibility is just not an element of any of the charged violations under Section 2422(a). And the sentence that they want actually refers to coverage he received for all victims known to the District Attorney's Office in 2016. So it would actually provide — create a miny trial about the internal knowledge of a separate prosecutor's office six years ago, all in order to support the point.

THE COURT: A miny trial here?

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes. How else could we --

THE COURT: Ms. Van Dornum, you don't want a miny trial?

MS. VON DORNUM: That's not what we're proposing at all. So why don't we put in a narrow briefing, and your Honor can consider it.

THE COURT: For the purposes of our discussion, that person is not going to come today.

MR. MONTELEONI: Correct.

MS. KIM: Correct, your Honor.

MS. VON DORNUM: We do have some objections at --

THE COURT: Before you get to that -- oh, to some of

```
the --
1
               MS. VON DORNUM: For people tomorrow, I'm going to
 2
      ask, should we hold those?
 3
 4
               THE COURT: No, let's see. So you're in sync with
5
      everybody who is coming today?
6
               MS. VON DORNUM: We've previously objected to Lozada,
 7
     but I know you've now granted the government's motion.
               THE COURT: I'm going to do it formally.
8
9
               MS. VON DORNUM: Yes.
10
               THE COURT: And so -- yeah. Okay.
11
               MS. VON DORNUM:
                               So.
12
               THE COURT: If that's done, then we're smooth sailing
13
      for today.
14
               MS. VON DORNUM: For today.
15
               THE COURT: And maybe that takes us to 4:45 or maybe
16
      not.
17
               MS. VON DORNUM: Right.
18
               THE COURT: Right. And then you're okay if it's not,
      it's shorter, it's okay with you?
19
20
               MS. KIM: Yes.
21
               THE COURT: And with you. So then --
22
               MS. VON DORNUM: Then we can have the miny trial.
23
               THE COURT: You mean this afternoon?
24
               MS. VON DORNUM: Yeah.
25
               THE COURT: Yeah, okay. Let me think about it.
```

So then for tomorrow, we think who's coming tomorrow and who is disputed?

MS. VON DORNUM: So certainly the witness who will testify under the name Charlotte Brookes, your Honor, and this is the witness for whom we received medical records for the very first time this week after two years.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. VON DORNUM: And they previously represented repeatedly that there were no medical records able to be found. We then received the medical records this week. There are less than a hundred pages, but we've not been yet able to analyze them because we're obviously getting government exhibit s, 3500, et cetera.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. VON DORNUM: So I think this is a 413 witness. I think she would be the fifth or sixth 413 witness to testify about additional abuse during the same time period. She's not an inducement person. It's another abuse person, to allow yet another person who we have no records for and aren't prepared to confront, feels to me like really gets into a 403 problem.

THE COURT: So what I'm going to suggest is that this person now goes into the letter that you're going to ask me to resolve today for tomorrow.

MS. VON DORNUM: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And that would include Charlotte Brookes,

```
1
      and anybody else?
 2
               MS. KIM: Yes. For tomorrow on the list, we have
      Gabriella Diaz, who is a victim. We have --
 3
               MS. VON DORNUM: She's another 413 victim.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: So do you oppose that too?
6
               MS. VON DORNUM: We object to her as well. For her,
 7
      it's I think less severe, since we have had records for her,
      and we have had notice of her. But obviously on our ongoing
8
      grounds, we object to her.
9
10
               THE COURT: So you'll put that in your letter brief
11
      too?
12
               MS. VON DORNUM:
                               Okay.
13
               THE COURT: Who else?
14
               MS. KIM: We have Willie Terry, our witness who's the
15
      nurse.
               THE COURT: I'm likely to give you the green light on
16
17
      that as well.
18
               MS. KIM: Great.
19
               THE COURT: Willie Terry would come tomorrow, and you
20
     Ms. Van Dornum, would dispute it?
21
               MS. VON DORNUM: Very much so, as to Willie Terry.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. And then we also have Jessica
      Sell Chamberts.
23
24
               MS. VON DORNUM: Who's another 413 witness, your
25
      Honor.
```

THE COURT: Okay. Yep.

MS. KIM: And then we have the court reporter, two

3 paralegals, one --

THE COURT: The court reporter issue is the plea?

MS. KIM: Yes. Two paralegals. One paralegal will be reading the plea transcript, one may be doing summary charts, and we may have one other custodian witness.

MS. VON DORNUM: Just on the paralegal summary chart, we have not yet received the summary charts. We had an earlier version of one of them that seem to have had a lot of inaccuracies. And we're waiting for a new version. We haven't received the second one at all. So we might need time.

THE COURT: To go over that?

MS. VON DORNUM: To go over that and see if it's accurate. So far what we've received is not.

MS. KIM: We will plan to send an updated version as soon as we can.

One thing I would just note for Charlotte Brooks in the medical records, your Honor, we produced those as soon as we receive them from Columbia and New York Presbyterian. They are around 74 pages, and we'll write about this in our letter, but we're happy to call her towards the end.

THE COURT: I get that many pages every night from the two of you.

MS. VON DORNUM: And not handwritten.

MS. KIM: It's true.

MS. VON DORNUM: I guess I'll put this in my letter, your Honor. But just to set the stage, some of this just goes to our larger point, you know, now there are so many 413 witnesses, it's kind of swamping the indicted victims, and I think as to some --

MS. VON DORNUM: It is a broad rule. I mean -MS. VON DORNUM: It is a broad rule, but it's still
subject to 403. And since we're not challenging the abuse,
we're not cross-examining these 413 witnesses, right, we're
asking them one question -- do you live in New York City -- you
know, we have days of trial taken up about people testifying
about a non-disputed part of the case. And we'll put it in our
letter, but I'd ask you to think about, not under 413, but
under 403, which still applies. At some point, I think a limit
has to be set.

THE COURT: Would you be planning in your letter brief to have a category that covers more than one witness that argues --

MS. VON DORNUM: We'll organize it that way.

THE COURT: You can do it witness by witness.

MS. VON DORNUM: I agree. It's easier to do it conceptually.

THE COURT: Thank you. And then the issue is when these briefs would come in and how much notice do you need for

```
people who would presumably testify tomorrow? Are they coming
1
 2
      anyway, so to speak?
 3
               MS. KIM: Can we have one minute, your Honor?
 4
               THE COURT: Yes.
 5
               MS. KIM: Your Honor the remaining witnesses who are
6
      coming in from out of town are either on their way or coming in
 7
      tonight, so they will be prepared to testify tomorrow.
               THE COURT: So they are planning to, so you don't have
8
      to call them up and say it's a go. They think it's a go.
9
10
               MS. KIM: Yes.
11
               THE COURT: So that's not, as a practical matter, a
12
     problem. Coming back to the question of when we can do the
13
     briefing by.
14
               MS. KIM: I think we can --
15
               THE COURT: Is it adversarial, or can it be done
16
      simultaneously?
17
               MS. VON DORNUM: I think we all know each other's
18
      arguments.
19
               THE COURT: Can you both submit them say --
20
               MS. VON DORNUM: We're conferring on it, so we can do
21
      simultaneous.
22
               THE COURT: How about 7:00 o'clock?
23
               MS. KIM: Could we possibly send the letter in at 8:00
24
      or 9:00?
25
               THE COURT: 8:00. Let's say 8:00. I mean, really,
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

you want to have a breathing judge for tomorrow. And these are issues, by the way, that we've been over before.

MS. VON DORNUM: Yes.

THE COURT: Including with some of the very same people.

MS. KIM: Yes.

MS. VON DORNUM: We can summarize.

THE COURT: So let's say 8:00 o'clock, letter briefs.

And we're good to go for today, pretty much, I think. Good.

Let's see where things stand.

(Pause)

THE COURT: We have a juror who's running a bit late.

I'm guessing that we won't start till 9:30. You can start your letters.

MS. KIM: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. KIM: In terms of, we understand that we are able to call Rosalina Lozada, and the Court has indicated that the Court is inclined to let us call Willie Terry. We can have her come to testify to take us -- to try to testify. She is in New York, and so we can try to make those --

THE COURT: You mean to fill the gap?

MS. KIM: To keep things moving.

THE COURT: Might need that gap today, I'm thinking.

MS. KIM: Okay.

25

24

25

regards.

1 THE COURT: Let's see where we are, if she's that 2 flexible, and not make that decision till at least after the lunch break. How about that? We'll see. 3 MS. KIM: You know, I think it might -- just in terms 4 5 of trying to get her, I don't think she can come within 6 30 minutes. I think it will be a little bit of a process. 7 We're fine --8 THE COURT: Let's do tomorrow. Let's do tomorrow. 9 MS. KIM: Okay. That's fine. 10 MS. VON DORNUM: We can raise it as to Willie Terry 11 for tomorrow. If you'll remember, there's a sub-issue as to 12 her whether she can testify and then whether she can testify to 13 what her friend subsequently told her happened. I don't think 14 you've ruled on that issue, but you'll let me know if I'm wrong 15 on the sub-issue. 16 THE COURT: Yep. 17 MS. VON DORNUM: Thank you, Judge. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So just for the record, the juror 19 who was ill called this morning and said just to tell everybody 20 that he's feeling fine. 21 MS. VON DORNUM: Oh, good. 22 THE COURT: And sends his regards. 23 MS. VON DORNUM: He misses us. 24 THE COURT: Didn't say that, but he said he sends his

```
N1B6HAD1
1
               MS. VON DORNUM: That's a responsible juror, to call
2
      back.
3
               THE COURT: Isn't it?
 4
               MS. VON DORNUM: Yes.
5
               THE COURT: I think we have everybody. And if we can
6
      call Ms. Anderson so we can put her on the stand?
 7
               MS. KIM: Sure.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

1 Anderson - Direct

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: Everyone be seated, and we'll begin with Ms. Anderson's testimony.

DEPUTY CLERK: Ma'am, I'd like to remind you you're still under oath.

- 6 EMILY ANDERSON, resumed.
- 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED
- 8 BY MS. KIM:
- 9 Q. Good morning, Ms. Anderson.
- 10 A. Good morning.
- 11 Q. At the end of the day yesterday, you testified about a
- 12 | follow-up appointment that you had in March 2012 after your
- 13 | fibroid procedure. Before I continue with questions about your
- 14 | appointments with Hadden, I'd like to direct your attention to
- 15 the binder in front of you, and on the left flap, there is an
- 16 exhibit marked as Government Exhibit 12-A, if you can please
- 17 | take a minute to look at that.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. What is it?
- 22 | A. It's an e-mail between myself and Robert Hadden.
- 23 Q. Is that a fair and accurate copy of an e-mail chain with
- 24 | Hadden that you had with Hadden?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 MS. KIM: Your Honor, the government offers Government 2 Exhibit 12A into evidence under seal.
- THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 4 (Government's Exhibit 12A received in evidence)
- 5 BY MS. KIM:
- 6 Q. Ms. Anderson, could you please turn to Government
- 7 | Exhibit 12 in your binder, which is under -- it's an exhibit
- 8 under seal.
- 9 | A. Okay.
- 10 | Q. I asked you some questions about this e-mail yesterday. Do
- 11 | you remember that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Do you remember how some of the letters in the e-mail
- 14 | didn't show up on the printout?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Directing your attention to the middle of Government
- 17 | Exhibit 12, the e-mail from Hadden, from September 15, 2008.
- 18 Do you see the second to last line where it says, okay to F/U?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now, if you could please turn to Government Exhibit 12A?
- 21 | A. Okay.
- 22 | Q. At the bottom of Government Exhibit 12A, is this the same
- 23 | e-mail message from Hadden from September 15, 2008, that's
- 24 reflected in Government Exhibit 12?
- 25 A. I'm sorry, would you repeat that?

- Sure. At the bottom of Government Exhibit 12A, is that the 1 same e-mail message from Hadden from September 15, 2008, that 2 is also reflected in Government Exhibit 12? 3
 - A. Yes.

4

8

- Q. And turning to Page 2 of Government Exhibit 12A, do you see 5 where it says some women are sensitive. Call me if symptoms 6 7 persist, okay to F/U times 3MOS?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What did you understand that to mean, okay to F/U times 9 10 3MOS?
- 11 That it would be okay to follow up in three months.
- 12 THE COURT: I'm sorry, could you speak a little 13 louder.
- 14 A. Sure, it would be okay for me to follow up in three months.
- BY MS. KIM: 15
- Q. Okay. So Ms. Anderson, I want to turn to your appointments 16 17 with Hadden, and before I turn to your March 2012 appointment, 18 I want to ask you one broader question.
- 19 You testified yesterday that Hadden conducted vaginal 20 exams at every visit. Do you remember that?
- 21 Α. Yes.

25

- 22 Q. When Hadden conducted vaginal exams, did you ever feel him 23 make contact with your clitoris during those exams?
- 24 Α. Not that I recall.
 - Okay. I want to turn back to your --

1 THE COURT: Excuse me. Did you also say yesterday 2 that on visits with Dr. Hadden, there were two breast exams? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Per visit? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: How often were there two? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Every time. 8 THE COURT: Every time? So breast, vaginal, breast? 9 THE WITNESS: No, breast exam lying down and the 10 breast exam sitting up. 11 THE COURT: I see, got it. 12 MS. WOZENCROFT: Your Honor, I'm having a little 13 trouble hearing the ones. I don't know if the mic is on. 14 THE COURT: It is. I think if you bend it up 15 toward --THE WITNESS: Up towards me, is that better? 16 17 MS. WOZENCROFT: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: Or even if you take -- bend it down, I think that would be better. 19 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 BY MS. KIM: 22 Q. I want it turn back to your follow-up appointment in 23 March 2012 with Hadden after your fibroid procedure. During 24 that follow-up appointment, what, if any, exams did Hadden 25 conduct at this visit?

- 1 A. A breast exam and vaginal exam.
- Q. Other than you and Hadden, who else was present in the room
- 3 when he conducted the breast exam?
- 4 A. Just -- just myself and Hadden.
- 5 \parallel Q. Who else was present in the room other than you and Hadden
- 6 | for the vaginal exam?
- 7 A. There was a medical assistant or a nurse during some of it.
- 8 Q. What, if anything, happened after Hadden conducted the
- 9 | breast and vaginal exam?
- 10 A. The medical assistant or the nurse went to leave the room
- 11 | and Hadden went to leave the room with her, and then once she
- 12 | had left, he stopped, and he said that there was something that
- 13 he did not check during the vaginal exam and that he needed to
- 14 | recheck me.
- 15 | Q. Why did the nurse leave the room?
- 16 | A. Because she thought the exam was over and she had the
- 17 | specimens to take out.
- 18 Q. After the nurse left the room, what happened?
- 19 | A. I laid back down on the exam table, and put my legs up in
- 20 | stirrups, and I had a sheet draped over my knees, and then
- 21 | Hadden began another vaginal exam.
- 22 | Q. When Hadden began this vaginal exam, did you feel anything
- 23 unusual touch you?
- 24 A. I felt his tongue touch.
- 25 | Q. And where did you feel his tongue touch?

- 1 A. On -- on my vagina.
- 2 | Q. Where on your vagina did you feel Hadden's tongue?
- 3 A. On each side of my labia.
- 4 | Q. How long did you feel Hadden's tongue on each side of your
- 5 | labia?
- 6 A. Just for a couple seconds.
- 7 | Q. And when you say each side of your labia, did you feel
- 8 | Hadden's tongue across your entire labia or a portion of it?
- 9 A. It was like a -- upward motion on each side.
- 10 | Q. Ms. Anderson, how did you know that what you felt was
- 11 | Hadden's tongue?
- 12 A. Because I know what a tongue feels like.
- 13 | Q. And I'm sorry to ask, how do you know what a tongue feels
- 14 | like?
- 15 A. Because I've -- I've had a tongue -- I've felt it before in
- 16 consensual intercourse and...
- 17 | Q. What, if any, reaction did you have when you felt Hadden's
- 18 | tongue on your vagina?
- 19 A. I was shocked.
- 20 | Q. After you felt Hadden's tongue on your vagina, what
- 21 happened next?
- 22 | A. I got dressed and met him in his room -- his -- as I always
- 23 | did.
- 24 | Q. When you say you met him in his room, are you referring to
- 25 his office?

- 1 A. I met him in his office, yes.
- 2 | Q. What, if anything, happened in his office?
- 3 A. He told me to follow up, and I honestly -- I don't recall.
- I mean, we -- he just told me after my surgery and what the
- 5 | follow-up was going to be.
 - THE COURT: He said what, if you recall, would the follow-up be?
- 8 | THE WITNESS: I believe he told me three months.
- 9 BY MS. KIM:

6

7

- 10 Q. Did Hadden ask you any questions about your fibroids and how you were feeling?
- 12 A. I told him that I could no longer feel the fibroid that I
 13 was feeling when I was buttoning my pants before.
- Q. Did Hadden make any comments about your physical appearance?
- 16 A. Yes. He mentioned that the reason that I could feel the
- 17 | fibroid was because I was thin and that's why that -- you know,
- 18 I didn't really have -- I didn't have any fat to -- that's why
- 19 I could feel the fibroid when I was buttoning my pants.
- 20 Q. You testified earlier that your fibroid follow-up when
- 21 Hadden put his tongue on your vagina was in 2012?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. I want to ask you some questions from the 2011 time period before that follow-up appointment.
- Ms. Anderson, did you ever refer patients to Hadden?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Who did you refer to Hadden?
- 3 A. I referred a few friends, as well as earlier, before I had
- 4 | left Columbia, when I worked at Columbia I referred quite a few
- 5 patients.
- 6 Q. Did there come a time when you referred Laurie Kanyok to
- 7 Hadden?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How do you know Laurie Kanyok?
- 10 A. She's my best friend from junior high.
- 11 Q. Ms. Anderson, could you please turn to what's already in
- 12 | evidence as Government Exhibit 408 in your binder?
- And I'd ask the jurors as well to turn to tab 408.
- 14 Ms. Anderson, starting with the bottom e-mail where it
- 15 says Dr. Hadden, who sent this e-mail?
- 16 A. I sent this e-mail.
- 17 | Q. Approximately when did you send this e-mail?
- 18 | A. September of 2011.
- 19 | Q. When did you send this e-mail in relation to your fibroid
- 20 | surgery?
- 21 A. A few months prior.
- 22 \parallel Q. Directing your attention to the subject line at the top of
- 23 | the page, could you please read the subject line out loud?
- 24 A. Sure. Referral-Laurie Kanyok.
- 25 | Q. Directing your attention to where you wrote, Dr. Hadden, I

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

- hope this e-mail finds you well. I wanted to let you know I referred my best friend to you. She and I have been friends since 13. She's a Broadway dancer, 39 years old, just found out she's pregnant and having complications.
 - When you wrote this, who was the friend you were referring to?
 - A. Laurie Kanyok.
 - Q. Directing your attention to where you wrote, I know we can't discuss her care. I just wanted to let you know.
 - What did you mean by that?
- 11 A. That he wouldn't be able to tell me anything about her 12 pregnancy or any of her care, her medical care.
- Q. And why wouldn't Hadden be able to tell you anything about his care, his medical care for Laurie Kanyok?
 - A. Because there's HIPAA laws that protect patients.
- 16 Q. You then wrote, I told her you are the best.
- At the time, Ms. Anderson, was that your view of Hadden?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Ms. Anderson, what, if any, reaction do you have to seeing this e-mail today?
- 22 A. I feel guilty for referring my friend.
- Q. Ms. Anderson, did there come a time when Laurie Kanyok
- 24 communicated with you during an appointment with Hadden?
- 25 A. Yes.

- How did Ms. Kanyok communicate with you? 1
- 2 She texted me. Α.
- When approximately was this? 3 Q.
- June of 2012. 4 Α.
- 5 What did Laurie say to you? Q.
- 6 Α. She texted and said that Dr. Hadden had licked her vagina.
- 7 THE COURT: I'm sorry.
- 8 THE WITNESS: She said Dr. Hadden had licked my
- 9 vagina.
- BY MS. KIM: 10
- 11 Did you respond to Ms. Kanyok's text message?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 What did you say? Q.
- 14 Α. STFU.
- 15 Q. What did you mean by STFU?
- 16 Α. Shut the fuck up.
- 17 Did Ms. Kanyok tell you where she was when she was sending Q.
- 18 the messages?
- I believe after that she told me she was in the bathroom. 19 Α.
- 20 In what state were you in when you received Ms. Kanyok's
- 21 text messages?
- 22 Α. I was in Nevada.
- 23 What, if any, reaction did you have when you read
- Ms. Kanyok's text messages? 24
- 25 I felt awful. I instantly just -- I knew it had happened Α.

- because I knew it happened to me, and I -- I wanted to be there
 to support her.
- MS. KIM: Mr. Hamill, can you please pull up
- 4 Government Exhibit 2R for the witness, the jury, the Court, and
- 5 | counsel?
- 6 BY MS. KIM:
- 7 Q. Ms. Anderson, directing your attention to Government
- 8 Exhibit 2R, are these text messages that you exchanged with
- 9 Laurie Kanyok on June 29, 2012?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Directing your attention to the top where it says, Hadden
- 12 | just locked my vagina licked, and then you responded on the
- 13 left.
- 14 Are those your text messages that you sent in gray?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Where you wrote, you have to call me, why did you send that
- 17 | text message?
- 18 A. Because I wanted to speak to her to make sure she's okay.
- 19 | Q. Directing your attention to the next text messages, the
- 20 text messages on the right in blue, who sent those messages?
- 21 | A. Laurie.
- 22 | Q. Ms. Kanyok texts, I'm in the bathroom, don't know what to
- 23 do. Directing your attention to your text in gray, where you
- 24 wrote, how do you know, are you sure, where's the nurse?
- 25 Why did you send those text messages?

- A. Because I -- I had the questions that I just wanted -- I
 wanted to also validate my own experience and make sure she was
 okay. And to see if the nurse was with her.
 - MS. KIM: Thank you, Mr. Hamill.
- 5 BY MS. KIM:

4

- Q. After Ms. Kanyok texted you that Hadden had licked her vagina, what did you do?
- 8 A. I called her.
- 9 Q. Did you speak with her?
- 10 | A. Yes, I did.
- 11 | Q. What, if anything, did she say?
- 12 A. She said she was in the bathroom and she was crying and
- 13 that she had called her partner and I told her to get out. I
- 14 | told her to leave.
- 15 | Q. Did you speak to Ms. Kanyok again that day?
- 16 A. Yes. She was on the street. She had left the office, and
- 17 | she was waiting for her boyfriend to meet her, to pick her up.
- 18 | Q. What was Ms. Kanyok's demeanor on the phone with you?
- 19 A. She was very upset and she was crying.
- 20 | Q. That day that Ms. Kanyok texted you that Hadden had licked
- 21 her vagina, did anyone else call you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Who called you?
- 24 A. Robert Hadden.
- 25 | Q. How many times did he call you?

- 1 A. He called once and left a voicemail.
 - Q. Did you pick up the call?
 - A. No.

2

3

4

8

9

- Q. How did you know it was Hadden calling you?
- 5 A. Because he had called me previously from his cell phone,
- 6 and it came up blocked, and I -- or private, and I don't have
- 7 | many people who call from that number. So...
 - Q. And did you listen to the voicemail that Hadden left you?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. What did Hadden say in the voicemail?
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection.
- 12 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 14 A. I don't remember word for word, but a paraphrase that he
- 15 | said, Emily, this is Dr. Hadden, something happened -- strange
- 16 | happened with your friend, Laurie. I need you to call me right
- 17 away.
- 18 MS. KIM: Your Honor, can I have one minute?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
- 20 (Counsel confer)
- 21 MS. KIM: Nothing further from the government, your
- Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MS. VON DORNUM: Can we have one minute, your Honor?
- THE COURT: Yes, sure.

N1B6HAD1 Anderson - Cross

- 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 3 | Q. Good morning.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 | Q. Just bear with me one moment.
- 6 | A. Okay.
- 7 | Q. Can you hear me?
- 8 | A. I can.
- 9 Q. Okay. Great.
- So I just want to go back to some of the things you
- 11 | had talked about yesterday with Ms. Kim. So you let us know
- 12 | that you first became a patient of Robert Hadden's in about --
- 13 | the year 2000?
- 14 A. I believe it was 1999, around there, yes.
- 15 | Q. At that time, you were a nurse, yourself, at Columbia?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. You were working in the cardiology unit?
- 18 A. Pediatric cardiology.
- 19 Q. And you were about 27 years old?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. You said you were looking for a new -- for a gynecologist?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And you were referred by coworkers?
- 24 A. Friends and coworkers, yes.
- 25 | Q. Other nurses who worked at Columbia?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. So you got a referral for Robert Hadden, right?
- 3 | A. I did.
- 4 | Q. And you called and made an appointment with him?
- 5 | A. I did.
- Q. Fair to say you have a long history with Robert Hadden, it
- 7 | spans a decade?
- 8 A. As a patient, yes.
- 9 Q. As a patient.
- Sometimes as a patient, you would have to cancel
- 11 appointments you made because of various conflicts?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. There were times that you were experiencing medical issues
- 14 where you saw Robert Hadden more often, right?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. There were times when you were healthier, doing well, and
- 17 | saw him less often, fair to say?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, until 2005, you remained working at Columbia?
- 20 \parallel A. I -- I was not working at Columbia all that time. I was in
- 21 New York City, though.
- 22 | Q. Okay. So in 2005 you moved from New York City to
- 23 | Las Vegas?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Come 2005, you had been seeing Robert Hadden for about six

- 1 | years?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. Now, at this point, you told us you felt comfortable with
- 4 | him, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You had an established relationship with him?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You were happy at that point with the care you were
- 9 | receiving?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. You also told us yesterday that you had concerns about the
- 12 | quality of healthcare in Nevada?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. I believe in at least one of your e-mails you refer to it
- 15 | as witchcraft?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Can you tell us a little bit more? I may have missed it
- 18 | yesterday, about what -- without telling us your employer in
- 19 Nevada, what were you doing in Nevada, what was your job?
- 20 A. It -- when I moved there in 2005?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 | A. I believe at the time I worked for a small biotech company.
- 23 | Q. Okay. And you had clients that you were traveling to in
- 24 New York; is that fair to say, for that company?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. Did that include Columbia?
- 2 A. I don't recall at the time.
- 3 | Q. At some point after 2005, Columbia at one point was a
- 4 | client of yours?
- 5 A. Yes, I worked with them.
- 6 Q. I'm sorry, I cut you off.
- 7 A. No, I'm sorry, I worked with them in that capacity, yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. So part of your role while you were in Nevada was to
- 9 | visit various clients, including at one point Columbia?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And as you told us, when you would travel to New York you
- 12 | would sometimes seek clients or visit -- complete your work
- 13 duties and also see Robert Hadden?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. When you were in Nevada, you actually kept your dentist in
- 16 New York as well, right?
- 17 | A. I did.
- 18 | Q. Okay. Fair to say that's because you were happy with your
- 19 dentist in New York?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And you were coming to New York anyway, so you were
- 22 able to see your dentist too.
- 23 You talked to us this morning about a visit in March
- 24 of 2012. I'm not going to ask you about the details of that
- 25 | visit, but the purpose of that visit was your follow-up or your

4

5

6

7

8

9

- postop visit immediately after your fibroid surgery; is that 1 2 right?
- A. That's correct. 3
 - MS. WOZENCROFT: And I'm going to ask that the witness be shown what I believe are premarked as Defense Exhibits HH and II. If these are entered, your Honor, they would be under seal, so I have paper copies that I can provide to the government, the witness, and the Court?
 - THE COURT: Okay, sure.
- 10 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 11 Q. Ms. Anderson, you testified -- oh, I'm sorry, I thought it 12 was on your screen.
- 13 THE COURT: HH is on my screen. It would be good to 14 have a paper copy too.
- 15 MS. WOZENCROFT: Certainly. I can pass it up to your 16 Honor.
- 17 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 18 Ms. Anderson, is your screen working? You can see it?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 I'm going to show you a series of e-mails. 0. Great.
- 21 just going to ask you to review what's on your screen. Have
- 22 you had the opportunity to see it?
- 23 I have, yes. Α.
- 24 I ask we put up II for the witness and the parties only.
- 25 Just going to ask you to review that as well.

- 1 | A. Okay.
- 2 | Q. Great. So yesterday, you -- yesterday and today, you went
- 3 | through several e-mail communications between yourself and
- 4 Robert Hadden. Do you recognize the two e-mails I had just
- 5 | shown you?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And those are e-mails between you and Robert Hadden as
- 8 | well?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And those are fair and accurate depictions of the e-mail
- 11 | that were sent at the time?
- 12 A. Yes, I believe.
- MS. WOZENCROFT: I would ask that HH and II be moved
- 14 | into evidence?
- 15 THE COURT: Sure.
- 16 MS. KIM: No objection.
- 17 (Defendant's Exhibits HH and II received in evidence)
- 18 Q. So I ask that HH be shown to --
- 19 MS. VON DORNUM: Your Honor, may we -- it can't be
- 20 shown on the screen to the jurors, because then it would be
- 21 shown to the public.
- 22 | THE COURT: Do you have copies?
- MS. VON DORNUM: We do have copies.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. You can hand them out.
- 25 (Pause)

- 1 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 2 Q. So Ms. Anderson, there's HH on the screen, right, and we'll
- 3 get to II later, but I just wanted to -- you had talked to us
- 4 | yesterday about how you would e-mail Robert Hadden questions at
- 5 | different points, right?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And this was a question that you had e-mailed him after
- 8 your fibroid follow-up appointment; is that correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Okay. Am I correct your last visit with Robert Hadden was
- 11 on June 4th, 2012; is that right?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- 13 | Q. Okay.
- MS. WOZENCROFT: I'd ask that just the witness and the
- 15 parties be shown Government Exhibit 3023?
- 16 Your Honor, this is not yet in evidence. I'm just
- 17 going to show the witness it to see if it refreshes her
- 18 recollection.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay.
- 20 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 21 | Q. Ms. Anderson, you didn't create this document?
- 22 A. I did not.
- 23 Q. Okay. But reviewing it, did --
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Is it not on your screen?
- 25 (Counsel confer)

N1B6HAD1

- 1 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 2 | Q. Reviewing the document in front of you, is it fair to say
- 3 | that -- the last time you saw Robert Hadden was June 4th, 2012,
- 4 does that refresh your recollection?
- 5 A. That's what this shows, yes.
- 6 | Q. Okay.
- 7 MS. WOZENCROFT: We can take it down.
- 8 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 9 Q. So we talked yesterday about a fibroid surgery you had?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. That Robert Hadden performed?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And you traveled to New York City for that surgery?
- 14 | A. I did.
- 15 | Q. At the time you were living in Nevada?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And in October of 2011, you saw a doctor in Las Vegas who
- 18 | had suggested surgery?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And actually, if we could turn to what's in evidence as --
- 21 | I believe in the binders as GX409? Do you have it?
- 22 | A. I do.
- 23 Q. Okay. Great.
- I'm referring to the e-mail you had sent Dr. Hadden at
- 25 the bottom of the page. You say you saw your doctor in

- 1 | Las Vegas who's suggesting surgery; is that right?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And you say, of course, I would never have surgery in
- 4 Las Vegas, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 | Q. And that you're hoping to come to New York City in the next
- 7 | two weeks for an appointment with Robert Hadden?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And then you also talked on direct about various
- 10 e-mails scheduling that surgery, right?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Okay. And, in fact, in -- now if I can have one moment.
- MS. WOZENCROFT: If we can put defense Exhibit II up
- 14 on the screen for the witness and the parties, and I would ask
- 15 | the jurors to turn to II. That's one of the pages we recently
- 16 passed out.
- 17 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 18 Q. Ms. Anderson, this is an e-mail from you to Robert Hadden
- 19 | in January of 2012, right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And in that e-mail you say, Happy New Year, hope you had a
- 22 | nice holiday, and enjoyed the time off. Wanted to check
- 23 regarding a surgery date for February. I'm open, so please
- 24 | just let me know, hoping early February; is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Thank you. And that surgery was indeed scheduled in February?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

Q. And, again, turning to Government Exhibit 414 in evidence.

Ms. Anderson, this is an email about a week, eight days after the e-mail we just looked at. You're checking it again. You say, Checking in to see if you have a date in mind for my surgery. And Robert Hadden says, Sorry to get back to you so late. How about Wednesday, February 1 or February 2; is that right?

- A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Just one moment.

13 After your fibroid surgery, Robert Hadden sent you a 14 picture or text message of the fibroids he removed, correct?

- 15 | A. Yes.
- Q. That was a text message from his phone to your cell phone, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
 - Q. I'd ask that the witness -- I'd ask that the witness be shown what will be marked as whatever Ms. Kissick will tell me the next stamp number is, JJ?
 - MS. HOWARD: Yes, JJ.
- MS. WOZENCROFT: And it's formerly Government

 Exhibit -- just one moment. 705, an excerpt from what is

 Government Exhibit 705.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

Anderson - Cross

And I ask it be shown to the witness and the parties. And for clarity, I believe it's an excerpt from Government 705 at Page 11.

Your Honor, I would ask that this exhibit, government -- sorry, Defense JJ be moved into evidence. I understand that there's no objection from the government.

MS. KIM: No objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

MS. WOZENCROFT: This will be a sealed exhibit. We don't have copies yet, but I'll question the witness about it, and we'll provide them to the jury later.

> THE COURT: Okay.

MS. WOZENCROFT: Sorry, technology. Okay. Great.

BY MS. WOZENCROFT:

- Q. Ms. Anderson, this is a -- from looking -- you didn't create this document, right?
- 17 A. I did not.
- 18 Okay. It appears to be an AT&T record, correct?
- 19 Α. Yes.
 - And -- oh, please -- can we go to Page 11, please, that's 0. the only page in evidence.
- 22 MS. WOZENCROFT: You know what, I'll move on and come 23 back to this.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay.

25

- 1 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 2 | Q. So as we discussed earlier, there were times when you would
- 3 see Robert Hadden more frequently when you were having
- 4 gynecological issues?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. So similarly, there were periods of time between
- 7 | 2005 and 2012 when you were living in Nevada when you would see
- 8 | him less frequently?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. So, for example, I just want to go through with you
- 11 | a few appointments you had.
- So if you can turn to Government Exhibit 11 in
- 13 | evidence? Let me know when everyone is there. And I'm going
- 14 | to refer you to the second page, the last paragraph at the
- 15 | bottom of that page.
- 16 | A. Okay.
- 17 | Q. That is Robert Hadden writing to you in that last
- 18 paragraph, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And I won't go through the whole paragraph, but he's
- 21 | essentially saying that you've -- you had the regular test
- 22 | results, and you need what's called a colposcopy, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 \parallel Q. And so that e-mail was sent in July of 2008, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And in July of 2008, you saw Dr. Hadden and had a colposcopy?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And now turning to Government Exhibit 12 or 12A -- we can stay on Government Exhibit 12, this is a set of e-mails you exchanged with Dr. Hadden after a LEEP procedure; is that
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So after -- just to fill in the gaps, after you had
 10 your colposcopy, that procedure led to a test result that
 11 required to you have a LEEP procedure, correct?
- 12 A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. But in September -- looking at Government

 Exhibit 12, in September of 2008, you were discussing with

 Dr. Hadden various medical questions about what you could and

 could not do after your LEEP procedure; is that correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And at the bottom of that page --
- 19 THE COURT: This is 412?
- 20 MS. WOZENCROFT: I'm at Government Exhibit 12, yes.
- 21 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- Q. Dr. Hadden writes to you, Sorry, HSIL, high grade
 squamous -- I'm going to butcher this -- intraepithelial
- legion, which is exactly what the biopsy has shown, so no
- 25 worse. You should be cured. So that's the result of your LEEP

- 1 procedure, right, and you were doing better?
- 2 A. I believe so.
- 3 Q. Sticking with that, on Government Exhibit 12, you say,
- 4 going to the top of that page, there's no way I can follow up
- 5 | in a month, definitely the three month I can do. Do you see
- 6 | that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. That was in September of 2008, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. After that, the next time you see Robert Hadden isn't until
- 11 July of 2010; is that correct?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- 13 | Q. Well, let me refer you to -- if you can turn to Government
- 14 | Exhibit 13? I'm just reading from the first entry on
- 15 Government Exhibit 13 in evidence. Say, Happy New Year, hope
- 16 | 2010 is a great year for you. I'm so sorry to bug you, but
- 17 | I've called your office a few times, and I can't seem to get
- 18 help. I had a rough year last year, majority of the year was
- 19 | spent in PA with my father, he became ill in February and
- 20 passed in July so I let my own health go, sorry if I missed any
- 21 appointments.
- 22 So fair to say, at least as of January 2010, there had
- 23 been a period of time when you hadn't seen Robert Hadden?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. And in that e-mail, you're asking him to send records to

- 1 | Nevada for you; is that correct?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And he says in part, in the e-mail below, that he will pull
- 4 | all the pertinent records and fax them to you ASAP?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. He doesn't say in that e-mail you need to come see me?
- 7 A. No, he does not.
- 8 Q. We will try this one more time. I'm going to ask that the
- 9 Defense Exhibit JJ in evidence be pulled up for you.
- 10 | A. Okay.
- 11 | Q. What I was going to ask is this appears to be a page out of
- 12 | your phone records from the date, February 16 of 2012; is that
- 13 | fair to say?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Okay. That was the date you had your fibroid surgery?
- 16 A. I don't recall the exact date, but I know it was February
- 17 | of 2012.
- 18 | Q. Okay. And I'm not going to -- I'm not going to ask you to
- 19 | read into the record your phone number, but do you recognize
- 20 your phone number on that sheet?
- 21 | A. I do.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And referring to the third line down, that shows
- 23 \parallel a -- do you recognize the 201 phone number?
- 24 | A. I don't.
- 25 | Q. Okay. At that time from that phone number, you receive

- 1 | what's indicated to be a text and an image, right?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Thank you. I don't have much more.
- 4 | One moment.
- 5 THE COURT: It's okay.
- 6 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
 - Q. Now, you told us yesterday that that picture was also sent
- 8 to Laurie Kanyok?
- 9 | A. Yes.

7

- 10 | Q. When you talked to the government, in May of 2020, you said
- 11 | Hadden may also have sent this photograph to Kanyok, but you're
- 12 not sure.
- 13 Is it right that you said that?
- 14 A. I don't recall saying that.
- 15 | Q. Okay. Would reviewing the notes from that meeting refresh
- 16 | your recollection?
- 17 | A. Mm-hmm.
- 18 | Q. I would ask just the witness and the parties be shown
- 19 | Ms. Anderson's -- or, document 353-4047 at Page 4. And I'm
- 20 going to direct your attention to the last paragraph on that
- 21 page. And I would just also -- oh -- it's 353-4047, Page 4,
- 22 | and you don't have to zoom in, and I would just direct your
- 23 | attention to the top of the page for the date.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 | THE COURT: Could you zoom the paragraph again?

N1B6HAD1

Anderson - Cross

- 1 MS. WOZENCROFT: Sure.
- 2 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- Q. And so as of May of 2020, you believe that Hadden may have
- 4 | also sent the photograph to Kanyok, but you weren't sure?
 - A. That's what this note says.
- 6 | Q. Okay.

5

- 7 MS. WOZENCROFT: Thank you. That can come down.
- 8 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 9 Q. So I just have a few more questions. You would agree with
- 10 | me that in the e-mails that we had been reviewing over the
- 11 | past, course of the past two days, there were multiple times
- 12 | that you e-mailed Robert Hadden to see if he could fit you in
- 13 | for appointments, right?
- 14 A. I e-mailed him regarding --
- 15 | Q. Scheduling?
- 16 A. Scheduling or medical issues.
- 17 | Q. So just turning again to Government 11 in evidence. And I
- 18 | will refer your attention to the third page, the bottom of the
- 19 page. This is an e-mail from June of 2008, right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And that last sentence at the bottom of the page, you say,
- 22 | I'm coming to New York City tomorrow only until Monday evening,
- 23 | but I wasn't sure if I could be seen.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And then I would refer to, staying on that exhibit,

- the second page, the top e-mail on that page is an e-mail from you to Dr. Hadden, right?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And the last full -- well, the last paragraph in that
- 5 | e-mail reads, I was -- or second to last paragraph in that
- 6 | e-mail reads, I was planning a trip to New York City July 18,
- 7 so maybe the 18th or the 21st. That's referring to scheduling
- 8 as well, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- Q. And then if we can move to Government Exhibit 408 in your
- 11 | binder?
- 12 That -- the second e-mail down on that page is an
- 13 e-mail from you to Dr. Hadden -- or from you to Robert Hadden,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And at the end of that e-mail, you write, Of course, I'll
- 17 | see you in my next trip to New York City, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And then Government Exhibit 410, if we can move to that?
- The last e-mail on that page is an e-mail from you to
- 21 Dr. Hadden, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And you write, at the bottom of that e-mail, Also I was
- 24 | wondering if you have time to see me the week of November 7, I
- 25 am trying to plan a trip to New York City, right?

Anderson - Redirect

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And then finally just turning to Government Exhibit 412?
- Fair to say it's another e-mail about scheduling, right?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And in that, the last e-mail on that page is an e-mail from
- 6 you to Robert Hadden, and you say, I will be in New York City
- 7 | Tuesday a.m. I'm hoping you will be -- I'm hoping you will be
- 8 | able to squeeze me in. I arrive at 6:00 a.m., so it can be at
- 9 | your office any time that will work for you, right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Okay. Just one moment.
- 12 MS. WOZENCROFT: No further questions.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MS. KIM: Your Honor, I have a few questions on
- 15 | redirect.
- 16 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 17 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MS. KIM:
- 19 Q. Ms. Anderson, you were asked questions on cross-examination
- 20 about traveling to New York. Do you remember that?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Is it right that many of your trips that you scheduled to
- 23 come to New York were for the purpose of seeing Hadden?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. When you lived in Nevada, why did you travel to New York to

Anderson - Redirect

- 1 see Robert Hadden?
- 2 A. Because he was my doctor.
- 3 | Q. And you trusted him, right, as your doctor?
- 4 | A. I did.
- 5 Q. Ms. Anderson, when you had to have fibroid surgery, who did
- 6 you want to do the surgery?
- 7 A. Robert Hadden.
- 8 | Q. Why?
- 9 A. Because he had been my physician for years, and I trusted
- 10 | him.
- 11 | Q. And after the surgery, did you want Robert Hadden to
- 12 provide follow-up medical care?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Why?
- 15 | A. Because he performed the surgery and I needed follow-up
- 16 care.
- 17 | Q. And there were times, Ms. Anderson, when you e-mailed
- 18 Hadden for his availability for appointments, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. But you were coming to New York to see Robert Hadden to
- 21 | receive medical care; is that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Do you remember being asked questions on cross-examination
- 24 about e-mailing Hadden after your fibroid follow-up
- 25 appointment?

Anderson - Redirect

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And so you sent an email -- you sent an e-mail after that
- 3 | follow-up appointment in March of 2012; is it that right?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Ms. Anderson, when Hadden put his tongue on your vagina,
- 6 did you want to believe that your doctor of 12-plus years had
- 7 | just sexual assaulted you?
- 8 MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection. Leading.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 BY MS. KIM:
- 12 | Q. Why not?
- 13 A. Because he had been my doctor for ten plus years, plus a
- 14 | colleague when I worked at Columbia, and I was embarrassed, and
- 15 | I -- I was shocked, and I was horrified.
- 16 | Q. At the time your doctor of 12 plus years put his tongue on
- 17 | your vagina, did you question what you had felt?
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection, leading.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 20 | A. I knew what I felt. I knew that his tongue -- he had put
- 21 | his tongue on my vagina.
- 22 BY MS. KIM:
- 23 Q. After Hadden put his tongue on your vagina, did you tell
- 24 anyone about what he had done?
- 25 A. No.

N1B6HAD1

MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection, beyond the scope. 1 2 THE COURT: Overruled. BY MS. KIM: 3 4 Why didn't you tell anyone? Q. A. Because I was embarrassed and scared, and I really didn't 5 6 think anyone would believe me. 7 Q. Ms. Anderson, how, if at all, have you struggled to process your experiences with Hadden? 8 A. It's been extremely hard, because I'm in healthcare, and 9 10 it's had a major impact on my life, my personal life, and --11 it's been 10 years, and it's -- it's still very present. 12 MS. KIM: Nothing further from the government. 13 MS. WOZENCROFT: Nothing further. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. The witness is excused. And we'll have the next government witness. 15 (Witness excused) 16 17 MS. KIM: Your Honor, the government calls Keyvan 18 Gabbay. DEPUTY CLERK: Sir, if you can step up here, please? 19 20 Remain standing by the chair. And if you could raise your 21 right hand. 22 KEYVAN GABBAY, 23 called as a witness by the Government, 24 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 25 DEPUTY CLERK: Sir, can you please state and spell

- 1 | your first and last name?
- THE WITNESS: My name is Keyvan Gabbay. First name is
- 3 K-E-Y-V-A-N, last name is Gabbay, G-A-B-B-A-Y.
- 4 DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you, sir. You may be seated.
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MS. KIM:
- 7 | Q. Mr. Gabbay, do you spell your first name in any other ways?
- 8 A. Yes. K-A-Y-V-A-N as well.
- 9 Q. How old are you?
- 10 | A. 55.
- 11 | Q. In what city and state do you currently live?
- 12 A. New York City.
- 13 Q. Approximately how long have you lived in New York City?
- 14 A. 23 years.
- 15 | Q. How far did you go in school?
- 16 A. Sophomore in college.
- 17 | Q. Are you currently employed?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. What do you do for work?
- 20 A. I am a textile importer and exporter.
- 21 Q. Approximately how long have you been a textile importer?
- 22 A. 35 years.
- 23 | Q. Is your job stressful?
- 24 A. At times.
- 25 Q. How is it stressful?

- 1 A. You're dealing with a lot of entities overseas, and it's
- 2 | very delivery sensitive, and there's a lot of facets to what we
- 3 do.
- 4 | Q. And how, if at all, do you respond to that stress at work?
- 5 A. I deal with it. That's how I make my living.
- 6 Q. Mr. Gabbay, what is your current cell phone number?
- 7 A. (917)324-1092.
- 8 | Q. Approximately how long have you had that cell phone number?
- 9 A. 20 plus years.
- 10 | Q. Mr. Gabbay, are you familiar with an individual named
- 11 | Laurie Kanyok?
- 12 | A. Yes, I am.
- 13 | Q. How do you know Laurie Kanyok?
- 14 A. She is the mother of my child.
- 15 | Q. How many children do you have?
- 16 A. One.
- 17 Q. When was your child born?
- 18 A. 5/14/2012.
- 19 Q. When approximately did you first meet Ms. Kanyok?
- 20 A. About a year and a half before then.
- 21 | Q. Did there come a time when you began a romantic
- 22 | relationship with Ms. Kanyok?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. When approximately?
- 25 A. Sometime in 2010.

- 1 After Ms. Kanyok became pregnant, how, if at all, did your 2 relationship change?
- A. Well, I mean, it got very serious. We were having a -- we 3
- were going to have a kid on the way, so... 4
- 5 Did there come a time when you started living together?
- Α. 6 Yes.
- 7 When approximately during the pregnancy did you start to
- live together? 8
- Sometime after the first trimester or so. 9 Α.
- 10 How would you describe your relationship with Ms. Kanyok
- 11 now?
- 12 Sometimes great, sometimes copasetic.
- 13 How often to you speak with Ms. Kanyok? 0.
- 14 A few times a week. Α.
- What do you talk about? 15 Q.
- 16 Α. Alexandra.
- 17 Is that your child? Q.
- 18 That's our daughter. Α.
- 19 While Ms. Kanyok was pregnant, did she seek care from an
- 20 OB-GYN?
- 21 Yes. Α.
- 22 Q. How do you know?
- 23 Because I was involved in the whole thing. Α.
- 24 0. Do you recall the name of Ms. Kanyok's OB-GYN?
- 25 Α. Yes, I do.

- 1 | Q. What was his name?
- 2 A. Robert Hadden.
- 3 Q. At the time of Ms. Kanyok's pregnancy, did you have an
- 4 understanding of where Hadden worked?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 0. Where did he work?
- 7 A. Columbia Presby.
- 8 Q. Excuse me, what was that?
- 9 A. Columbia Presby.
- 10 | Q. When you say presby, what do you mean?
- 11 A. Presbyterian, I'm assuming it stands for.
- 12 | Q. Without stating any names, what is your understanding of
- 13 how Hadden came to be Ms. Kanyok's OB-GYN?
- 14 A. Her friend referred her -- or referred him to Laurie.
- 15 | Q. At the time of Ms. Kanyok's pregnancy, what, if any,
- 16 | impression did you have of Columbia's reputation for OB-GYN
- 17 | care?
- 18 A. Stellar.
- 19 Q. What was that reputation based on?
- 20 | A. It's Columbia. It was -- it was brought to our attention
- 21 | as one of the best.
- 22 | Q. At the time of Ms. Kanyok's pregnancy, what, if any,
- 23 | impression did you have of Hadden's reputation as an OB-GYN?
- 24 A. That he was also stellar. I know that on high-risk
- 25 pregnancies, he was one of the best. I believe he had the --

- 1 just took care of a lady that was 57 some years old.
- 2 MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection, move to strike.
- 3 | THE COURT: I'll strike that.
- 4 BY MS. KIM:
- 5 | Q. When you say high-risk pregnancy, what do you mean by that?
- 6 A. Laurie was 38 years old and I was 44 at the time, so it was
- 7 considered to be high risk.
- 8 Q. What, if any, concerns did you have about your age with
- 9 | respect to the pregnancy?
- 10 A. Mine, personally?
- 11 Q. Your age or Laurie's age.
- 12 A. I mean, we were older, by normal standards, to have a
- 13 child.
- 14 Q. Mr. Gabbay, did you accompany Ms. Kanyok to any
- 15 | appointments with Hadden?
- 16 | A. Yes, I did.
- 17 | Q. Did you accompany Ms. Kanyok to every appointment with
- 18 | Hadden or some of her appointments with Hadden?
- 19 A. Some of the appointments.
- 20 | Q. Did there come a time when Ms. Kanyok expressed concerns to
- 21 | you about Hadden?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What did she say?
- 24 A. She says that I sensed he would dismiss the nurse and come
- 25 | back in and he would -- he would go down on me.

3

8

9

10

17

- Q. And when she said he would go down on them, what did you understand that to mean?
 - A. That he would lick her vagina.
- Q. When, approximately, did Ms. Kanyok express these concerns to you?
- A. Middle of her pregnancy, after one particular appointment that I was not present.
 - Q. When Ms. Kanyok told you that you -- that she thinks he goes down on them, was she referring to herself or to someone else?
- 11 A. Referring to herself.
- Q. And what was your understanding of what her statement was based on?
- 14 A. Can you rephrase what you mean by that?
- Q. When Ms. Kanyok said that she thinks he goes -- he goes down on her, was she referring to her own experience?
 - MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled, if you know.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
- 20 THE COURT: If you know.
- 21 | A. 100 percent, yes.
- 22 BY MS. KIM:
- Q. And who was the he Ms. Kanyok was referring to when she told you this?
- 25 A. Robert Hadden.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

- Mr. Gabbay, when Ms. Kanyok told you that she thought that 1 2 after the nurse left Hadden went down on her, what, if anything, did you say in response? 3
- A. My response was that's just impossible in my mind. You're 4 5 probably having some kind of pregnant brain, and I -- I could 6 not fathom the thought that a doctor, healthcare worker, 7 whatever name you want to put on this human being, would do 8 such a thing.
 - Q. When Ms. Kanyok told you about her experiences, what, if any, requests did she make of you?
 - A. Would you accompany me to the rest of the meetings or appointments with him.
 - Q. After she asked if you could you accompany her to other appointments, did you try to accompany her to other appointments?
- 16 Absolutely, yes. Α.
- 17 Approximately how many appointments in total did you go to 18 with Ms. Kanyok?
- 19 I can't give you the exact number, but I went to pretty 20 much all of them until Alexandra was born.
- 21 Q. And during those appointments, did you observe Hadden 22 conducting any exams?
- 23 Α. Yes, I did.
- 24 What kind of exams? Ο.
 - He did a breast exam in one particular one, and he did a

- 1 | vaginal exam as well.
- THE COURT: You observed him giving the exam.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: To your friend.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 6 THE COURT: You were in the --
- 7 THE WITNESS: I was in the room. I was sitting next
- 8 | to her as she was lying on the gurney.
- 9 BY MS. KIM:
- 10 \parallel Q. Did there come a time when you accompanied Ms. Kanyok to an
- 11 | appointment with Hadden and you observed something out of the
- 12 ordinary?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Was this before or after Ms. Kanyok told you that she had
- 15 | thought Hadden had gone down on her?
- 16 A. After.
- 17 | Q. What did you observe?
- 18 A. I felt the way he was examining her breasts was a bit
- 19 | creepy.
- 20 MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 22 BY MS. KIM:
- 23 Q. How was Hadden examining Ms. Kanyok's breasts?
- 24 A. Just the way he did it, he pinched it, I recall that
- 25 | vividly, and in my mind, it was kind of creepy.

- 1 Q. And when you say pinched it, what are you referring to?
- 2 A. Pinched her nipples.
- 3 | Q. Did you say anything to anyone about what you observed?
- 4 A. I don't recall if we spoke about it or not.
- 5 Q. Directing your attention to the summer of 2012, did there
- 6 come a time when Ms. Kanyok contacted you while she was at an
- 7 appointment with Hadden?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How did she contact you?
- 10 A. First by text.
- 11 | Q. What did she text you?
- 12 A. He did it again, something to that nature, I think he did
- 13 | it again.
- MS. KIM: Mr. Hamill, can you please put up what's
- 15 been admitted into evidence as Government Exhibit 1? That's
- 16 | for the jury as well.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 BY MS. KIM:
- 19 | Q. Mr. Gabbay, do you recognize these text messages?
- 20 | A. Yes, I do.
- 21 | Q. Are these text messages that you exchanged with Ms. Kanyok
- 22 on June 29, 2012?
- 23 A. Yes, they are.
- 24 | Q. Walking through these messages, who sent the messages that
- 25 appear in blue?

- 1 A. Laurie Kanyok.
- 2 | Q. Who sent the messages that appear in gray?
- 3 | A. I did.
- 4 | Q. Directing your attention to the top where Ms. Kanyok
- 5 | texted, I'm down to 120 pounds, basically lost 30 in six weeks.
- 6 What did you understand that to mean?
- 7 A. She was losing her pregnancy weight.
- 8 | Q. When Ms. Kanyok texted you, Dr. Hadden just licked my
- 9 | vagina, I'm shaking and freaked out. If you recall, where were
- 10 you when you read these messages?
- 11 A. I was in my office.
- 12 Q. Where did you work at the time?
- 13 A. In the garment center, I had -- my office was on 38th
- 14 Street.
- 15 \parallel Q. Was your office on 38th Street on the east side or the west
- 16 side?
- 17 A. West side.
- 18 | Q. What, if any, reaction did you have when you read these
- 19 text messages from Ms. Kanyok?
- 20 | A. I got extremely nervous. And realized that I had to go
- 21 | take care of her.
- 22 | Q. When you received these text messages from Ms. Kanyok,
- 23 what, if anything, did you do?
- 24 A. I went downstairs. I tried to get a Yellow cab. It was an
- 25 extremely hot day, I recall that. And I couldn't find the

- 1 | Yellow cab, so I found a black -- black car, a Lincoln, and I
- 2 asked the guy if he would take me there, and he said I'm not --
- 3 I'm not working. And I offered him \$50, and he took me there.
- 4 | Q. And if you know, was \$50 more than the average cab fare?
- 5 A. Oh, yeah, a hundred percent.
- 6 0. Where was Hadden's office?
- 7 A. Midtown off of Madison.
- 8 Q. Before you got into the black car, did you speak to
- 9 Ms. Kanyok by phone?
- 10 | A. Yes, I did.
- 11 | Q. What was Ms. Kanyok's demeanor when you spoke with her?
- 12 A. She was panicking, freaking out, and had locked herself up
- 13 | in the bathroom.
- 14 | Q. Was it your understanding that she was still in a bathroom
- 15 | still in the medical offices?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. What, if anything, did Ms. Kanyok say?
- 18 A. She was just panicking, said he did it -- he did it again,
- 19 something to that nature.
- 20 Q. What, if anything, did you say?
- 21 A. I said get out of there, and I'm going to come pick you up.
- 22 | Just get out of the building.
- 23 Q. After you received the text messages from Ms. Kanyok, what
- 24 was your emotional state?
- 25 A. Kind of similar to how I feel right now. I was very

- anxious and nervous and upset internally. 1
- 2 Q. Did there come a time when you got to Hadden's office
- location? 3
 - Yes. Α.

4

7

8

- 5 What, if anything, did you see when you arrived?
- She was standing outside of his building, very shaken up. 6 Α.
 - What was her demeanor? Q.
 - She --Α.
- 9 MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 11 She was scared and nervous.
- 12 BY MS. KIM:
- 13 Was she crying? 0.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. Once you got to Hadden's medical offices and you saw
- Ms. Kanyok crying outside, what, if anything, did you do? 16
- 17 I believe I just held her, and then I got a taxi to take
- 18 her home, to take her to a safer atmosphere.
- 19 When you got into the taxi with Ms. Kanyok, what, if
- 20 anything, did Laurie do?
- 21 I think she texted one of her -- am I allowed to use names
- 22 or no?
- 23 If you could not use names for now and --
- 24 She texted one of her friends, I believe. Α.
- 25 Do you recall Ms. Kanyok making any calls?

```
N1B6HAD1
                                Gabbay - Direct
          I don't.
1
      Α.
2
         What, if any, calls did you make?
      A. I called 9-1-1.
3
 4
               MS. KIM: Your Honor, may I approach?
5
               THE COURT: Sure.
6
               MS. WOZENCROFT: Your Honor, we would just ask for a
 7
      sidebar before this next piece of evidence comes.
8
               (Continued on next page)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gabbay - Direct

(At the sidebar)

MS. WOZENCROFT: Your Honor, we would raise our continuing objection to the playing of this call, as we believe it's not only hearsay but embedded hearsay.

THE COURT: It's not a continuing objection. I already ruled it. And I said it would be allowed. So I think you're wasting your time.

MS. WOZENCROFT: Certainly, but we did also just wanted to note for the record we don't believe the people have previously presented it to your Honor, and your Honor has actually heard the call, and we think if your Honor heard the call, that could have some impact on the --

THE COURT: Well, I don't think so.

MS. VON DORNUM: Just for the record -- I apologize.

THE COURT: There's been a huge record on this very issue, motions, oral argument, text, and I'm quite confident that my ruling was correct.

MS. VON DORNUM: Thank you, your Honor. May I say one thing just for the record? My understanding, and we can submit case law is that there's case law that specifically says it is error for a court to admit evidence under the excited utterance rule without having listened to it because one cannot determine it is excited without having heard it. To my knowledge, the government --

THE COURT: I will take that gamble.

```
N1B6HAD1
                                 Gabbay - Direct
                MS. VON DORNUM: All right. Thank you.
 1
 2
                THE COURT: Yep.
 3
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 (In open court)

2 MS. KIM: May I proceed, your Honor?

3 THE COURT: Go ahead.

- 4 BY MS. KIM:
- 5 Q. I'm showing you a document that has been already admitted
- 6 | into evidence as Government Exhibit 1501, R1 -- 1505 -- 15 --
- 7 sorry. 1205R1.
- 8 Do you see that in front of you, Mr. Gabbay?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- 10 | Q. In the row that is numbered one, do you see the name of the
- 11 | friend that you believe Ms. Kanyok communicated with?
- 12 | A. Yes, I do.
- 13 | Q. For purposes of this proceeding, we'd like to call her
- 14 | Emily Anderson?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 Q. For purposes of this proceeding, may we call her Emily
- 17 | Anderson?
- 18 A. I'm like that's not her name.
- 19 Q. For purposes of this proceeding we'll call her --
- 20 A. First time at this, and hopefully last. Yes.
- 21 | THE COURT: What, you're not having fun?
- 22 | THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah, awesome, your Honor.
- 23 | THE COURT: She testified under a pseudonym.
- 24 THE WITNESS: I got it now.

25

- 1 BY MS. KIM:
- 2 | Q. I placed in front of you a CD that has been marked
- 3 Government Exhibit 52. Do you recognize this CD?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 | Q. How do you recognize it?
- 6 A. We listened to it with you.
- 7 | Q. And directing your attention to the initials marked on the
- 8 CD, it reads KG12, 2023, who put those initials there?
- 9 | A. I did.
- 10 | Q. When did you put those initials there?
- 11 | A. January 2.
- 12 \parallel Q. Did you listen to the recording on the CD on that day?
- 13 | A. Yes, I did.
- 14 $\mid Q$. Was the recording a fair and accurate copy of a 9-1-1
- 15 | called that you placed on or about June 29, 2012?
- 16 | A. Yes, it is.
- Q. So before we play the recording, I just want to ask you
- 18 some additional follow-up questions.
- 19 Mr. Gabbay, when you got the text messages from
- 20 Ms. Kanyok, between the time you received those text messages
- 21 | and you went into the car to the medical offices, how soon
- 22 | after did you leave to go to meet Ms. Kanyok?
- 23 A. Pretty immediately.
- 24 | Q. And why did you leave immediately?
- 25 A. It was an extreme urgent matter. It had to be dealt with

- 1 | right away.
- 2 Q. And why did it have to be dealt with right away?
- 3 A. She was abducted, raped. I don't even know what is the --
- 4 | you know, the language that you want to put on something like
- 5 | this. I had to go take care of Laurie.
- 6 MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection, move to strike.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 8 BY MS. KIM:
- 9 Q. How would you describe your level of stress, if you had any
- 10 stress, when you left your office immediately to go to see
- 11 Ms. Kanyok?
- 12 A. A lot of anxiety, you know, nervousness, but I had to go
- 13 | take care of this.
- MS. KIM: Mr. Hamill, can you please play Government
- 15 | Exhibit 52?
- 16 (Audio played)
- 17 MS. KIM: Thank you, Mr. Hamill.
- 18 BY MS. KIM:
- 19 | Q. Mr. Gabbay, I want to ask you a few questions about the
- 20 \parallel 9-1-1 call. Do you recognize any of the voices on the 9-1-1
- 21 | call?
- 22 A. That was my voice.
- 23 \parallel Q. You mentioned a West 55th Street address on the 9-1-1 call.
- 24 What address was that at that time?
- 25 A. That's my home.

N1B6HAD1 Gabbay - Direct Was that the home that you were living at with Ms. Kanyok? Yes. Α. Q. The number that ends in 1092, who's known number was that? Α. That's my telephone number. (Continued on next page)

BY MS. KIM: 1

8

9

- 2 Q. You stated on the 911 call, "My fiancée was at her OB-GYN
- and he did something inappropriate to her. I just went up 3
- there and took her from there and I brought her home. 4
- 5 basically touched her orally."
- 6 When you said, "He basically touched her orally," what 7 did you mean by that?
 - A. He licked her vagina. I just didn't know how to verbalize such a vile act for someone that I didn't know.
- 10 Q. On the 911 call, you said that you had made a call, "they
- 11 wanted to send someone to that location, but I took her from
- there." When you said "they wanted to send someone to that 12
- 13 location," what did you mean by that?
- 14 A. They wanted to send an officer to his office, but I had
- 15 already taken her away from that location.
- Do you remember if you had made a previous call to the 16
- 17 police before the 911 call?
- 18 I believe in the taxi I made a call, on the way home. Α.
- 19 Do you remember where you were when you made this 911 call? Q.
- 20 This particular -- yes. Α.
- 21 Where were you? Q.
- 22 Α. I was home.
- 23 How would you describe your demeanor on the 911 call? 0.
- 24 Α. Calm.
- 25 Did you feel calm at the time? Q.

- 1 A. Internally, absolutely not.
- 2 \| \Q. \| How did you feel internally?
- 3 A. Like something super horrible has just happened, you know,
- 4 | anxiety, scared. I don't know. Like -- I felt -- I felt
- 5 | uncomfortable.
- 6 Q. Mr. Gabbay, are you and Ms. Kanyok still in a romantic
- 7 | relationship?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 | Q. When did your relationship end?
- 10 A. Five years ago.
- 11 | Q. What, if any, impact did Ms. Kanyok's experiences with
- 12 | Hadden have on your relationship with her?
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 15 | A. It did not help. You're dealing with having a child and
- 16 | then a horrendous act of this nature takes place. It was not
- 17 | good. It was -- it was horrible.
- 18 Q. Did there come a time, Mr. Gabbay, when you served
- 19 Ms. Kanyok with child custody papers?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Why did you serve her with those papers?
- 22 | A. Because we did not have a set schedule and I had gotten
- 23 counsel that pretty much the only way you're going to manage
- 24 | this is to serve her.
- 25 Q. How, if at all, was the lawsuit resolved?

```
1
               MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection.
 2
               THE COURT: Overruled.
     A. We went to court and I believe it was a couple of sessions
 3
 4
      and it was resolved. Outside of that, her and I actually went
 5
      to breakfast and we figured out a schedule that was comfortable
6
      for both of us, and then we took it to court and they
 7
      technically "kosherized" it, as they said, so --
      Q. Mr. Gabbay, prior to testifying today, what, if any,
8
      conversations have you had with Ms. Kanyok about the substance
9
10
      of your testimony today?
11
      A. None.
12
               MS. KIM: Nothing further from the government.
13
               THE COURT: Counsel?
14
               MS. WOZENCROFT: In June of 2012 --
15
               THE COURT: Are you going to --
               MS. WOZENCROFT: I just have one question.
16
17
               THE COURT: Well, you could do it from there.
18
               MS. WOZENCROFT: Sure.
      CROSS EXAMINATION
19
20
     BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
21
          In June of 2012 you and Ms. Kanyok were living in New York
22
      City together, correct?
23
     A. Yes.
24
               MS. WOZENCROFT:
                               Thank you.
25
               MS. KIM: No further questions.
```

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. 3 (Witness excused) 4 MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, prior to our next witness 5 there's a legal issue that arose during the cross-examination 6 of Ms. Anderson that bears on the testimony of that witness, so 7 we wondered if we could have an opportunity to address that. THE COURT: Sure. 8 9 We're going to take a little break while I talk to the 10 lawyers, okay? 11 (Jury not present) 12 THE COURT: Please be seated. 13 Yes. 14 MR. MONTELEONI: So, your Honor, the government's next 15 witness is Dr. Rocchio, and if you recall, the Court --THE COURT: I thought that you had all met and 16 17 conferred and resolved any issues with respect to this next 18 witness. MR. MONTELEONI: That's right, your Honor. With 19 20 respect to the new issues that were raised yesterday, we don't 21 plan to go into that at all. We both agree there's no issue 22 with that. But your ruling on the defense's prior motion 23 admitted her testimony on one subject, the relationship of 24 trust between doctor and patient and that role in facilitating 25 abuse, and affecting the patient's response to abuse, but

reserved on the subject of delayed disclosure, and the defense,
you know, has pursued a strategy in which I think they would
argue that they have not gone into disclosure issues. However,
both on the cross-examination of Ms. Taylor yesterday and now
quite pointedly on the cross-examination of Ms. Anderson, both
cases they brought up indications of continuing contact with
the defendant following the assault, and that type of, you
know I think that creates the suggestion that they're
attempting to impeach their account or their understanding of
what happened because of that conduct, and therefore we believe
that the issue that the Court reserved on, delayed disclosure,
that the door has been opened, and we intend to examine on
those issues, but we want to
THE COURT: Could you take ten minutes and find some
quotations from the transcripts to support your argument.
MS. KIM: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Great.
And also, what was the date, if you remember, that I
resolved that? Was that December 29?
MS. VON DORNUM: Yes, it was December 29.
MR. MONTELEONI: On Dr. Rocchio?
MS. VON DORNUM: I thought so, because it was your
motion, right?
MR. MONTELEONI: Dr. Rocchio was the issue that arose

during jury selection when --

25

might look and see.

MS. VON DORNUM: But as to delayed disclosure, I think 1 2 you said it was contingent. I believe that was on the 29th, but I'll find it, your Honor. 3 4 MR. WEIL: I have it. It was on the first day of the 5 trial. It was on -- it was on the 9th, your Honor. 6 MR. MONTELEONI: Yes. So we'll find the Court's 7 ruling and --8 THE COURT: You find that, and you can find where I used the word "contingent." I didn't recall that, but --9 10 MR. WEIL: It's on the January 9th transcript at 11 page 29. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Was it also on the December 29 13 ruling? 14 MR. WEIL: No. The issue came up right before the trial when we --15 THE COURT: So if you could make a copy of the Q and A 16 17 there too. Great. MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, I would just note that we 18 are happy to provide and go through, look for transcript cites 19 20 for Ms. Taylor. I think because the testimony for Ms. Anderson was today, we don't yet have a copy of the transcript. We can 21 22 try to confer with the court reporters. 23 THE COURT: So I have pretty good notes myself. So I

Do you recall from today's cross-examination what was

asked and what was answered?

MS. KIM: So the first thing was, there was an email,
Defense Exhibit HH, that was sent after Ms. Anderson's
follow-up fibroid procedure, so it was sent on or about
March 8, 2012. And so that would have been sent after her
March 5, 2012 appointment where Dr. Hadden licked her vagina.

MR. MONTELEONI: Additionally, your Honor, there was --

THE COURT: So are you referring to today's carryover?

MS. KIM: Yes. So during cross-examination there were certain questions and exhibits that were presented by the defense to Ms. Anderson that questioned why she communicated with the defendant after the defendant licked her vagina.

THE COURT: Gotcha.

MS. KIM: And why she returned for an appointment if the defendant had licked her vagina.

THE COURT: Gotcha.

MS. WOZENCROFT: Just to clarify --

THE COURT: Well, we'll see, because you're going to find me the actual language that was used, and in particular, the language of contingency.

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, your Honor. But I don't think we'll be in a position to provide you the language that was used in the questioning.

THE COURT: No. I heard that. I heard that.

MS. KIM: I have the page of the transcript on contingency, if that will be helpful.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to show it to them before you show it to me.

MS. KIM: Okay.

THE COURT: But are you sure that Rocchio didn't come up before the 9th of January?

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, your Honor, because we noticed Dr. Rocchio initially in rebuttal to Dr. Goodsell.

Dr. Goodsell is the defense expert who was the subject of the motion in limine briefing.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MONTELEONI: Prior to -- after obtaining that ruling that Dr. Goodsell could testify, the defense made the decision then that they were -- they did not plan to ask him to testify, and this occurred right before jury selection when we were providing names to the Court, and so --

THE COURT: I'm just trying to figure out, is there anything in writing about --

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes. Sorry. The defense filed a motion on January 3rd, a letter motion on January 3rd. We responded on January 4th in the evening, after jury selection.

THE COURT: And that concerns Rocchio.

MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, right. Those are the papers on which the Court was ruling in the portion that --

```
THE COURT: I need those too.
1
 2
               MR. MONTELEONI: All right.
               THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
 3
 4
               MS. POMERANTZ: Would your Honor like us to print
 5
      copies of those and provide them now?
6
               THE COURT: Of?
 7
               MS. POMERANTZ: Of the two --
               MS. KIM: Submissions.
 8
9
               MS. POMERANTZ: -- submissions relating to
10
      Dr. Rocchio?
11
               THE COURT: Sure. But first I want to get what you
12
      think was said that enables us to --
13
               MS. POMERANTZ: Yes, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Great.
15
               (Recess)
               (In open court; jury not present)
16
17
               THE COURT: So do you want to frame the issue briefly.
18
               Incidentally, I think the record is crystal clear that
19
      the expert can give the testimony based on this so-called
20
      second prong, but go ahead.
21
               MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you, your Honor.
22
               Yes. With respect to Ms. Taylor, just to be clear
23
      that the portion that we --
24
               MS. WOZENCROFT: Your Honor, I'm so sorry to cut you
25
            I would just ask to wait for Mr. Weil. It's his witness,
      off.
```

so he should be here.

THE COURT: Okay. Sure.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MONTELEONI: So, your Honor, just to explain the significance of the portions that I handed up, you know, to remind the Court, the conduct that the defendant — that the victim, Ms. Taylor, testified about included inappropriate conduct at the postpartum appointment, and then the defense attempted to elicit the victim's going to another appointment later that year, and we've also been in discussions with the defense about medical records which are — they I think intend to offer, attempting to prove more appointments after that date, and so again, we think the timing there with Ms. Taylor and then as confirmed with the timing here with Ms. Anderson, where they actually offered — offered evidence, refreshed the witness with a document all to prove these post-abuse contact and appointments, render this relevant.

MS. WOZENCROFT: Your Honor, we're going to jointly do this, since I crossed the witness and Mr. Weil is --

My questioning, I specifically did not question

Ms. Anderson today regarding a delayed disclosure, with

conversations with Ms. Kanyok, about the timing of any of that,

and that certainly was a broad area I could have gone into. I

asked two fairly discrete questions — one, whether

Ms. Anderson emailed -- or I put into evidence an email that postdated the appointment that Ms. Anderson spoke about abuse at; and I also asked her if she saw Dr. Hadden on June 4th, to which she did not remember. I did not go any further. I did not ask her -
THE COURT: Today?

MS. WOZENCROFT: Correct. Those I believe are the two

MS. WOZENCROFT: Correct. Those I believe are the two references that the government believes opens the door. Those questions --

THE COURT: What about the redirect to which you did not object? You don't think there's anything in the redirect that supports this? My notes -- my notes unequivocally suggest that they do.

 $\operatorname{MS.}$ WOZENCROFT: Your Honor, my understanding is that --

THE COURT: We're talking about redirect.

MS. WOZENCROFT: Yes. The government's redirect of Ms. Anderson.

THE COURT: Following your cross.

MS. WOZENCROFT: Correct.

THE COURT: To which you did not object to the redirect.

MS. WOZENCROFT: Correct. But the government can't open the door to additional topics for their own witness based on questions they ask.

```
THE COURT: I don't understand what you're saying.
1
 2
               MS. WOZENCROFT: Maybe I misunderstand your Honor.
               THE COURT: Well, here's what I think --
 3
 4
               MS. WOZENCROFT: Certainly.
 5
               THE COURT: -- that the transcript will show.
6
      Following your cross -- here's just one example, but -- at
 7
      approximately 10:35 this morning, redirect, the witness said --
      she was explaining how she trusted Mr. Hadden, and then she
8
      said, "I knew he put his tongue on my vagina," and then she
9
10
      said, I think -- this is not a transcript but I took pretty
11
      good notes, even in high school, so -- and she said, "I was
12
      embarrassed and didn't tell anyone. It's had a major impact on
13
     my life, even after 10 years." I think that's good enough for
14
     me.
15
               MS. WOZENCROFT: Your Honor, if I may.
               THE COURT: But anyway, I don't want to make a big
16
      deal of it. You know, you can take it up with the Court of
17
18
     Appeals.
19
               MS. WOZENCROFT: If I may, just two quick responses to
20
      that. First --
21
               THE COURT: I'm not looking for responses.
22
               MS. VON DORNUM: We just want to make sure we're
23
      understanding the ruling, Judge.
24
               MS. WOZENCROFT: Because I believe your Honor noted
25
      that I didn't object. I did lodge an objection earlier on to
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

beyond the scope, that the redirect was beyond the scope, which it's still my position that those questions were beyond the scope of the cross. I also believe it is clear in the case law that the government, by asking their own questions, cannot expand --

THE COURT: Listen, I understand your position. I'm just saying, I looked at my notes, because there is no transcript. I think that this same concept that I just read to you is elsewhere throughout the transcripts of various witnesses up until now. So this is just one. I was trying to save you all a lot of trouble because I know you don't have the transcript yet. That concept that was explicitly stated -namely by this witness and by other witnesses -- is that they were so shocked and embarrassed and stunned and didn't know what to do and some people didn't -- just kept it in because they were afraid that if they told other people, no one would believe them or they -- or that they would think that they were crazy or liars, this was all throughout. And that concept is the concept that I think supports my original ruling. And my original ruling, the way it's phrased, you need to look at. We do have the transcript there. So it's not as if I said -well, I'll read it and then --

MS. WOZENCROFT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Yes. So it says, "And then the issue with respect to expert testimony regarding delayed disclosure and/or

non-disclosure of I'll call it abuse will be admissible if the defense challenges the alleged victims' credibility based on their delayed disclosure or non-disclosure of the alleged abuse, which I am assuming, incidentally, that there's going to be such a challenge. So that part is contingent. The defense motion is granted in part and denied in part."

MS. WOZENCROFT: I can --

THE COURT: I feel the record now is sufficient to enable the expert to go beyond just the first prong. And you object and that's fine.

Okay. Let's have the witness.

MS. WOZENCROFT: One sentence? Just in response to that ruling.

I completely agree with what your Honor just read. I guess the part that was -- is concerning is that it's our position that the defense -- your Honor ruled that the defense would have to open the door to that --

THE COURT: You're not listening to me. I cited this language and I said — and I'll say it again — that this concept has permeated — the transcripts are permeated with this concept, not just with this witness on redirect or on cross, etc., etc. So there you have it. It's a fine question, but I'm saying this concept is not only found in the redirect that I read to you but is found in the transcript and will be found of other witnesses. I'm comfortable that this meets the

25

```
N1B1HAD2
                                Rocchio - Direct
1
               (Jury present)
 2
               THE COURT: Please be seated.
 3
               MR. MONTELEONI: The government calls Dr. Lisa
 4
      Rocchio.
 5
               (Witness sworn)
6
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. Could you please state
 7
      your full name for the record.
               THE WITNESS: Lisa Marie Rocchio.
8
9
               THE COURT: Could you spell your last name, please.
               THE WITNESS: R-O-C-C-H-I-O.
10
11
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you, ma'am. You may be
      seated.
12
13
      LISA M. ROCCHIO PhD,
14
           called as a witness by the Government,
15
           having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
      DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
17
      BY MR. MONTELEONI:
18
          Good morning.
      Q.
          Good morning.
19
     Α.
20
         What is your profession?
      Ο.
21
          I'm a clinical and forensic psychologist.
      Α.
22
      Q. And this is something that I struggle with also.
23
      could ask you to just make sure to speak directly into the
24
     microphone.
25
          Sure. Sorry.
      Α.
```

- Q. What is -- so you said you're a clinical and forensic psychologist. What is clinical psychology?
 - A. Clinical psychology is the study of human behavior, both normal and abnormal. We're trained in the assessment and treatment of psychopathology, problems in living, as well as the assessment of strengths and resources.
 - Q. And you also mentioned you're a forensic psychologist.

 What is forensic psychology?
 - A. Forensic psychology is the practice of psychology at the intersection of psychology and the law. So as a forensic psychologist, I'm called upon to assist the court when the court is dealing with some sort of a psychological issue that requires psychological expertise.
 - Q. Dr. Rocchio, can you please describe your educational background.
 - A. Sure. I have a bachelor's degree in both psychology and English from Emory University; I hold a master's degree and a doctoral degree in clinical psychology from the University of Rhode Island; and as part of working toward that doctoral degree, I completed a full year fellowship in psychology at the Yale University School of Medicine.
 - Q. So can you please describe your coursework and training in connection with your master's and PhD degrees.
 - A. I'm trained in basic areas of psychology, so perception, cognitive psychology, clinical psychology, various treatment

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and assessment techniques and methodology. I also taught classes under supervision specifically to learn how to provide treatment and conduct assessments and do -- utilize a wide variety of tests. And then I also completed what are known as externships, which are part-time, 20-hour-a-week placements in clinical settings, where, again, I also worked under supervision. I completed a master's degree as well as a -- I'm sorry -- a master's thesis as well as a doctoral dissertation. And then during the year that I was at the Yale University of -- School of Medicine I worked full time as a psychology fellow in both outpatient day hospital and inpatient settings with both adolescents and adults. Q. All right. So during the course of your graduate studies at the University of Rhode Island, what, if any, topics did you focus on? In addition to the general area of clinical psychology, I took courses and had training in forensic psychology. My areas of specialized expertise that were of particular interest and study for me were traumatic stress and interpersonal violence. I also have done a lot of work in the area of ethics, and eating disorders. So you mentioned that your studies included traumatic stress. What is traumatic stress? Traumatic stress is the psychological response to an

extreme or traumatic event in an individual's life. It can be

- a response to a stressor that ranges in terms of a continuum of severity, but typically is when referred to as a trauma, it's an extreme event that involves either experiencing or witnessing attempted or completed sexual assault, sexual violence, severe bodily injury, or death.
 - Q. And now you also mentioned that your studies focused on interpersonal violence. What is interpersonal violence?
 - A. Interpersonal violence refers specifically to violence that's committed in -- in between individuals, and it encompasses a broad area -- a number of areas, such as rape, sexual assault, childhood sexual abuse, childhood physical abuse, sexual harassment, physical violence between adults, and intimate partner violence, for example.
 - Q. So you mentioned the term "sexual assault" as a type of interpersonal violence. What do you mean when you use the term "sexual assault"?
 - A. Sexual assault refers to either contact or noncontact sexual violations. So for contact sexual assaults, it's when one individual touches another in a sexual manner without the individual's consent, either because the individual has not given consent or if there's some reason because of age, for example, or cognitive ability or drug impairment, that the person is incapable of giving consent.
 - Q. Now in connection with your master's and PhD degrees did you perform clinical work with patients?

- 1 | A. I did.
- 2 Q. Approximately how many patients did you work with during
- 3 your graduate studies?
- 4 A. In order to attain the doctoral degree, I had to do
- 5 approximately a minimum of 2,000 hours of contact time, so it
- 6 | would have been hundreds of patients that I worked with and
- 7 | treated in a variety of settings.
- 8 Q. Now you mentioned also a fellowship that you performed in
- 9 connection with the Yale University School of Medicine. Was
- 10 | that a fellowship before your PhD or after?
- 11 A. So it was -- it's the pre -- it was my predoctoral
- 12 | fellowship, so it was part of the requirements toward attaining
- 13 | my doctoral degree.
- 14 | Q. And what's the kind of work that you did in your
- 15 | predoctoral fellowship at Yale?
- 16 A. I worked for six months at Yale New Haven hospital, where I
- 17 | provided -- conducted group psychotherapy, individual
- 18 assessments, and individual treatment for adults who were
- 19 attending a partial hospital program, where they were being
- 20 | treated for significant mental illness, and they would come in
- 21 | each day during the week from about 8 in the morning until 3 in
- 22 | the afternoon.
- 23 I also attended a seminar in psychiatry and the law.
- 24 And I did that for six months with adults.
- 25 And then I went over to the Yale Psychiatric

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Institute, where I worked for an additional six months with
 adolescents, and I worked with them, again, conducting
 individual therapy, family therapy, assessment, running groups
 in primarily partial hospital -- again, those day kinds of
 programs, as well as in inpatient hospital setting.
 - Q. Now after you received your PhD what did you do next in your career?
 - A. In order to become licensed as a psychologist, after completing the doctoral degree, I had to complete another full year of supervised clinical work in order to be eligible to sit for the national licensing exam in psychology, so during that year, I worked in an outpatient clinical practice, providing treatment primarily to severely and chronically suicidal and self-injurious women, most of whom had experienced childhood abuse and neglect; I worked in a hospital setting, again, with that same population of chronically and severely suicidal and self-injurious women; I conducted research in the area of eating disorders; and I taught several classes at the college level.
 - Q. And was that additional year after your doctoral degree also a form of fellowship?
- 22 | A. It was. It's called a postdoctoral fellowship, yes.
- Q. So after your postdoctoral fellowship what did you do next in your career?
 - A. I became licensed in the -- I sat for the licensing exam

Rocchio - Direct

and I became licensed as a psychologist, and I opened up an independent -- an independent practice, where I began to provide treatment on an outpatient basis to adolescents and adults for various life difficulties, many of whom had experienced some form of trauma and/or had eating disorders.

THE COURT: What year was that that you opened that practice?

THE WITNESS: I became licensed in December of 1997, so I opened the practice roughly January of 1998.

THE COURT: And where was it located?

THE WITNESS: It was located in Johnston, Rhode Island.

13 BY MR. MONTELEONI:

- Q. What is your role in that practice?
- A. The practice has grown. I'm currently the owner and clinical director, and I now also have other therapists whom I -- who work for me. I employ -- I provide consultation, I continue to maintain an active clinical practice, where I provide treatment to patients, and I provide consultation and supervision to my employees, and in addition, I maintain my individual forensic practice.
 - Q. So in your clinical practice, what do you focus on?
 - A. I provide psychotherapy often to individuals who have experienced some form of traumatic stress, many have experienced some form of interpersonal violence, such as

N1B1HAD2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- childhood abuse, or adult rape or sexual assault, intimate 1 2 partner violence; but I also treat a number of first 3 responders, individuals who have been involved in motor vehicle 4 accidents; and traumatic grief.
 - Q. Now you've mentioned that you also have an individual forensic practice. Your forensic practice, what sort of work do you do?
 - A. I work with -- in both criminal and civil settings. Sometimes I come in as a general expert, such as here -- I am here today. In other circumstances I'm asked to conduct a forensic psychological evaluation of an individual, for example, to determine whether or not they are suffering from any mental disorders or functional impairments, and, if so, whether any of those difficulties are related partly or wholly to some alleged or known event; or in a criminal setting, I might be asked to evaluate someone's history for information that might potentially be relevant to sentencing, once they've been convicted of a crime, or to evaluate their mental status at the time of a crime -- for example, in self-defense cases.
 - Q. And approximately how many forensic evaluations of individuals have you conducted?
- 22 Α. By this point, over a hundred.
- 23 Who hires you for forensic work, typically? 0.
- 24 I've been retained -- typically attorneys hire me, although Α. 25 there was a period earlier in my career where I was hired by

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- the State of Rhode Island and I conducted a number of forensic evaluations of teenagers. But I -- I've been primarily retained by attorneys.
 - Q. In your career for approximately how many years have you treated and assessed patients, or treated patients and evaluated individuals?
 - A. So it would be over 30 years because as part of my graduate training, I began treating patients back in 1991, '92. Since becoming licensed as a psychologist, that would have been that's over 25 years.
 - Q. And as a clinical psychologist, in your clinical psychology practice, what issues or areas do you specialize in?
 - A. Interpersonal violence, traumatic stress, professional ethics. I treat a number of individuals who are the victims of some form of professional misconduct, sexual misconduct, traumatic grief and bereavement, as well as anxiety disorders and depression.
 - Q. So for about what portion of your career have you focused on traumatic stress and interpersonal violence?
 - A. My entire career.
 - Q. Over the course of your career have you treated and evaluated individuals who have experienced or reported experiencing sexual assault?
- 24 | A. Yes.
 - Q. Approximately how many victims of sexual assault have you

2

6

25

- evaluated or treated over your career?
 - A. Hundreds upon hundreds. Probably a thousand.
- 3 Q. And broadly speaking, has your experience with evaluating
- 4 | individuals in your forensic practice contributed to your
- 5 understanding of the issues you discuss in cases such as this?
 - A. Yes. My forensic work and my clinical work each complement
- 7 | the other.
- 8 Q. And how; in what ways do they complement the other?
- 9 A. So when I'm working as a clinical psychologist and
- 10 providing treatment, I'm primarily taking whatever issue the
- 11 patient is presenting to me at face value and I'm working with
- 12 them from their experience and providing treatment on the
- 13 | issues and goals that they've identified, based on my skills
- 14 and training and knowledge of the research literature.
- When I'm working as a forensic psychologist, I'm not
- 16 | taking anyone's words at face value. It's much more of an
- 17 | objective and investigatory role, so it involves comprehensive
- 18 review of a whole range of documents -- medical records,
- 19 sometimes criminal records, and certainly keeping up on the
- 20 | literature. So that literature informs my clinical work, and
- 21 | in my clinical work, when issues arise that certainly raises
- 22 | questions that I might go and research in the literature or
- 23 consult with colleagues about. So it allows me to stay on top
- 24 of the -- the field in my areas of expertise.
 - Q. So you mentioned that you would consult other materials

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

25

- other than the patient's report when you conduct a -- or other
 than the individual's report, rather, when conducting a

 forensic evaluation. Do those materials ever include findings
 or admissions of guilt in court cases?
 - A. Yes, they have.
 - Q. Can you compare your observations and what you've learned in cases where there's been a finding or an admission that sexual abuse occurred with your observations and what you learned from treating or evaluating individuals who described sexual abuse but there's been no finding or admission that abuse occurred?
 - MR. WEIL: Objection, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Can you restate that question.
 - MR. WEIL: Can we get a sidebar.
- 15 THE COURT: No, thanks.
 - Q. So you mentioned that in your clinical practice you take -you've gained observations from the reports that patients give
 you which you take at face value.
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. And in your forensic practice you conduct an investigation
 which involves the consultation of other materials that
 sometimes include findings and -- or admissions of guilt in
 cases.
- 24 A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. About how consistent or nonconsistent with your

- observations from your forensic practice in cases where there
 have been findings or admissions of guilt, and in your clinical
 practice where you're just taking the patients' words at face
 value?
- 5 MR. WEIL: Objection. Beyond the scope of the notice.
 6 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
 - A. Extraordinarily consistent.
 - Q. In addition to running a group practice, do you work anywhere else?
 - A. I do.

7

8

9

10

25

- 11 Q. Where? Where else do you work?
- 12 A. I'm a clinical associate professor at the Brown University
 13 Alpert School of Medicine.
- 14 Q. And how long have you taught at the Alpert -- sorry -- the
- 15 Brown University Alpert School of Medicine?
- 16 A. For I think about the last three years I've been working
 17 there.
- 18 Q. What are your current responsibilities there?
- A. I supervise forensic -- I'm sorry -- I supervise

 psychiatric fellows and residents in the provision of

 psychotherapy, so they're psychiatrists-in-training who have

 completed medical school, and part of what they need to learn

 how to do is provide psychotherapy, so I provide one-on-one

 clinical supervision. And then I come in several times a year

to provide lectures and training, specifically in the area of

- 1 assessment and treatment of trauma.
- Q. Do you teach any subject matter areas there other than the assessment and treatment of trauma?
- 4 A. At Brown?
- 5 | Q. Yes.
- 6 A. Not specifically.
- 7 | Q. All right.
- 8 | A. The -- the supervision is much more broad. So it -- it's
- 9 whatever issue the psychiatrists' patients are presenting with.
- 10 But when I come in and give lectures, they're typically,
- 11 currently, related to issues pertaining to trauma, although I
- 12 | have given lectures there in the past on issues specific to
- 13 ethics.
- 14 | Q. Have you published articles on traumatic stress or
- 15 | interpersonal violence?
- 16 A. I have.
- 17 | Q. In what types of publications?
- 18 | A. Most recently I was a guest editor in a peer-reviewed
- 19 | journal called Psychological Injury and Law, and it was a
- 20 special issue on the assessment and treatment of complex
- 21 | trauma -- which is a form of interpersonal violence -- in
- 22 | forensic settings, and in addition to co-authoring the
- 23 | introduction to the special issue, I wrote a piece on ethical
- 24 and professional issues that can arise in the assessment of
- 25 | such trauma in forensic settings.

- Q. And do you have any other types of involvement in professional publications?
 - A. I do.

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 | Q. What types of involvement?
- A. I am on the editorial board of the primary division of trauma psychology, which is a subdivision of the American

 Psychological Association; and in addition to serving as a member of the editorial board, I'm also what's known as a ad hoc, or as-needed, editor for a number of other peer-reviewed
 - Q. Have you given any presentations in the areas of traumatic stress and interpersonal violence?
 - A. Yes, many.

iournals.

- Q. How did you -- how do you come to give those presentations?
 - A. So those are also typically peer reviewed in that there is a professional conference and I would submit a proposal for a talk that I would like to give, and then they are reviewed by whoever is putting on the conference. And then if my proposal is accepted, then I'm -- I come in and I present.

There are also a number of other types of presentations where I'm an invited speaker or giving a presentation or talk as part of a leadership role. For example, I — this past year I was the president of the trauma division for the American Psychological Association and in that role prepared and invited address.

- 1 Q. Do you belong to any professional organizations?
- 2 | A. I do.
- 3 Q. Do you hold any leadership positions in any professional
- 4 organizations?
- 5 | A. I do.
- 6 Q. What leadership positions?
- 7 A. So I just recently completed a term on the -- three-year
- 8 | term on the ethics committee for the American Psychological
- 9 Association; I served for well over 25 years on the Rhode
- 10 | Island Psychological Ethics Association; I've served as the
- 11 | chair of that ethics committee; I've served as the president of
- 12 | the Rhode Island Psychological Association; as I mentioned, I'm
- 13 | the immediate past president of the division of trauma
- 14 | psychology within the American Psychological Association; I've
- 15 also served in other roles for that organization, such as
- 16 | treasurer and member-at-large; and I'm a founding member of
- 17 | that division.
- 18 Q. What is, by the way, the American Psychological
- 19 | Association?
- 20 A. It's the national organization for psychology within the
- 21 United States.
- 22 | Q. You mentioned you were a member of the ethics committee for
- 23 | the American Psychological Association?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What were your duties and responsibilities in that role?

Rocchio - Direct

- A. In that role, we it's an elected position. I served a three-year term. We provide education and consultation on ethics matters. We have an adjudication role, which means that when someone has been sanctioned, for example, by their licensing board for some or by their university for some sort of an ethical issue, that comes before the American Psychological Association's ethics committee to determine whether or not they remain eligible to remain part of the organization. We review guidelines that are put out by the organization so that we can provide input regarding ethical issues, and we conduct trainings at the national convention on an annual basis around some of the key issues in the area of ethics.

 Q. So how, if at all, do you keep up to date on the subjects
 - Q. So how, if at all, do you keep up to date on the subjects in which you specialize?
 - A. Certainly by reading the journals in the articles in journals in the fields and in the topics in which I I specialize; by providing treatment and then reviewing the current literature on assessment and treatment issues; by speaking with colleagues for consultation; by attending continuing education programming and training; and through peer consultation.

MR. MONTELEONI: At this time the government moves to qualify Dr. Rocchio as an expert in psychology with a specialized expertise in traumatic stress and interpersonal

- 1 | violence.
- 2 MR. WEIL: No objection to her qualifications. Just
- 3 renewing our prior objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. Application is granted.
- 5 | BY MR. MONTELEONI:
- Q. Dr. Rocchio, have you interviewed any witnesses in this
- 7 | case?
- 8 A. No, I have not.
- 9 Q. Do you know --
- 10 | THE COURT: One second. We can discuss it later, but
- 11 | I'm not understanding what the prior objection was. But --
- 12 BY MR. MONTELEONI:
- 13 | Q. Do you know, Dr. Rocchio, who the witnesses in this case
- 14 | are?
- 15 | A. No, I do not.
- 16 | Q. Has the government provided you with any specific details
- 17 | about this case?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 | Q. Are you aware of press and news reporting relating to the
- 20 | allegations of this case in general terms?
- 21 A. In very general terms, yes.
- 22 | Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of the facts of this
- 23 | case?
- 24 | A. No, I do not.
- 25 | Q. And to be clear, your testimony today, will that be based

- 1 on information from this specific case?
- 2 A. No, it will not.
- Q. What, if any, compensation are you receiving for testifying
- 4 today?
- 5 A. I'm receiving compensation for any time spent preparing to
- 6 testify and for the time I spend testifying.
- 7 Q. Does the amount that you get paid depend in any way on the
- 8 | outcome of this trial?
- 9 A. No. In fact, that's specifically ethically prohibited per
- 10 the guidelines of my profession.
- 11 Q. Now I want to ask some questions based on your experience
- 12 as well as based on your research and training, focused on
- 13 adult victims of sexual assault.
- 14 Among instances of sexual assault, about how common is
- 15 | it for the assault to be committed through physical force as
- 16 opposed to through non-violent means?
- 17 A. The vast majority of sexual assaults and rapes are
- 18 committed through the use of deliberate psychological
- 19 manipulation, coercion, threats, and intimidation. Only a
- 20 | small minority -- maybe about 20 percent -- are committed
- 21 | through the use of force.
- 22 | Q. Are most instances of sexual assault committed by strangers
- 23 or by people known to the victim?
- 24 | A. Again, the vast majority -- about 80 percent -- of sexual
- 25 assaults are committed by someone who is known to the victim.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rocchio - Direct

What is that 80 percent statistic based on? So we -- in the field, there are a number of ways in which Α. data is collected. The CDC, for example, does large interviews of households. It's a study called the National Intimate Partner Sexual Violence Study. And they interview 15,000 households and ask about a variety of experiences of violence, sexual assault, rape. And in the course of inquiring as to those experiences, they'll also ask by whom the individual was sexually assaulted. Also, the Bureau of Justice maintains national crime statistics on crimes of all types that are committed across the United States. And then there are broad-scale studies that are done, interviewing specialized populations -- for example, clinical patients or inmates in a prison or college students -- and so we can use sampling techniques to get a sense of overall prevalence rates, and in the course of collecting that data, individuals are questioned as to who the perpetrator was, or alleged perpetrator. Q. Now you just testified that most instances of sexual assault are committed by people known to the victims. In such instances, what are the ways in which victims commonly respond during sexual assault? Oftentimes what happens when someone is sexually assaulted is their defense mechanisms, their defense brain circuitry will take over, so many will report instances, for example, of freezing, or they will fall back on their habits of ingrained

responses to power — for example, attempting to please or placate. They may be silent and confused as to what's going on. They may be in shock. So there can be a variety of responses during an assault itself. We know that a small minority, for example — about 15 percent — may begin screaming or fighting violently. Only about 6 percent will use more kinds of violent physical defenses like biting or kicking. More often it's some form of immobilization, brief freezing, not being able to think clearly, sometimes kind of a collapsing if they feel that they can't get away. But some sort of a fear response.

- Q. Based on your experience and your research and training, about how common is it for victims of sexual assault by people known to them to recognize what happened to them as sexual assault?
- A. So we know that about 60 percent of individuals who answer yes to the question Have you ever experienced Have you ever been forced to engage in sexual intercourse or has somebody ever engaged in sexual contact with you against your will, about 60 percent of individuals who answer yes to those questions, if they're asked in the same survey or interview later on, Have you ever been raped or sexually assaulted, they'll say no. So there's a really large, well-known issue in the literature and in the field, and it's referred to as what's called unacknowledged rape. So it means that many individuals

Rocchio - Direct

- who are raped or sexually assaulted will not label their experience as such.
- Q. And what, if any, types of relationships between a perpetrator and a victim make the victim less likely to recognize what happened to them as sexual assault?

less likely to label it as an assault or as a rape.

- A. So we know that the closer the relationship between the victim and the perpetrator, the less likely the individual is to be able to recognize that what has happened is a rape or sexual assault and the less likely they are even if they do recognize that something inappropriate happened, they're much
- Q. When you described the close relationships, can you describe the ways in which relationships can be close or that have this effect on the victim's ability to recognize what happened to them as sexual assault?
- A. Sure. Typically that would refer to relationships in which there's trust. So you're talking about a family member or an intimate partner, or someone that you have other reasons to trust by virtue of their role, such as a teacher or a counselor or a physician, a clergy member, for example.
- Q. So you testified that relationships of trust are types of relationships in which a victim is less likely to recognize what happened to them as sexual assault. Why is that?
- A. Because when you trust an individual, you typically have many ways in which you've come to know that person and rely on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rocchio - Direct

that person in a variety of contexts, and typically the acts that constitute sexual assault are -- are not -- they act in many other ways that would build trust and show themselves to be reliable or kind, and so it can become very confusing. is this person who, in all of these other situations, has been trustworthy or nice or very positive, with whom I've had good interactions or I know in these other ways, and then all of a sudden this person is doing something that's not appropriate in nature, and a combination of confusion as well as psychological defense mechanisms and experiences of self-blame and shame can kick in, all of which can make the individual less likely to recognize that what's happened is sexual assault or to label it as such. Can you describe what you mean when you say "self-blame and shame." When an individual is sexually assaulted, most -- one of the most common reactions the victim experiences is a sense of shame and self-blame, and it's particularly the case when they are assaulted by someone that they know. So there is --

25

Rocchio - Direct

	N1B1HAD2 Rocchio - Direct
1	the more likely they are to blame themselves, to think that
2	they must be mistaken, to normalize the behavior, to make
3	excuses for the perpetrator, and to minimize what's happened.
4	Q. Now what is the are you familiar with the concept of a
5	power differential as relevant to the sexual assault context?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What is the relevance of a power differential to sexual
8	assault?
9	A. So rape and sexual assault are always about the abuse and
10	misuse of power, because one person is doing something to
11	someone else against their will, or without and/or without
12	their consent. To the extent that there's a preexisting power
13	differential, we know that perpetrators exploit that power
14	differential in order to manipulate victims and in order to
15	isolate them and have greater opportunity to sexually abuse
16	them.
17	Q. And how does that power differential give the perpetrator
18	the ability to do that?
19	MR. WEIL: Objection, Judge.
20	THE COURT: Overruled.
21	MR. WEIL: Could I have one moment.
22	THE COURT: In your opinion.
23	(Counsel conferring)

THE COURT: Come on.

BY MR. MONTELEONI:

Rocchio - Direct

Q. So how does the power differential -- sorry.

How does the power differential affect the victim's ability to recognize what happens to them as sexual abuse?

A. So the greater the power the individual has in a relationship of trust, the more likely the individual is to believe what the perpetrator is saying, to give them the benefit of the doubt; the more vulnerable they are to being exploited, and the less likely they are to be able to recognize what's happened, the less likely they might be to speak out even if they do recognize what's happened.

- Q. In the context of sexual assault occurring within relationships of trust, about how common is ongoing contact by the victim with the same perpetrator?
- A. It can be quite common, especially if someone doesn't recognize that what's happening is in fact sexual assault or wrong, and so if they don't recognize that they're being harmed, they would have no reason to avoid ongoing contact and therefore would be extremely at extremely high risk for revictimization.
- Q. So you mentioned revictimization. What are you referring to?
- A. So in -- revictimization refers to being victimized a second time, or more, and we know that for any victim of sexual assault, they are at higher risk for revictimization, not only by the perpetrator of the original assault, should they be in a

Rocchio - Direct

- continued or ongoing relationship with them, but also they're
 at higher risk for being sexually assaulted by others.
 - Q. And why does a -- how does a relationship of trust contribute to the victim's vulnerability to revictimization by the same perpetrator?
 - A. Well, as I mentioned, perhaps they -- they don't recognize that what's happened is sexual assault so if they're trusting that this individual is taking care of them or if they're dependent on the individual in some way, they -- they may not know that anything wrong is happening, which would increase their vulnerability; but also, there are a variety of psychological defenses and coping strategies that individuals utilize when they're in ongoing relationships that can make them less likely to absorb and understand the extent of harm or what's been done to them.
 - Q. I'd like to come back to the psychological defenses and coping strategies you mentioned, but first I just want to ask some specific questions about the doctor-patient relationship.

Are you familiar with situations in which patients are abused during the course of medical treatment by their doctors?

A. Yes, very.

- Q. Do you have specialized knowledge and training in the
- A. I do.
 - Q. How are you familiar with such situations?

context of abuse by health care professionals?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rocchio - Direct

In my clinical practice I have treated a number of patients who have been victims of sexual misconduct by former psychotherapists or psychiatrists or health care professionals; I have evaluated individuals who are health care professionals and have had boundary violations of a sexual nature, and I've been asked to evaluate those individuals and make recommendations to their respective licensing boards for rehabilitation, for example; and certainly in forensic settings, I have evaluated victims of sexual abuse by health care professionals and provided expert testimony as I am here today in other situations in addition to today about abuse by a health care professional -- sexual abuse by a health care professional. Q. Now how, if at all, is the concept of a relationship of trust relevant to the doctor-patient sexual abuse? It's very -- it's very relevant. Can you explain. Q. So when a patient goes to a doctor, they are help-seeking, and the physician is in a role of providing help. They have an

A. So when a patient goes to a doctor, they are help-seeking, and the physician is in a role of providing help. They have an ethical and legal obligation and a duty that they owe to assist that patient, to not exploit that patient, to not abuse their role of power. In fact, the ethics codes explicitly prohibit sexual contact between a physician and a patient, and go so far as to explain that the power differential is so great that even if that sexual contact is initiated by the patient, it is the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rocchio - Direct

physician's responsibility to be sure that those boundaries are not crossed. But patients in general, when they're coming to a physician, typically they may be experiencing pain or fear or They may be in a very vulnerable position of not knowing what's going on. They're turning over care of their body to someone who's supposed to be an expert, who's supposed to be using specialized skills and knowledge to help them. They may -- it's one of the few settings where somebody who is fully dressed will -- can appropriately ask you to undress and touch your body in a very private, isolated setting. there's the special doctor-patient relationship where, to the extent that someone is providing healing and care and help, that -- that not only makes you trust them but often increases the sense of admiration, respect, and care by the patient for the physician. Q. You mentioned the ethical and legal obligations on the doctor. How, if at all, does the existence of those obligations affect the patient's trust of the doctor? A. Most patients have the awareness that physicians have some sort of a code and responsibility to do the right thing and to take care of them. Even if they don't know the ins and outs of the ethics code, they know that the doctor is supposed to do right by them. So they go in with the expectation that what the doctor is doing and saying is going to be in their best

interest, and of course the doctor is in a position of

N1B1HAD2 Rocchio - Direct

authority and often provides advice or instructions and tells 1 2 the patient what to do, and they're used to needing to comply with what the doctor is telling them to do. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. You also mentioned power differentials in relationships as relevant to the sexual assault context. How, if at all, is the concept of power differential applicable to the doctor-patient relationship?
- A. Extremely. I mean, the physician in the role of doctor-patient has an enormous amount of power. They -- again, they have access to -- to be able to touch and examine an individual's body; they often have information either from a medical record or from interviewing the patient, they can ask patients questions that are very embarrassing or of an extremely sensitive nature; they typically know far more about the patient and the patient's history and circumstances than the patient would know about their personal lives; and they have an enormous amount of specialized skill and knowledge that the patient does not have access to.
- Q. How, if at all, does the doctor's institutional affiliation affect the power differential?
- So to the degree that an individual physician is affiliated with a high-status institution or has a very high-level reputation, or honors, recognitions, board certification, all of those things function to increase the stature and status of the physician in the patient's mind, and also increase the

Rocchio - Direct

likelihood that the individual is going to defer to what they 1 2 view as the physician's specialized knowledge and instruction. 3 Q. You mentioned the concept of patients having 4 vulnerabilities by virtue of seeking medical care. Can you 5 explain how that's relevant to the sexual assault context. 6 A. So a patient may present to a physician as, you know, 7 anxious, distressed, in pain, hurting, worried about their own health or the health of a loved one or their fetus if they're 8 9 pregnant, and they're -- that anxiety and that fear is 10 typically -- they're looking for information, they're looking 11 for the doctor to soothe, to help, to provide care. So in those particular kinds of situations, a patient is going to be 12 13 more vulnerable. Any of us, when we're in distress, when we're 14 upset, when we're hurting, when we're in pain, we are more 15 vulnerable. But also, if a physician has specialized personal knowledge of our history and they know our circumstances, then 16 17 we're more vulnerable because the physician may be in a position to exploit or to use that information in order to get 18 19 us to open up or get us to trust them more. Again, also, a 20 physician can ask questions of a highly personal nature that 21 typically we wouldn't necessarily answer or disclose to just an 22 ordinary person. 23 Now how, if at all, does the specific type of care that the 24 doctor is providing impact this power differential?

So what it -- what it can -- the type of care can impact

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rocchio - Direct

the power differential as well as the trust. So for example, to the degree that the frequency of visits, right, the more often an individual is seeing the same doctor over time, the more likely they are to come to trust, admire, respect that physician, the more they are likely to feel known and cared for by the physician. Also, to whatever degree the care that's being sought is of a more personal or intimate nature or to the degree that the patient is more sick, more in pain, more scared, has reason to be concerned, or things are happening to their body that they don't know about, they become more reliant, more dependent on the physician, and for the -- on the physician's knowledge and skill, and specialized attention and care. So that's going to both maximize the power differential and increase the likelihood that the individual continues to trust and rely upon the doctor and the doctor's instruction and care. Q. So you mentioned that a doctor's provision of care of a more intimate nature can increase the effect of this power differential on the patient. Can you give some examples of the more type of intimate or personal care that have that effect. I mean, sure. I think -- I think most individuals who have had an exam where the doctor is fully clothed and you're undressed and the physician has reason to be examining genital regions or -- for women, an internal vaginal exam, for men, a prostate exam -- where something is being inserted into your

body, where there's reason for genitals, breasts, and buttocks
to be examined and explored, those certainly would be examples
where someone's going to be more vulnerable. I think anyone
who's had any sort of a gynecological exam knows the kind of
exposure one might feel, you know, you're sitting your legs
are in stirrups, and you're exposed.
Q. In the case of care of patients who are pregnant, what, if
any, relevance does a patient's pregnancy have to the power
relationship between the doctor and the patient?
A. Oftentimes pregnancy is a time of anxiety. It's a time

where a pregnant person is very concerned not only about their own health and well-being but about the health and well-being of the fetus. Typically, in the course of a pregnancy, you're seeing the same health care provider multiple times, and your body is changing. Unknown things are happening. You're relying upon that physician for information, not only about what's happening to your own body but what to expect — not only what's happening to the development of the fetus but what to expect at birth. The nature of obstetrical care is that oftentimes it involves a very invasive kind of internal exam with instrumentation or with the physician's hands. There may be testing that is ordered and conducted, again, ultrasounds that are performed, vaginal ultrasounds that are performed. So it's an ongoing relationship where oftentimes pregnant women will talk at great length about needing to trust and like and

Rocchio - Direct

care for the physician they're choosing to see during their pregnancy.

The other thing is that anyone who has had a prior history of sexual violation or victimization, the act of being pregnant can be -- and of having to have repeated gynecological and obstetrical care can also trigger additional anxiety and fear and concern.

- Q. Now does the power differential that you've been describing between a doctor and a patient disappear if a patient has a choice of which doctor to go to?
- A. No. It's -- the power differential is about the role of the physician regardless of who that physician is.
 - Q. So you've testified about the power differential between the doctor and patient and the relationship of trust between the doctor and the patient. How, if at all, do those factors affect a patient's response to being sexually abused during the course of an appointment?
 - A. So I think oftentimes because of the nature of an exam, a doctor can sexually abuse a patient without the patient even being aware that that's what's happening. Patients may not know whether a particular type of touch or a particular type of procedure is appropriate, and if they trust and respect the doctor and the doctor says, hey, I need to insert my hand into your vagina, hey, I need to have you roll over and insert my finger into your rectum, I need you to disrobe again because I

Rocchio - Direct

have to do something else, the patient may believe that that's part of the normal course of what is supposed to be done and may not have any awareness that it's out of -- out of the norm or that it's being done for purposes of sexual gratification.

Also, as I had referred to earlier, even if a patient feels like something maybe is off or doesn't feel quite right and those questions do arise in their mind, they're far more likely to be dismissed because of a variety of psychological defenses and coping strategies.

- Q. Could you explain what you mean by psychological defenses and coping strategies. First, in general, what are psychological defenses and coping strategies?
- A. So in terms of psychological defenses, we all have ways in which we respond to stressful, difficult situations, either of an interpersonal nature or of any kind of stress or trauma. So maybe you you're somebody who tends to avoid conflict; maybe you're somebody who tends to blame yourself often; maybe you're someone who tends to minimize what happens to you; or you tend to make excuses for people who have harmed you, or be dismissive of it; maybe you tend to use denial so that you kind of automatically push something out of your head and as a way to protect yourself from some painful event or experience, it literally just gets suppressed unconsciously automatically; or maybe you're somebody who intentionally tries to kind of put difficult things out of your mind. And these are not these

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

Rocchio - Direct

are not necessarily maladaptive. I mean, if you have a fight with your spouse and you know you have to get a job done at work, you're going to intentionally try to put that out of your mind to focus on your work. Or if you have a really difficult day at work, you might need to try to deliberately put that aside so that you can enjoy your family. Sometimes, though, it can come out anyway; like you've had a bad day at work, you come home and you maybe are much more impatient or frustrated with your children, but you have no idea that it's been -related to what happened at work. So those are some of the ways, in a general sense,

that we can see psychological defenses working.

- Q. What is a term to describe this process of intentionally putting something away so that you can focus on something else that you just mentioned?
- It's generally referred to as directed forgetting or suppression, thought suppression, or compartmentalization. Those are some of the terms that are used to describe that.
- 19 Okay. And you mentioned the concept of minimizing, of 20 minimizing something. What is minimization?
 - A. Minimization is simply telling yourself that -- and often believing that whatever has happened was less than what it was, and usually -- and what happens is you're either minimizing the event itself -- in other words, this thing was no big deal -or you're minimizing its impact. Yeah, that person was, you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Rocchio - Direct

know, did a bad thing but, you know, I'm over it, it's no big deal. I'm -- it's not -- it's not a problem. So it's a way to protect yourself. If you're minimizing that someone has harmed you, it's a way to allow you to maintain a relationship with someone that you trust, all right, because it kind of keeps you from being aware that they've hurt you or to the degree to which they've hurt you. And that allows you to maintain that relationship. And it also can help you to put away painful, difficult feelings and allow you to continue to function without having to absorb the full impact of a traumatic event, for example. Can you give some examples of how a victim of sexual assault might use minimizing language to think about or talk

about the assault.

So this goes right back to what I was saying earlier when I was talking about unacknowledged rape or sexual assault. Rape and sexual assault are big, scary words. And we know most people don't use them even if they acknowledge that they've experienced something that might meet that definition. So if a patient comes in, they may talk about an unwanted experience, or a difficult thing, or, This guy did something, he's not a good guy; it was creepy, it was weird, it was uncomfortable. didn't want it. I said no and he did it anyway. So they're kind of talking around the issue without using those big scary They're minimizing it. Or they're saying, I'm over it, words.

Rocchio - Direct

- it didn't affect me, or they're not aware. You know, a lot of times I'll see an adult who's had horrific history of abuse, and maybe they've had difficulties with substances, and every relationship, you know, in their adult life has been highly problematic, or they're depressed, or anxious, and they may have no idea that there's any potential connection between the difficulties they're currently having and their prior abuse, because they've kind of minimized it in their mind and put it out, pushed it out of their thoughts.

 Q. And you've mentioned this concept of thought suppression.

 What is thought suppression?

 A. Deliberately attempting to push thoughts out of your mind,
- trying not to think about something else.
- Q. You also mentioned the term "denial." What is denial?
- A. Denial is, in the psychological context, when we're talking about it as a defense, it's -- refers to literally not knowing. So you're denying to yourself that something has happened. And it's an unconscious, automatic response, so you're completely unaware that something has happened or that you've done something.
- Q. So how do these defenses or mechanisms, how do they help the person who's using them deal with the sexual assault?
- A. They help provide a distance between the experience of sexual assault and the trauma that is associated with sexual assault, so it's a distancing technique because if you can act

and talk as if this horrible, horrible experience hasn't happened, then you can kind of pretend that it didn't, and protect yourself from the pain, the fear, the shame, the guilt, the trauma of what did happen.

MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, this might be a good time

MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, this might be a good time for a break.

THE COURT: Sure. So it's quarter to 1. Why don't we get back here at quarter to 2. Thanks, everybody.

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Have a good lunch, unless you have any questions.

MS. VON DORNUM: Thank you.

MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you.

(Luncheon recess)

N16BHAD3 Rocchio - Direct

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	1:55 p.m.
3	(Jury present)
4	THE COURT: Please be seated, everyone.
5	We'll continue with our examination of the witness.
6	THE COURT: Go ahead.
7	DEPUTY CLERK: No, Judge. We're still waiting.
8	THE COURT: I see.
9	(Pause)
10	DEPUTY CLERK: Ma'am, before you begin, I'd like to
11	remind you you're still under oath.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you.
13	THE COURT: Counsel, you may continue.
14	BY MR. MONTELEONI:
15	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Rocchio. Before the break, we were
16	discussing several psychological defenses or coping mechanisms
17	used by victims of sexual assault.
18	How, if at all, does the relationship of trust between
19	the victim and the perpetrator interact with the victim's use
20	of such coping mechanisms or psychological defenses?
21	A. So we know because we know that the closer the
22	relationship and the more trust that exists between the victim
23	and the perpetrator, the less likely the individual is to
24	identify and label what has happened as sexual assault or
25	sexual abuse.

N16BHAD3

Rocchio - Direct

We have all -- there's also been research done looking at the differences between those who have recognized and labeled their experiences as abuse and those who have not. We know that, for example, those who are in a relationship of trust and who are not labeling what has been a sexual abuse as such are more likely to experience shame and self-blame. We know that confusion and therefore minimization, normalization, rationalization, and denial are also more likely in the -- when the abuse happens in the context of a relationship of trust and a power differential.

THE COURT: Can I interrupt for one second?

You used a word this morning several times,

"freezing." You're not talking about the temperature, I don't
think. Can you explain what you mean by freezing?

THE WITNESS: Sure.

When human beings are in a -- and animals, any animal, actually -- is in a fear response, there's a series of mechanisms that take over called the defense circuitry of the brain. And in response to fear, it's typically fight, flight, or freeze when you're talking about animals.

When you're talking about humans, there can also be that falling back on habit. So it's referred to as fawning, trying to placate or please or convince the perpetrator to leave or to leave you alone.

So the freeze response can be something that is

momentary. When the defense mechanisms, the defense circuitry of the brain take over and the person's body and brain responds to being sexual assaulted, it can be associated with just kind of momentarily because the defense circuitry pulls attention away from the prefrontal cortex where the thinking happens. People can describe their minds going blank.

The freezing is typically very quick in more extreme instances, in the animal kingdom, for example, we see something that's referred to as tonic immobility, where there's just this almost -- you'll see an animal kind of playing dead, having completely collapsed, and the human analogy of that might be a more extreme fear response.

In addition to the freeze, individuals will describe the sense of not having their voice, of being immobile, of being numb, of feeling nothing, or of disassociating, being outside their body. All of those things are associated with the defense response. But in that context, I'm using defense circuitry as a physiological, neurological basis which is different than the psychological defenses I'm referring to here.

THE COURT: And freezing, you said, typically is short term, but it can also evolve into a longer-term reaction?

THE WITNESS: So freezing is typically a short-term reaction in the moment of fear and then can evolve into kind of a sort of immobility of collapsing inward or kind of an extreme

Rocchio - Direct

- 1 kind of acquiescence to just giving up.
- 2 BY MR. MONTELEONI:
- 3 Q. Okay. And in focusing on these longer-term psychological
- 4 defenses and coping mechanisms, what behaviors do you expect to
- 5 see from someone who is using these type of defenses after a
- 6 sexual assault?
- 7 A. They may be acting as if nothing has happened, either
- 8 because they've convinced themselves that nothing has happened
- 9 or because they are deliberately trying to put it out of their
- 10 head.
- Often, if we're trying to mask our emotions and will
- 12 present kind of a different presentation to the outside world
- 13 so as not to appear sad or frightened or upset, and the more
- 14 you kind of push those emotions aside and act as if everything
- 15 | is okay, the more it can actually make you appear as if
- 16 everything is okay. And the reason it's a defense is because
- 17 | it can actually also help you to feel as if things aren't as
- 18 | bad as they really are.
- 19 Q. And how, if at all, do those behaviors play out in the
- 20 | doctor/patient abuse context?
- 21 | A. So, again, we know that in a doctor/patient abuse context,
- 22 | you're more likely to make use of these defenses, less likely
- 23 | to be aware that what has happened is abuse; so therefore, to
- 24 | the degree that you are either truly unaware that what's
- 25 | happened is abuse, because you've been deliberately misled, for

Rocchio - Direct

NIODINIDO NOCCIIIO DIFECC
example, or to the extent that, as a psychological defense,
you've pushed the knowledge out of awareness, then you're going
to continue your relationship as if the abuse had not happened.
If you don't have awareness of something, then you're not going
to be able to act on that information.
Q. So, then, what effect do the use of these coping mechanisms
or psychological defenses have on the likelihood of a victim's
maintaining ongoing contact with the same perpetrator?
A. They're likely to maintain contact because what they're
going to in an ongoing relationship remember, even a
physician who is abusing a patient is not always behaving in an
abusive manner.
You have an in any sort of abusive dynamic of a
trust relationship, you have the experiences of abuse are
interspersed with neutral or positive moments, which function
to keep the connection and the trust intact. So the individual
is likely to be paying more attention to the kindness or the
care that they believe they're receiving and not paying
attention to anything that feels uncomfortable or abusive, to
the extent that they're aware of it.
MR. MONTELEONI: No further questions.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MR. WEIL: No questions.
THE COURT: Thanks very much.

(Witness excused)

N16BHAD3 Lozada - Direct

1 MR. MONTELEONI: The government calls Rosalina Lozada.

DEPUTY CLERK: Ma'am, if you could step up here,

please.

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

If you could step up to the chair and raise your right

5 | hand, please?

6 ROSALINA LOZADA,

called as a witness by the Government,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you, ma'am. You may be seated.

- DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. MONTELEONI:
- 12 | Q. Good afternoon.
- 13 A. Good afternoon.
- 14 | Q. How old are you?
- 15 | A. I'm 55.
- 16 | Q. What is your highest educational degree?
- 17 A. I have a master's degree.
- 18 | Q. In what?
- 19 A. In the science of nursing and midwifery.
- 20 | Q. When did you receive your master's degree in the science of
- 21 | nursing and midwifery?
- 22 | A. 1998.
- 23 | Q. Are you currently employed?
- 24 A. I am.
- 25 | Q. What is your occupation?

N16BHAD3

Lozada - Direct

- 1 A. I am a midwife.
- 2 Q. Where are you employed?
- 3 A. I work for New York Presbyterian and for the Northern
- 4 | Manhattan Perinatal Partnership.
- 5 | Q. What is New York Presbyterian?
- 6 A. It's a private not-for-profit hospital.
- 7 Q. Is the New York Presbyterian Hospital affiliated with any
- 8 | educational institution?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | O. Which institution?
- 11 A. Columbia University.
- 12 | Q. When did you first begin to work at New York Presbyterian?
- 13 A. I first started in the end of 1989 as a student nurse.
- 14 | Q. Did you work continuously at New York Presbyterian from
- 15 | then until now, or were there any breaks?
- 16 A. No, there were breaks.
- 17 | Q. How many breaks?
- 18 A. There was one break.
- 19 Q. When did that break start?
- 20 \parallel A. In about January 1999.
- 21 | Q. And what did you do in general terms during that break?
- 22 | A. After?
- 23 Q. Yes. Once you left New York Presbyterian and you were on
- 24 | the break, before coming back to it, what did you do in
- 25 between?

Lozada - Direct

- A. I worked as a midwife, I got married, I moved, lived overseas.
 - Q. When did you return to New York Presbyterian?
- 4 A. In 2010.

3

- 5 Q. So now directing your attention to the time before the
- 6 | break, which I believe you indicated would be from the late
- 7 | 1980s to 1999, what was your primary work assignment at
- 8 New York Presbyterian initially?
- 9 A. So initially when I worked as a student extern, I was there
- 10 | for about a year as a student nurse until December 1990, and
- 11 once I graduated nursing school, I was then hired in one of the
- 12 | medical units in January 1991 to get my foundation in medicine.
- 13 And I then was there for about 18 months. I then returned to
- 14 | the labor room in August of 1992.
- 15 | O. What is the labor room?
- 16 | A. Labor and delivery is where women go to deliver their
- 17 | babies.
- 18 Q. So you mentioned that you had started as a student nurse.
- 19 | By the time you returned to the labor room in 1992, what was
- 20 your position?
- 21 A. A staff nurse.
- 22 | Q. And so now I'd like to focus my questions during the time
- 23 when you were at New York Presbyterian Hospital in the labor
- 24 room as a staff nurse, so from the early 1990s to the late
- 25 | 1990s.

N16BHAD3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

During that time period, what were your duties and responsibilities?

A. So we would bring in patients who needed to be triaged as to what reasons they were in the labor room. We would coach the women who were in labor. Epidurals were not as popular as they are now. So you had to coach the women through the pain of labor. You assisted in operative deliveries, whether it was a vacuum or forceps delivery.

You circulated, you were the circulating nurse in the operating for cesarean deliveries. You were also the scrub nurse in the same -- in the cesarean delivers as well. You were the circulating nurse when there were tubal ligations that were performed. And you took care of women that were high risk, whether they were in preterm labor or if they were -- had severe preeclampsia, whether it was during pregnancy or whether they were postpartum.

- Q. Now, were there any types of examinations on patients performed in the labor room that you had responsibilities to be present for?
- The vaginal exams to check for cervical dilatation.
- 21 What was your understanding of why you were supposed to be 22 present?
- 23 To document the exam on the fetal heart rate, tracing, and 24 to document it in the nursing note.
 - And who performed these exams to check for cervical

N16BHAD3

Lozada - Direct

dilation? 1

3

- 2 Either the resident, the attending physician, or one of the midwives.
- 4 What is a resident? Q.
- 5 A. A resident is a physician who's graduated from the
- 6 four-year medical school and has chosen a medical field, and
- 7 that's his -- his or her -- they have to go through a training.
- So the residency is their training. It's either four years or 8
- seven years, depending on what field it is. 9
- 10 Q. So you mentioned that attending physicians also performed
- 11 these vaginal examinations for cervical dilation. What is an
- 12 attending physician?
- 13 A. An attending physician is a physician who's completed his
- 14 residency.
- 15 Q. How frequently would these vaginal examinations be
- conducted for patients in the labor room? 16
- 17 If it -- it would depend, but if a woman was in labor, it
- 18 would generally occur anywhere from two to three to two to
- four -- every two to three to two to four hours. 19
- 20 Q. So about how many of this sort of vaginal examination were
- 21 you present for?
- 22 Α. In a year?
- 23 Ο. Sure.
- 24 Α. Thousands. I mean...
- 25 I'm sorry. Did exams take place during THE COURT:

N16BHAD3 Lozada - Direct

1 labor?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

2 | THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 | THE COURT: Exclusively?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. MONTELEONI:

- Q. Do labor room beds have any type of stirrups or other type of foot rests?
- A. They have -- there's footrests, and some do have stirrups underneath that are -- the footrests are used the most, but it's usually during the actual delivery where the bed is broken.
- Q. And why are they usually only used during delivery when the bed is broken instead of every vaginal examination?
 - A. It's just -- it's cumbersome. It's not practical to break -- to break the bed and use the footrest for a simple -- for a simple vaginal exam.
 - Q. If the footrests are not used, what position is the patient's legs in during these vaginal examinations to check for cervical dilation?
- A. Her feet are either flat on the bed or they are placed together and then her knees are out to the side, sort of like her legs are frogs or butterflied.
- Q. Based on your experience, how were these type of
 examinations typically conducted by doctors in the labor room?
 - A. Well, the person doing the exam would put on their gloves

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Lozada - Direct

- and use a lubricant, and more often than not, they would sit on the bed, since the beds weren't broken, and there's no examining table. And then they would either separate the patient's labia, either one hand in or with two hands and then perform the exam.
 - Q. So can you describe the method that they used to separate the patient's labia with two hands?
 - A. If it's with two hands, they would separate the labia and then they would -- they would introduce -- it's the index finger and the middle finger into the introitus and into the vaginal canal to then reach, and then reach the cervix to find out what the dilatation is.
 - Q. And for the benefit of the court reporter, I'm going to try to describe what I saw you gesture, and please let me know if I described it correctly.

So you used one hand and had the thumb and finger spread for one hand when you gestured that the labia would be spread, and then two fingers together from the other hand would then be inserted into the vaginal canal; is that right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. And can you please describe the one-handed method of conducting the dilation check?
- A. So if it's one handed, you would use your thumb to separate
 the -- both the inner and outer labia, and it can be -- it's a
 combination of different things. You would use either your

Lozada - Direct

- ring and pinky finger or your middle finger and separate the
 inner and outer labia of the other side and then introduce your
 middle finger and then follow it with your middle finger and go
- 5 | Q. About how long does this whole process take?
- 6 A. Under a minute. It's just seconds.
 - Q. Have you ever heard of an individual named Robert Hadden?
- 8 | A. Yes.

in.

4

7

- 9 0. Who is Robert Hadden?
- 10 A. Robert Hadden was an attending obstetric-gynecologist that
 11 worked at New York Presbyterian.
- Q. Did you ever come to work with him while he was an attending obstetrician-gynecologist?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. What time was that, what time period?
- A. Well, I met him when he was a chief in 1990, when he was a chief resident. And then I worked with him more closely and
- did deliveries with him once I returned to the labor room in
- 19 | 1992.
- 20 Q. So when he was chief resident, what was your position at
- 21 \parallel the time?
- 22 | A. I was a student nurse.
- Q. All right. And when he was an attending physician, what
- 24 was your position at that time?
- $25 \parallel A$. I was a staff nurse.

Lozada - Direct

- 1 Q. All right. So focusing on your time as a staff nurse while
- 2 he was an attending physician in the labor room at New York
- 3 Presbyterian, did you ever attend any of Hadden's vaginal
- 4 | examinations of patients?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 0. About how often?
- 7 A. Maybe once or twice a month, maybe less frequently, once
- 8 every two to three months. It would vary.
- 9 Q. In total, over the years, about how many would you say?
- 10 A. In a year -- it's hard to say because of the attending call
- 11 schedule and the assignment, the nursing assignment schedule.
- 12 Maybe six, five, six, seven.
- 13 | Q. Now, did there come a time when you observed Hadden
- 14 conducting a vaginal examination that was different from the
- 15 other vaginal examinations you had been present for?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Did that happen once or more than once?
- 18 A. Once I became aware of how he performed the exam, it was
- 19 | every exam I witnessed him performing, this is how he did his
- 20 exams.
- 21 | Q. All right. And so directing your attention to that first
- 22 | time when you became aware, about when was that?
- 23 | A. It was about mid-1993.
- 24 | Q. Was that the first time you had attended a vaginal
- 25 examination with Hadden, or had you attended others previously?

4

Lozada - Direct

- A. No. I had attended others, but it was the first time that
 I actually saw exactly what he was doing.
 - Q. And if this is something that you saw him do repeatedly, how is it that you only saw it after attending several exams
- 5 | with him previously?
- 6 A. Because when I -- when I returned as a registered nurse, it
- 7 | wasn't until then in 1993 after my orientation process that I
- 8 | then became, at that time, comfortable enough in my role as a
- 9 | labor room nurse to not be so eager to await what the physician
- 10 or the midwife was telling me the exam was at the monitor, what
- 11 | the exam was with my clipboard ready to write down the exam.
- 12 So I was able to step back into the room and observe and see
- 13 what was happening. So that's when I was able to notice.
- 14 | Q. And what did you notice that time?
- 15 | A. Well, after I -- you know, I had gotten his gloves and the
- 16 | vaginal lubricant or the Surgilube, as we call it, was there,
- 17 | and the patient was positioned and I stepped back, it was how
- 18 he introduced his fingers into the patient's vagina that I
- 19 | found inappropriate.
- 20 Q. How did you observe him introduce fingers into the
- 21 | patient's vagina?
- 22 | A. So if this is the patient's labia and these are his
- 23 | fingers, he went like this and then went in.
- 24 | Q. All right. So I'm going to try to describe the gestures
- 25 | that you made for the benefit of the court reporter, and please

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

18

19

20

Lozada - Direct

1 let me know if I'm describing it correctly.

So with one hand, you put two fingers together to indicate the patient's labia. With the other hand, you used two fingers together and then rubbed them up and down the length of the fingers representing the labia several times before inserting them through the fingers representing the labia; is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Where were you positioned when you observed Hadden using his fingers to rub up and down the labia several times?
- 11 A. So I was -- I would say I was maybe 3 -- maybe 3 feet back

 12 at the side of the bed. You know, the bed was there, and I was

 13 about 3 feet back when I noticed that.
- 14 Q. What was your reaction when you noticed that?
 - A. I, to myself, was in shock. I was, like -- like, what the hell. Like, what is he doing.
- 17 | Q. Why did you think, what the hell?
 - A. I thought that because, like, I've watched porn and I've had sex, and it just seemed sexual to me. I know what it's like when you are about to be fingered. It's the only way that
- 21 I thought in my mind. That is what that seemed to me.
- 22 | Q. Did you say anything at the time that you witnessed it?
- 23 | A. No.
- Q. Okay. Now, to what extent, if at all, did that first
- 25 | incident that you were describing stand out to you?

manner.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

Lozada - Direct

- 1 A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. So how unusual or not unusual was this, this incident that you observed?
 - A. Well, it was unusual because I had never seen anyone separate -- attempt to separate a patient's labia in that

THE COURT: And just to recall, when did this happen that you had that observation?

THE WITNESS: This was mid 1993.

THE COURT: Mid-1993.

- BY MR. MONTELEONI:
- Q. Following that observation, can you remind the jury about how often after that you observed Hadden rub his fingers up and down the patient's labia prior to inserting them into the patient's vagina when conducting this examination?
- 16 A. How many times?
 - Q. No. How often compared to each vaginal examination that he was conducting, how often did he do that?
 - A. I don't understand the question.
- 20 | Q. Let me try to ask a better question.
 - (Counsel confer)
- 22 MR. MONTELEONI: Thank you, Ms. Van Dornum.
- 23 BY MR. MONTELEONI:
- Q. How many times after that did you see Mr. Hadden do that?
- 25 A. Oh, every time he did a vaginal exam that I was in the room

Lozada - Direct

- 1 | with him.
- 2 | Q. Now, did you ever make any type of formal report or
- 3 complaint to New York Presbyterian or Columbia that Hadden
- 4 | rubbed his fingers up and down a patient's labia?
- 5 | A. No.
- $6 \parallel Q$. Why not?
- 7 A. Because historically, there has always been a hierarchy
- 8 between physicians and nurses, and I felt that I didn't have a
- 9 | voice.
- 10 | Q. What did you think would happen if you did make a report?
- 11 | A. That I would be ostracized.
- 12 | Q. What did you think would happen with respect to Hadden if
- 13 you made a report?
- 14 A. Nothing.
- 15 | Q. You testified that you observed thousands of these vaginal
- 16 exams a year in the labor room. How many years did you work in
- 17 | the labor room as a registered nurse before the break?
- 18 | A. Until 1999. So '93 -- so it was about -- it was when I
- 19 returned. It was eight years.
- 20 | Q. So during that entire time period, out of all of the
- 21 doctors in the practice, how many of them did you ever see rub
- 22 | their fingers up and down a patient's labia prior to inserting
- 23 | their fingers into a patient's vagina the way that Hadden did?
- 24 A. Only him.
- MR. MONTELEONI: No further questions.

N16BHAD3 Lozada - Cross

- 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MS. VON DORNUM:
- 3 | Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Lozada. How are you?
- 4 A. Good. How are you?
- Q. I want to make sure I'm understanding the bigger context, I quess.
- What you observed occurred in the labor and delivery room?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And am I right that unlike a private exam room where one 11 might have a gynecological exam, in the labor and delivery
- 12 room, more people are around and in and out; is that correct?
- 13 A. What do you mean?
- 14 | Q. I mean, it's not just the doctor, the patient, and the
- 15 | nurse, right?
- 16 A. You mean inside the room?
- 17 Q. In the labor and delivery room.
- 18 A. It's -- there's usually a partner or a family member in the
- 19 | room, but it's usually -- it's usually the nurse that's going
- 20 | in and out.
- 21 | Q. Who is coming and go?
- 22 A. That's coming and going.
- 23 | Q. Okay. And usually in the labor and delivery room, the door
- 24 | is open and the nurse comes in and out?
- 25 A. It's closed.

N16BHAD3

Lozada - Cross

- 1 | Q. It's closed?
- 2 A. It's usually closed.
- 3 | Q. But the nurse is the one coming and going?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And then the partner is there, or family member?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Okay. Great. I think you said in order to check for
- 8 dilation of the cervix, you have to part the labia, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. So what you observed Mr. Hadden doing was a different way
- 11 of parting the labia that you thought was inappropriate?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. And in order to then check for dilation after parting the
- 14 | labia, the doctor has to insert his fingers in the vagina,
- 15 || right?
- 16 A. Yes, of course.
- 17 | Q. I just want to make sure I'm getting it.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And you said when you observed this, Mr. Hadden's hand was
- 20 | gloved, right?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And he had put, or you had helped him put, lubricant on the
- 23 | gloved hand?
- 24 | A. Yes.

25

Q. Okay. And he would take the gloved hand with the lubricant

N16BHAD3

Lozada - Cross

- 1 and rub it up and down the labia several times before inserting
- 2 | his finger, right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. And he did this, you said, with every patient once you
- 5 | started noticing, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And he did it with partners in the room?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. He did it with you standing right behind him watching?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And at the time he did it -- I know you said it seemed
- 12 sexual to you.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Based on your own experiences, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. You didn't see him make any contact with the clitoris?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. You didn't hear him say anything inappropriate?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. He didn't look stimulated or odd in any way?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. And the rubbing up and down lasted a few seconds?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And you don't know, sitting here today, who any of these
- 25 patients were that you saw him do these exams on, right?

N16BHAD3 Stein - Direct

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Q. And you don't know where they lived?

A. No.

MS. VON DORNUM: Okay. Thank you.

MR. MONTELEONI: Nothing further. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thanks very much. Witness is excused.

(Witness excused)

MS. KIM: Your Honor, the government calls the witness testifying under the name Sara Stein.

DEPUTY CLERK: Ma'am, if you could step up here, please?

If you could step up to the chair and remain standing for a moment and raise your right hand?

Thank you.

SARA STEIN,

called as a witness by the Government,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DEPUTY CLERK: And, ma'am, it's my understanding you will be testifying under the name Sara Stein; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

DEPUTY CLERK: Ma'am, thank you. You may be seated.

MS. KIM: May I proceed, your Honor?

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MS. KIM:

Q. Are you testifying under the name Sara Stein today?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Leading up to this trial, did you ask to testify under a
- 3 different name to protect your privacy?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Ms. Stein, could you please turn to what is in front of you
- 6 and marked as Government Exhibit 103A?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 \parallel 0. What is it?
- 11 A. It's my driver's license.
- 12 | Q. Is this a fair and accurate copy of your driver's license?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 MS. KIM: Your Honor, the government offers Government
- 15 | Exhibit 103A into evidence under seal.
- 16 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 17 (Government's Exhibit 103A received in evidence)
- 18 MS. KIM: Can the jurors please turn to tab Government
- 19 | Exhibit 103A.
- 20 Q. And, Ms. Stein, can you be sure to speak into the
- 21 | microphone? You can move it closer to you if it's easier.
- 22 A. Is that good?
- 23 Q. Yes, thank you.
- Directing your attention to Government Exhibit 103A,
- 25 your driver's license. Without saying the name out loud, do

- 1 | you see your name listed on your driver's license?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Is that your current legal name?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And without saying the date of birth, do you see a date of
- 6 | birth listed on the license?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is that your date of birth?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Without saying the name, Ms. Stein, have you gone by any
- 11 other names?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. The next document in front of you is a document that is
- 14 | already in evidence under seal, Government Exhibit 1205-R2.
- 15 Directing your attention to the second column on this
- 16 row where you see the name listed after "formerly known as,"
- 17 | have you used that name before?
- 18 A. Yes, yes.
- 19 Q. Was that your married name?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Ms. Stein, how old are you?
- 22 | A. 49.
- 23 | Q. What state do you live in now?
- 24 A. New Jersey.
- 25 Q. How far did you go in school?

- 1 A. I have a bachelor's degree in studio art.
- 2 \ Q. Where did you go to high school?
- 3 A. In New York State.
- 4 | Q. What kind of high school did you go to?
- 5 A. I went to an all girls' Jewish orthodox high school.
- 6 | Q. Are you an orthodox Jew?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you have any experience working in the field of
- 9 | education?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. What experience do you have working in education?
- 12 A. I taught computer science and art.
- 13 | Q. For approximately how many years did you teach art and
- 14 | computer science?
- 15 A. Approximately 12 years.
- 16 \parallel Q. In what city did you principally work as a teacher?
- 17 A. New York City.
- 18 Q. What kind of school did you teach at?
- 19 A. An orthodox Jewish high school.
- 20 | Q. Where did you teach in New York City?
- 21 A. In New York City.
- 22 | Q. Where in New York City?
- 23 A. On the Upper East Side.
- 24 | Q. Ms. Stein, could you please place the documents to the
- 25 side?

N16BHAD3 Stein - Direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Thank you.
- 3 During approximately what time period were you a
- 4 | teacher in New York City?
- 5 | A. From 1998 until 2009.
- 6 Q. And as a teacher, did you work year round?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. During what portion of the year did you teach?
- 9 A. I taught from September till June.
- 10 | Q. And what grades did you teach?
- 11 A. Middle school and mostly high school.
- 12 | Q. Ms. Stein, do you have any children?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. How many?
- 15 | A. Two.
- 16 Q. When were they born?
- 17 | A. 1999 and 2003.
- 18 Q. What month in 1999 was one of your --
- 19 A. January, January 1999 and October 2003.
- 20 | Q. When you had summers off as a teacher, how did you spend
- 21 | your time?
- 22 A. I was home with my kids.
- 23 | Q. Are you presently employed?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 | Q. What was the last job you had?

N16BHAD3

- 1 A. I was editing Jewish books.
- 2 | Q. Until approximately when were you editing books?
- 3 A. About three and a half weeks ago.
- 4 | Q. Ms. Stein, have you ever been married?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. From approximately when to when were you married?
- 7 A. I got married in December of '97 and until February --
- 8 | January 2006.
- 9 Q. Are you familiar with an individual named Robert Hadden?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. How do you know him?
- 12 A. He was my OB-GYN.
- 13 | Q. Do you think you'd be able to recognize Hadden if you saw
- 14 | him today?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q. Could you please look around the courtroom and let us know
- 17 | if you see Robert Hadden?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Could you please identify Robert Hadden by where he is
- 20 sitting and an item of clothing he's wearing?
- 21 A. He has a blue mask sitting at that table over there, the
- 22 back table.
- 23 | Q. And by back table, do you mean the table next to that door?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 MS. KIM: Let the record reflect that the witness has

- 1 | identified the defendant, Robert Hadden.
- THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
- 3 BY MS. KIM:
- 4 Q. Ms. Stein, during approximately what time period was Hadden
- 5 | your OB-GYN?
- 6 A. I met him when I got pregnant in 1998 until about 2010.
- 7 | Q. Approximately how old were you in 1998?
- 8 A. I was 25.

- Q. How did you come to be a patient of the defendant?
- 10 A. People -- members of my family were patients of
- 11 Dr. McCaffrey, and I had originally gone to see him as a
- 12 | gynecologist once before I got pregnant. When I got pregnant,
- 13 | I had made an appointment to see Dr. McCaffrey, and he told me
- 14 he was no longer doing obstetrics, and he was giving his
- 15 | practice over to Hadden, and he introduced me to him.
- 16 | Q. What institution, if any, was Dr. McCaffrey affiliated
- 17 | with?
- 18 A. Columbia University Hospital.
- 19 \parallel Q. In or about 1998, what institutions, if any, was Hadden
- 20 | affiliated with?
- 21 | A. Columbia.
- 22 | Q. When you became a patient of Hadden, what was your
- 23 understanding of Columbia's reputation in the field of OB-GYN?
- 24 A. In my community, Columbia doctors were the best doctors.
- 25 Q. When you first became a patient of Hadden, was there a

- 1 | specific reason you wanted to see an OB-GYN?
- 2 A. I was pregnant.
- 3 | Q. Was this your first pregnancy?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. If you could turn, please, to the third document in front
- 6 of you, it's a document marked Government Exhibit 103, and just
- 7 let me know when you've gotten to that?
- 8 | A. Okay.
 - Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 10 | A. Yes.

9

- 11 \square Q. What is it?
- 12 A. It's a picture of me in the hospital in 2003 before I was
- 13 giving birth to my child.
- 14 Q. Is this a fair and accurate depiction of your physical
- 15 | appearance when you were a patient of Hadden?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- MS. KIM: The government offers Government Exhibit 103
- 18 into evidence under seal.
- 19 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 20 (Government's Exhibit 103 received in evidence)
- 21 MS. KIM: And, your Honor, could the jurors please
- 22 | turn to tab Government Exhibit 103 in their binders?
- 23 THE COURT: Sure.
- MS. KIM: Thank you.

N16BHAD3 Stein - Direct

- 1 BY MS. KIM:
- 2 | Q. Ms. Stein, you stated you have a background in art and
- 3 | teaching. Do you have a medical degree?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. Do you have any medical training in obstetrics or
- 6 gynecology?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Prior to 1998, you mentioned that you had seen a
- 9 gynecologist named Dr. McCaffrey. Apologies. You had
- 10 mentioned you had seen a gynecologist named Dr. McCaffrey in or
- 11 | about 1998. How many times did you see Dr. McCaffrey?
- 12 A. I saw him once in '97, I believe, and then once in '98.
- 13 Q. Apart from Dr. McCaffrey, how many gynecologists, if any,
- 14 | did you see before Hadden?
- 15 | A. None.
- 16 Q. Was Hadden the first gynecologist you saw regularly?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Prior to seeing Hadden, approximately how many breast exams
- 19 | had you received?
- 20 A. Two, I believe.
- 21 Q. Prior to seeing Hadden, approximately how many vaginal
- 22 | exams had you received?
- 23 | A. One.
- 24 | Q. Had you seen any OB-GYNs since Hadden?
- 25 A. Yes.

N16BHAD3 Stein - Direct

- 1 | Q. Approximately how many?
- 2 A. Six or seven.
- 3 Q. Since Hadden, have you received breast exams from other
- 4 OB-GYNs?
- 5 | A. Yes.

6

8

- Do you mind slowing down a little bit?
- 7 | Q. Sure, sure. Sorry about that.
 - Since Hadden, had you received vaginal exams from other OB-GYNs?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Ms. Stein, you told us earlier that you are an orthodox
- 12 | Jew. Did you grow up in an orthodox Jewish family?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Growing up, what, if any conversations did you have with
- 15 | your family about female body parts?
- 16 A. Very little. Mostly when we were very young in terms of
- 17 | like bathroom issues, things like that.
- 18 | Q. Growing up, what, if any, conversations did you have with
- 19 your family about menstruation?
- 20 | A. Very little. My mom gave me a book.
- 21 | Q. Growing up, what, if any, conversations did you have with
- 22 | your family about sex?
- 23 A. I also got a book and no conversations.
- 24 | Q. Growing up, how would you describe your family's approach
- 25 | to talking about female body parts?

- A. In general, it was a closed, cold, kind of family. We didn't really talk about things like that in my family.
- Q. Growing up, how did your family approach topics relating to health issues?
- A. They were very guarded. We didn't talk about anything bad that was going on with anybody. Or sick, health-related things.
- Q. How, if at all, has that impacted your comfort level in talking about gynecological visits and the female body?
- 10 A. It's made me very uncomfortable. I'm not used to ever talking about it at all.
- Q. I'd like to ask you some questions about where you lived when you were a patient of Hadden.
 - While you were a patient of Hadden from around 1998 to 2010, what county and state did you live in?
 - A. Rockland County, New York.
- Q. Did you live in Rockland County for the entire time you were a patient of Hadden?
- 19 A. Yes.

14

15

- Q. Was that always in one town or in more than one town in Rockland County?
- 22 A. Two, two towns.
- 23 Q. Ms. Stein, if you could please turn to the next document.
- I apologize, the first document, your license, what's already
- been offered into evidence as Government Exhibit 103A. Do you

- 1 | see a town in New York reflected on your license?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Is that one of the towns in Rockland County that you lived
- 4 | in while you were a patient of Hadden?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. The second town in Rockland County that you lived in while
- 7 | you were a patient of Hadden, where was that town in relation
- 8 | to the town listed on your license?
- 9 A. A few blocks away.
- 10 | Q. You said earlier that you were a teacher from around 1998
- 11 | to 2009. From around 2009 through 2010, were you working?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. I want to ask you some questions now about how you got to
- 14 | appointments with Hadden.
- When you had appointments with Hadden, in what city
- 16 were those appointments?
- 17 A. New York City.
- 18 Q. Where in New York City?
- 19 | A. Mostly uptown in Washington Heights and occasionally in
- 20 | midtown.
- 21 | Q. Did you go to just one or both of these locations?
- 22 A. I went to both.
- 23 | Q. From the time period from 2009 through 2010 when you were
- 24 | not working, how did you get to appointments with Hadden?
- 25 A. I drove.

- 1 Q. Where did you drive from?
- 2 A. I drove from my home in Rockland County into New York City.
- 3 Q. During that time period in 2009 and 2010 when you were not
- 4 | working, did you travel into Manhattan for any purpose other
- 5 | than to see Hadden?
- 6 A. On the days I made appointments? Yeah -- no, no. Only
- 7 | for -- only to see him. I don't really go into the city for
- 8 other reasons.
- 9 Q. You said a minute ago that as a teacher you had summers
- 10 off. When you did not have to work as a teacher, how did you
- 11 get to appointments with Hadden?
- 12 A. I drove.
- 13 Q. Where did you drive from?
- 14 A. I drove from Rockland County over the George Washington
- 15 | Bridge via the Palisades Parkway or Route 17 and Route 4 into
- 16 | Manhattan, back into Manhattan.
- 17 | Q. And, again, when you had summers off and you weren't
- 18 working as a teacher, did you drive into Manhattan for any
- 19 | other purpose than to see Hadden when you had appointments?
- 20 | A. When I had appointments, no, I would just go in to see --
- 21 | to have the appointment and come back.
- 22 | Q. From approximately 1998 to 2002, how did you get into
- 23 | Manhattan for appointments with Hadden?
- 24 A. Could you repeat that question, please?
- 25 | Q. Sure. From approximately 1998 to 2002, how did you get

- 1 | into Manhattan for appointments with Hadden?
- 2 A. I was taking a commuter bus. And if I was working, I would
- 3 | take the commuter bus into Manhattan, and I would take a train
- 4 or a bus uptown or midtown wherever I was seeing him. If I was
- 5 | not working, I would drive.
- 6 Q. And what route did the commuter bus take?
- 7 A. The commuter bus went through the Lincoln Tunnel, so it
- 8 | went from New York into New Jersey, back into downtown
- 9 New York.
- 10 Q. And after in or about 2002 or 2003 until 2010, how did you
- 11 get into Manhattan for appointments with Hadden?
- 12 A. I drove.
- 13 | Q. And what, if anything, changed in 2002 or 2003?
- 14 A. We got a second car.
- 15 | Q. You stated that from around 1998 to 2002 when you took the
- 16 commuter bus into Manhattan, it went through the Lincoln
- 17 | Tunnel. Do you know where the Lincoln Tunnel starts and ends?
- 18 A. It starts in New Jersey and ends in New York.
- 19 | Q. And a minute ago you said that when you would drive from
- 20 | Rockland County into appointments with Hadden in New York, you
- 21 | would take either the Palisades Parkway or you would take
- 22 Route 17 and Route 4.
- 23 A. Correct.

- 24 | Q. In what state are Route 4 and Route 17 located?
 - A. In New Jersey.

- Q. And in what state or states is the Palisades Parkway located?
- A. It starts in New York and ends in New Jersey to the George
 Washington Bridge.
- Q. I want to ask you some more questions about driving from Rockland County into Manhattan. In your lifetime, how many
- 7 | times have you driven from Rockland County to Manhattan?
- 8 A. Countless times.
- 9 Q. When you drive from Rockland County to Manhattan, do you need a GPS?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 Q. While you were a patient of the defendant, when you drive
- 13 | from Rockland County to Manhattan, did you use a GPS?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. How did you know what route to take?
- 16 A. It was a route that I had driven many, many, many times.
- 17 | Q. For the route that you took on Palisades Parkway from
- 18 Rockland County on Palisades Parkway into Manhattan, where did
- 19 | you cross over from New Jersey to New York?
- 20 A. When I drove on the Palisades, when did I cross from
- 21 | New York into New Jersey? Is that what the question is?
- 22 Q. I apologize. I'll withdraw that and ask that again in a
- 23 minute with some exhibits.
- Ms. Stein, are you aware of any other driving routes
- 25 between Rockland County and Manhattan that do not go through

N16BHAD3 Stein - Direct

- 1 | New Jersey?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What routes?
- 4 A. Going over the Tappan Zee Bridge.
- 5 Q. Did you ever drive on the Tappan Zee Bridge when you drove
- 6 | from Rockland County to appointments with Hadden?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. So I'd like to direct your attention to should be three
- 9 exhibits up there, 902, 903, and 906. And there are three
- 10 documents. If we could start with Government Exhibit 902,
- 11 | what's been marked as Government Exhibit 902. Do you recognize
- 12 | this document?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. What is it?
- 15 A. It's a map of directions from where I used to live to
- 16 | Columbia hospital.
- 17 | Q. Have you reviewed this map in preparing to testify today?
- 18 A. Yes, I've seen it before.
- 19 Q. Does this map accurately depict the main driving route that
- 20 you took from Rockland County to Manhattan, New York for
- 21 appointments with Hadden?
- 22 A. Yes, it does.
- 23 MS. KIM: The government offers Government Exhibit 902
- 24 | into evidence under seal.
- THE COURT: I'll allow it.

24

25

Α.

Yes.

Stein - Direct

(Government's Exhibit 902 received in evidence). 1 2 MS. KIM: And if the jurors could please turn to tab Government Exhibit 902? 3 BY MS. KIM: 4 5 Q. Ms. Stein, looking at Government Exhibit 902, could you 6 please explain the route that you took when driving to 7 appointments with Hadden as reflected on this exhibit? A. It's leaving Rockland County, crossing -- going down to 8 the -- get on the exit for the Palisades Parkway, crossing over 9 to into New Jersey, and then going over the George Washington 10 11 Bridge into New York. 12 Could you please turn to the next document? It's the 13 document marked Government Exhibit 903? 14 And we just ask that for now just the witness turn to Government Exhibit 903. 15 Do you recognize this document? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. What is it? It's a map of another route that I would have taken from 19 20 Rockland County down Route 17 to Route 4 through New Jersey 21 over the George Washington Bridge into Manhattan. 22 Q. So just to clarify, does this map accurately depict another 23 driving route that you took from Rockland County to Manhattan,

New York for appointments with Hadden?

1 MS. KIM: The government offers Government Exhibit 903 2 into evidence under seal? 3 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 4 (Government's Exhibit 903 received in evidence) 5 MS. KIM: And if the jurors could please turn to tab Government Exhibit 903. 6 7 BY MS. KIM: Q. Ms. Stein, looking at Government Exhibits 902 and 903, 8 could you please remind us which route was your primary route 9 10 from Rockland County to New Jersey -- sorry, Rockland County to 11 Manhattan for appointments with the defendant? Primarily it was 902, going down the Palisades Parkway. 12 13 And under what circumstances would you take the route Ο. 14 that's reflected in 903? 15 Α. If the weather was very bad, like if it was rainy or foggy 16 or snowy. 17 And can you please describe for the jury where the route 18 takes you on Government Exhibit 903? 19 It takes -- starting from Rockland County, it crosses over 20 into New Jersey, and then I get onto Route 17, and then from 21 there to Route 4 to the George Washington Bridge, and then back 22 into New York, or into New York City.

Q. Thank you. You can set those aside.

23

24

25

When you traveled from Rockland County to New Jersey to Manhattan for appointments with the defendant, how was the

1 | traffic?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 2 A. Sometimes it was okay, and sometimes there was a lot of traffic.
- Q. What, if anything, did you discuss with Hadden about your drive into Manhattan?
 - A. We discussed the town that I was living in, where I came, how I came to appointments, like, which route I took. If I came over the bridge, was there traffic, where did you park, did you drive, did someone take you. Me -- rather.
 - Q. When you say that you talked, you talked to Hadden about where you were coming from and where you lived, did you discuss with Hadden the town and the community that you lived in?
 - A. He asked me if I had lived in a community -- he mentioned orthodox Jews and maybe Hasidic Jews, and I said -- I assumed he meant a town that I did not live in that had mostly Hasidic Hasidic Jews. I remember having a conversation about that.
 - Q. And you said that he asked you where you were coming from.

 Did you tell him where you were coming from when you went into appointments with him?
 - A. Yes. And he knew on my file where I lived, so...
- Q. What, if anything, did Hadden say about the town that you lived in in Rockland County?
- A. He'd ask me about it being orthodox. He asked me -- or rather he told me he knew about the mikvah, which is something that orthodox Jewish women use when they're married.

- Q. And the town that was listed on your license, does that town have a large orthodox Jewish population?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You mentioned that you discussed with Hadden the route that
- 5 you took from Rockland County to Manhattan for appointments
- 6 with him, and I think you mentioned the bridge. When you
- 7 | mentioned the bridge, what bridge were you referring to?
- 8 A. The George Washington Bridge.
- 9 Q. Did you talk to him at all about the Palisades Parkway?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. You also mentioned that you talked to him about the traffic
- 12 | and where you would park. Where did you park when you had
- appointments with Hadden?
- 14 A. There was a lot across from the hospital.
- 15 | Q. Ms. Stein, did anyone accompany you to appointments with
- 16 | Hadden?
- 17 | A. When I was pregnant, my husband accompanied me, then.
- 18 | Q. Did your husband meet Hadden?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. At the time you were a patient of Hadden, was your husband
- 21 | at the time also an orthodox Jew?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What did he wear to appointments typically?
- 24 A. When he met -- when he met him, I believe it was my first
- 25 child that I was pregnant with, he was probably wearing slacks

- 1 and a button-down shirt and kippah on his head.
- 2 | Q. What is a kippah?
- 3 A. It's a head covering that many Jewish men wear.
- 4 | Q. And how is Hadden typically dressed for appointments?
- 5 A. He had a lab coat on over his clothes.
- Q. When you had appointments with Hadden, where would you meet with him?
- 8 A. Can you -- from where?
- 9 Q. Let me ask that again.
- When you had appointments with Hadden, we talked about the locations that you went to. At those locations, what types
- 12 of rooms did you meet with him in?
- 13 A. We would typically meet in, like in the exam room, and then
- 14 usually after the appointment, I would meet him in his office.
- Occasionally before the appointment, I would meet him in his
- 16 | office.
- 17 Q. You mentioned that you became pregnant in 1998 and then
- 18 again in 2003. Was Hadden your doctor for both of these
- 19 pregnancies?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Was he your first obstetrician?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Did he deliver either of your children?
- 24 | A. No.

25

Q. I want to talk now about appointments with Hadden.

N16BHAD3

3

4

5

6

7

Stein - Direct

- Approximately how often did you have appointments with Hadden?
 - A. When I was pregnant, it was about every month. When I was on birth control, it was every six months. And then when I stopped taking birth control, I believe it was yearly, but that was at the end of, so maybe just -- maybe just two or three
- Q. When you were not on birth control, just to clarify, that
 was for approximately the last two or three years that you were
 a patient of Hadden?
- 11 A. Yeah, I think so, yeah.

years I saw him.

- Q. For appointments with Hadden, how did you know when to come back for an appointment?
- 14 A. He would tell me when to come back.
- Q. When would Hadden tell you when to return for your next appointment?
- 17 A. Usually at the end of the appointment in his office or in the exam room.
- Q. And if Hadden had told you to come back in two weeks for an appointment, what, if anything, would you have done?
- 21 | A. I would have come back.
- 22 | Q. Why?

- A. He's my doctor, I trusted him. If he said I needed to come back, I need to come back.
 - Q. Would you have ever shown up at Hadden's offices to see him

N16BHAD3 Stein - Direct

- 1 | without an appointment?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Did you ever rearrange your personal schedule to make it to
- 4 an appointment that Hadden had scheduled?
- 5 A. That I had scheduled with him? Yes.
- 6 | Q. If Hadden had called and said, I'm not available anymore
- 7 | for your appointment, would you have rescheduled your
- 8 appointment with Hadden or would you have gone to another
- 9 doctor?
- 10 MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 12 A. What does that mean?
- 13 MS. KIM: You can answer the question.
- 14 A. Sorry. I would have rescheduled with him unless it was
- 15 | imperative that I needed to see a doctor in that time frame.
- 16 BY MS. KIM:
- 17 Q. And why would you have rescheduled your appointment to be
- 18 | sure to see Hadden?
- 19 | A. He was my doctor, I trusted him, and I only wanted to see
- 20 | him.
- 21 | Q. During appointments with Hadden, did he conduct any exams?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. What kind of exams?
- 24 A. Vaginal, a rectal, and breast exams.
- 25 | Q. Other than you and Hadden, who, if anyone else, was present

- 1 | during exams?
- 2 A. Occasionally a nurse would come in for a very short amount of time.
- 4 Q. So I want to ask you some questions about breast exams.
- 5 Approximately how often did Hadden conduct breast exams?
- A. In one appointment, he would usually give me two breast exams.
- Q. And across all the appointments that you had with Hadden, approximately how often did he conduct breast exams?
- 10 A. Almost always.
- 11 Q. You testified that you were pregnant in 1998 and then again
- 12 | in 2003. When you were pregnant before the birth of your first
- 13 child in January 1999, did Hadden conduct breast exams during
- 14 | your appointments?
- 15 | A. I'm sorry, can I just say something?
- 16 Q. Am I talking too fast?
- 17 A. No, the last question, do you mind asking me the last
- 18 question again, please?
- 19 Q. Sure. The question was at approximately how many
- 20 | appointments or approximately how often did Hadden conduct
- 21 | breast exams?
- 22 | A. So in the beginning when I saw him when I was pregnant, I
- 23 believe that there was a point where he was doing one breast
- 24 | exam, and then it turned to two breast exams, and that's how it
- 25 was for the remainder of the time. That was in my first

- pregnancy. So it was within those nine months or right after I gave birth, about that time, when started giving me two breast exams.
 - Q. And while you were pregnant before the birth of your first child in January 1999, while you were pregnant, did Hadden conduct breast exams during your appointments?
- 7 A. Yes.

4

5

6

- Q. And, again, during approximately how many appointments while you were pregnant did he conduct breast exams?
 - A. I believe it was every appointment.
- Q. And when you were pregnant before the birth of your second child in 2003, did Hadden conduct breast exams during
- 13 | appointments?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. During approximately how many appointments?
- 16 A. All of them.
- Q. You mentioned that there came a time when Hadden began conducting two breast exams within one appointment. When
- Hadden conducted two breast exams within one appointment, when would he conduct the first breast exam?
- A. So there was usually one in the beginning of the exam and then one after the pelvic exam.
- 23 | O. And how --
- 24 THE COURT: Sorry, after what?
- 25 | THE WITNESS: After the pelvic exam, the vaginal exam.

- 1 THE COURT: Yeah.
- 2 BY MS. KIM:
- Q. And how, if at all, were you dressed when Hadden conducted
- 4 | breast exams?

- A. I was wearing the gown with no clothes underneath.
- 6 Q. How were you positioned for breast exams?
- 7 A. The first one was usually lying down, and the second one
- 8 was sitting up.
- 9 Q. Other than you and Hadden, was anyone else in the room
- 10 during any part of the breast exam?
- 11 A. Like I said before, there was a nurse that would come in
- 12 occasionally. Sometimes she'd come in by the breast exam.
- 13 Sometimes she'd come in by the vaginal exam. So occasionally
- 14 she -- somebody else -- a nurse was there for a very short
- 15 amount of time.
- 16 | Q. Did Hadden ever comment on your breasts during
- 17 | appointments?
- 18 A. He made a comment one time that made me uncomfortable.
- 19 | Something about I had nice breasts or he said they were nice
- 20 | healthy breasts, but the way he said it, made me uncomfortable,
- 21 | that was it.
- 22 | Q. You testified earlier that you have seen other OB-GYNs
- 23 | since your last appointment with Hadden. How did the breast
- 24 exams that Hadden conducted, how did those exams compare with
- 25 the breast exams that you've received from other OB-GYNs?

N16BHAD3

breasts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For other OB-GYNs I've had since, and I've had several, there's always at least one person in the room -- I'm sorry, one other person, either a nurse or two nurses or I don't know if it's they're both nurses or not, but there's usually more than -- not just the doctor in the room throughout the entire exam. It took, like, not even a minute, a few seconds. She would -- I didn't always even take off my gown. She would put her hand on my breast, and she would walk her fingers around it, ask me if I ever felt anything, where I felt anything, and

then that was it. It was barely, you know, a minute for both

And with Hadden, they were much longer. They were -you had two of them. I never had two breast exams with anyone else. We would have conversations while he was feeling my breasts. Sometimes he would use two hands. I don't remember him having gloves on. He had his bare hands on my breasts. He would -- he would go around, like, in a, in the concentric circles like you're supposed to, I believe. He would walk his fingers, but he would also press, he would rub them, he often cupped my breasts, and with his other hand squeeze my nipples several times, and they lasted several minutes, these exams. We would have conversations. It wasn't just a minute. O. You mentioned earlier that when Hadden conducted breast exams he would rub your breasts and he would cup your breasts. Would he ever squeeze or massage your breasts?

4

5

6

7

8

- A. He was pressing them and he was -- looking back now, yes, at the time, I just, whatever he was doing, I trusted what he was doing. But, yeah, he was.
 - Q. And when you say that Hadden squeezed your nipples, how many times within one appointment did Hadden squeeze each nipple?
 - A. It could be between one and three or four times. I remember once it was -- he did it a few times.
- 9 Q. While you were a patient of Hadden, did you ever have any 10 issues with your nipples?
- 11 A. With my first child, I had a breast infection. That was
 12 the only time I had an issue.
- Q. Apart from that time, did you ever express concern to Hadden about your nipples?
- 15 | A. No.
- Q. After that infection, did Hadden continue to squeeze your nipples during exams?
- 18 A. Yes, I believe that might be when it started that he started to do the two breast exams, yeah.
- 20 Q. And after that -- after that appointment, did he continue 21 to squeeze your nipples even when you were not pregnant?
- 22 A. Oh, yes.
- Q. You testified earlier that Hadden conducted rectal exams at appointments. How often did Hadden conduct rectal exams?
- 25 A. I remember two.

- 1 | Q. How were you positioned when Hadden conducted rectal exams?
- 2 A. Once I was on the table and once I was -- he had me stand
- 3 up and bend over to touch my toes.
- 4 Q. And how did you know that you needed to stand up and bend
- 5 over and touch your toes?
- 6 A. He told me how to position myself.
- 7 | Q. And when you stood up, bent over, and touched your toes,
- 8 how, if at all, were you dressed?
- 9 A. I was wearing a gown with no clothes underneath.
- 10 | Q. And when you bent over to touch your toes, what, if any,
- 11 parts of your body were exposed?
- 12 A. Well, I think the gown was open -- I don't know, the gown
- was open, but I was bent over, so the gown was basically
- 14 covering my head, so everything was exposed pretty much.
- 15 \parallel Q. I want to ask you some questions about vaginal exams.
- 16 | Approximately how often did Hadden conduct vaginal exams?
- 17 A. At every appointment.
- 18 | Q. About how often -- and when you say every appointment, does
- 19 | that include appointments when you were pregnant?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. How were you dressed during vaginal exams?
- 22 | A. I had a gown on with no clothes on underneath.
- 23 | Q. How were you positioned for vaginal exams?
- 24 | A. I was laying down on the table with my knees up in the
- 25 stirrups with a sheet over my knees.

- Q. Other than you and Hadden, was anyone else in the room for any part of the vaginal exam?
 - A. Same thing, a nurse would come in at some point, it seemed almost random to me. She would come in, she would fiddle with something in the cabinets, and then she would leave.
 - Q. During vaginal exams, did Hadden touch your vagina?
- 7 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Where did he touch your vagina?
- A. All over my vagina. The inside of my vagina. Around it.
- Q. I'd like to direct your attention to what's already been offered into evidence as Government Exhibit 801. There should be two copies of 801 in front of you. It's a diagram of a
- 14 Ms. Stein, have you seen this diagram before?
- 15 | A. Yes.

vagina.

- Q. Will this diagram assist in your ability to describe your experiences to the jury?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Ms. Stein, I believe there's a blue marker up there as 20 well.
- Using Government Exhibit 801, could you please show
 the jury where Hadden touched your vagina during vaginal exams?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- MS. KIM: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 25 THE COURT: Sure.

N16BHAD3 Stein - Direct

1 BY MS. KIM:

- Q. Ms. Stein, do you see this diagram on the screen?
- 3 | A. Yes.

- 4 Q. The blue marks that are on this diagram, did you make those
- 5 | blue marks?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Do those blue marks, are those a fair and accurate
- 8 depiction of where Hadden touched you during your -- during
- 9 | vaginal exams?
- 10 A. Yes, with the exception of inside. But I didn't mark that.
- 11 | Q. So it's this and also inside the vagina?
- 12 A. Yes, yes.
- MS. KIM: Your Honor, the government would like to offer Government Exhibit 801A.
- THE COURT: That's the one that contains her markings?
- 16 MS. KIM: Yes.
- 17 THE COURT: Sure, I'll allow it.
- 18 (Government's Exhibit 801A received in evidence)
- 19 BY MS. KIM:
- 20 | Q. Ms. Stein, just based on Government Exhibit 801A that you
- 21 marked just now, fair to say that Hadden during vaginal exams
- 22 | touched your clitoris, the inside of your labia minora, all
- 23 around, and then also the outer edge of your labia minora, all
- 24 | around your vagina?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Ms. Stein, setting aside your last appointment with Hadden, while you were a patient of Hadden, during approximately how
- 3 many appointments did you feel Hadden touch your labia minora
- 4 and clitoris?
- 5 A. Well, he would -- he would touch the outer part of my
- 6 | vagina like in those areas almost all the time. But usually
- 7 | not for a lengthy period of time, so it -- I didn't necessarily
- 8 | notice it. Or rather, I noticed it. It didn't stick out as
- 9 being uncomfortable or out of place or inappropriate.
- 10 | Q. I want to talk now about your last appointment with the
- 11 defendant. Do you remember the exact date of your last
- 12 appointment with Hadden?
- 13 | A. No, I don't.
- 14 | Q. In approximately what year was your last appointment with
- 15 | Hadden?
- 16 A. I think it was around 2010.
- 17 | Q. During that last appointment, did Hadden conduct any exams?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What exams?
- 20 A. He did a vaginal exam and breast exams.
- 21 | Q. When Hadden conducted the vaginal exam, how were you
- 22 positioned?
- 23 A. I was on the table laying down with my feet up in the
- 24 stirrups with the sheet over my legs.
- 25 | Q. When Hadden conducted the vaginal exam, was there anyone

- 1 else in the room other than you and Hadden?
- 2 A. Probably a nurse came in for a short period of time at the beginning at some point.
- 4 | Q. During that vaginal exam, what, if anything, happened?
- 5 A. At one point, he licked me on the inside of my vagina, or
- 6 around my vagina. And then he -- at a later point was rubbing
- 7 | my clitoris for a very lengthy period of time.
- 8 Q. There's another copy of Government Exhibit 801 in front of
- 9 you. If you could please use the marker in front of you and
- 10 mark on that exhibit where you felt Hadden lick your vagina.
- 11 | A. Okay.
- 12 MS. KIM: And this is just for the parties and the
- 13 Court and the witness.
- 14 BY MS. KIM:
- 15 | Q. Ms. Stein, the blue X that is on this version of Government
- 16 Exhibit 801, which I will now mark as Government Exhibit 801B.
- Did you just make that marking?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Does that marking fairly and accurately reflect the part of
- 20 your vagina that Hadden licked?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 MS. KIM: Your Honor, the government offers Government
- 23 | Exhibit 801B?
- 24 | THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 25 | (Government's Exhibit 801B received in evidence)

N16BHAD3

1 MS. KIM: Permission to publish to the jury, your

2 Honor?

3 THE COURT: Sure.

- 4 BY MS. KIM:
- Q. So here, it looks like on this Exhibit 801B, that the X is

on the inside of the labia minora, on the right side of the

- 7 diagram, so it would have been your left side; is that right?
- 8 | A. Yes.

- 9 MS. KIM: Your Honor, permission to publish for the 10 jury, Government Exhibit 801A?
- 11 THE COURT: Sure.
- 12 BY MS. KIM:
- 13 Q. And, Ms. Stein, you had testified earlier that at
- 14 | appointments, Hadden touched you on the places that are marked
- on this exhibit in blue; is that right?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Ms. Stein, when Hadden licked your vagina, how long did it
- 18 | feel to you that he had his tongue on your vagina?
- 19 A. A few seconds.
- 20 | Q. How did you know that it was Hadden's tongue on your
- 21 | vagina?
- 22 A. I know what oral sex feels like.
- 23 | Q. When you felt Hadden lick your vagina, how, if at all, did
- 24 | you react?
- 25 A. So, my body kind of froze and like jumped a little bit.

N16BHAD3

9

13

14

15

16

17

Stein - Direct

- 1 And I just, like, was laying there, trying to figure out what 2 just happened. He went quiet. My -- I was having this like conversation in my head, of, like, what just happened, what 3 4 just happened, and my brain is like, oh, it must have been a 5 metal button on his jacket or a pen in his pocket or something 6 like that, you know, something touched you, and I just kept 7 thinking that, thinking that in my head, and then I was like, I guess I just accepted that, oh, it must have been a button, and 8
- Q. You mentioned earlier that Hadden also touched your clitoris. Did he touch your clitoris before or after he licked you?

I kind of just laid there. I was just basically frozen.

- A. After. He continued with the exam, and then he -- while he had fingers inside me, he was rubbing my clitoris for several minutes.
- Q. What, if anything, did it feel like Hadden was doing when he was rubbing your clitoris?
- 18 A. It felt like he was trying to arouse me sexually.
- Q. What type of motion did Hadden make when he was rubbing your clitoris?
- 21 | A. It was back and forth.
- 22 | Q. Was it a single motion or was it repeated?
- 23 A. It was repeated.
- Q. After Hadden rubbed your clitoris, what, if anything, did
- 25 he do next?

- A. After that, the exam became over, I guess, and he had me sit up and did another breast exam. During -- yeah, had another breast exam.
- 4 | Q. And to clarify, was this the second breast exam?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Was this the last appointment that you had with Hadden?
- 7 A. I think so.
- 8 Q. Ms. Stein, you testified earlier that you are an orthodox
- 9 Jew. What, if any, significance does a woman's hair have for
- 10 an orthodox Jew?
- 11 A. Married women, many orthodox women cover their hair after
- 12 | they're married. It is a spiritual and physical symbol that
- 13 she's married and she -- she conducts her life in a different
- 14 | way as if she -- than she wasn't married, and other people
- 15 | regard her differently as well.
- 16 | Q. When you were a patient of Hadden, did you cover your hair
- 17 | when you went to appointments?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Why?
- 20 | A. I was married, and after I was divorced, also many orthodox
- 21 | women, even though they're not married anymore, some still
- 22 | cover their hair, and I was at that time still covering my hair
- 23 | even though I was no longer married.
- 24 | Q. Apart from your hair, what did you typically wear to
- 25 appointments with Hadden?

- A. I dressed mostly usually at that point, I think I was -- I only wore skirts and dresses, you know, to appointments and everyday life, usually. So I was wearing a skirt or a dress and a sweater or top.
 - Q. What, if any, significance did wearing a skirt or a dress have?
 - A. That's what is usually acceptable in many orthodox communities for women to wear only skirts and dresses.
 - Q. During appointments with Hadden, did Hadden see you with your hair covered and with a skirt on?
- 11 | A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

- Q. When during appointments did Hadden see you with your hair covered and a skirt on?
- A. My hair was always covered, even in the appointments. And
 I would wear, and then after the appointment, when I would meet
 him in his office either prior or after the exam, I'd have my
- Q. You testified earlier that you're no longer married. Do you currently cover your hair?
- 20 | A. No.

clothes on.

- 21 Q. Are you wearing a scarf over your hair today?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. If you don't normally cover your hair, why are you wearing a scarf over your head today?
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. 1 2 It was a part of my body that he had never seen, my hair. 3 And I wanted to keep it that way. 4 MS. KIM: No further questions, your Honor. MS. VON DORNUM: Can we have a moment? 5 (Counsel confer) 6 7 THE COURT: Counsel, we're contemplating a break. Should we do it now or after your cross? 8 9 MS. VON DORNUM: I think that makes sense. I have 10 more than a couple of questions. THE COURT: Okay. So let's take a short break, 10, 15 11 12 minutes, and then we'll resume at 25 to 4:00. 13 MS. KIM: Your Honor, may the witness step down and go 14 to the witness room? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

25

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Could we talk calendar for just a minute? Please be seated. 3 4 So cross, 15? MS. WOZENCROFT: Yeah, definitely under 30 minutes, 5 6 probably closer to 15 or 20. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And then after that? MS. KIM: Your Honor, I think after that, for today, 8 we don't have any additional witnesses. The rest of the 9 10 witnesses are either custodians or pending rulings from the 11 Court. THE COURT: And are they here? Is that something that 12 13 you need to get out of the way? 14 MS. KIM: Your Honor, the other witnesses we've told 15 to come tomorrow. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. VON DORNUM: And, your Honor, I think we have a 18 joint proposal as to the larger schedule going forward. Can I give that to you for your consideration? 19 20 THE COURT: Sure. 21 MS. VON DORNUM: So it's my understanding depending on 22 your Honor's rulings, of course, that the government is likely 23 to rest tomorrow at some point. I think then we'll have a

relatively short defense case Friday morning, maybe going into

early afternoon, but Friday morning. So I think the parties

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Stein - Direct

would jointly propose that we then let the jury go and do the charge conference. As I think you know, the charge in this case is more complicated than in some. And then do closings Tuesday morning, followed immediately by your charge, so that we don't have to separate closings in the charge or try to do closings on a Friday afternoon when everyone is going to want to get out of here. If that's okay with you, I think we've made great progress. I think that would work for us well. THE COURT: So let me see. So we'll finish probably 4:00ish I quess, today or however? MS. VON DORNUM: Yes. THE COURT: However much time you need. And then tomorrow, we'll have the government witnesses, and will that take a full day, you think, or are you guesstimating? MS. KIM: It's possible, your Honor. I think probably at some point between lunch and the end of the day. THE COURT: Okay. So whenever that is, we'll adjourn, and then we'll have the defense on Friday. MS. VON DORNUM: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You think that will --MS. VON DORNUM: Maybe about half the day, maybe a little less. THE COURT: And this, the submissions, are they affected by this schedule?

1	MS. VON DORNUM: No, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Do we have everything that we need?
3	MS. VON DORNUM: Yes, so we had agreed we would put in
4	our submissions at a reasonable time tonight. I think your
5	thinking was that you would try to excuse me rule tonight
6	or tomorrow morning before court, depending on your rulings,
7	that might reduce the number of government witnesses, but it
8	wouldn't affect our plan.
9	THE COURT: Got it. Okay.
10	MS. KIM: Your Honor, just one thing to note. We do
11	have to send the Court the parties' joint proposal, and we need
12	to send an updated request to charge and verdict sheet, just
13	changing the victim numbers.
14	MS. VON DORNUM: And taking out some counts, right?
15	MS. KIM: Yes. So we'll do that.
16	THE COURT: Okay. I think that's great. Yep. See
17	you in a couple of minutes.
18	(Recess)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

N1B1HAD4 Stein - Cross

- 1 (In open court; jury present)
- 2 THE COURT: Please be seated.
- THE DEPUTY CLERK: Ma'am, before we begin, I'd like to
- 4 | remind you you're still under oath.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 6 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 8 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Stein.
- 9 A. Hi.
- 10 Q. So you told us this afternoon that you first became a
- 11 patient of Robert Hadden's around 1998.
- 12 A. Yes, when I became pregnant.
- 13 | Q. I'm sorry. Could you -- yeah, exactly.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And you were four months' pregnant at the time?
- 16 | A. No, I had just -- I had gotten a pregnancy test with
- 17 | Dr. McCaffrey, and then he handed me over to Dr. Hadden.
- 18 | Q. Okay. So you were early on in your pregnancy at the time.
- 19 A. When I met Dr. Hadden, yes. Hadden. Mr. Hadden.
- 20 Q. And as you just said, Dr. McCaffrey was your previous
- 21 gynecologist.
- 22 | A. Yes, I had --
- 23 THE COURT: I think it was less than four months is
- 24 | what --
- 25 | Q. It was early in your pregnancy.

Stein - Cross

- 1 THE COURT: Yeah.
- 2 A. It was like weeks into my pregnancy, yeah.
- 3 Q. Okay. Dr. McCaffrey had seen you previously.
 - A. I saw him once before I was pregnant.
- 5 Q. Correct. And I believe you then testified on direct that
- 6 you saw him once you were pregnant and he informed you that he
- 7 | is no longer practicing obstetrics; is that right?
- 8 A. Yeah, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So Dr. McCaffrey confirmed the pregnancy and told
- 10 you he -- he referred you to another doctor because you were
- 11 pregnant.

- 12 A. He told me that Hadden was taking over his practice.
- 13 | That's what I understood. And he introduced me to him.
- 14 | Q. Now you told us also on direct that you talked about
- 15 || Columbia and how it was your impression that the doctors at
- 16 | Columbia were the best, right?
- 17 A. That's what was -- yes, yes.
- 18 | Q. Okay. And I believe you said in your community that was
- 19 | widely believed.
- 20 A. Yes, and in my family as well.
- 21 Q. And in fact, Dr. McCaffrey was also your mother's doctor,
- 22 || right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Am I right, Dr. McCaffrey actually delivered you?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. So your family had a long history with the
- 2 | obstetrics and gynecology department at Columbia; fair to say?
- $3 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, yes.}$
- 4 Q. You also told us that in 1998 you worked as a seventh and
- 5 | eighth grade teacher at a school in Manhattan.
- 6 A. I was -- it was seventh and eighth grade but also high
- 7 | school, so it was seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth, eleventh.
- 8 Q. You were a teacher in Manhattan at that time.
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. The school was on the upper east side of Manhattan?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. You would travel from your home in Rockland County for work
- 13 on the days you worked.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And you worked at that school in Manhattan until 2009?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. In the course of the over a decade that you were Robert
- 18 | Hadden's patient, you saw him at both of his office locations,
- 19 || right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So Fort Washington area, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And the -- in midtown.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. You also told us I believe that during each of your

- 1 | pregnancies you saw Robert Hadden approximately monthly.
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And as you got to the end of your pregnancy, around 28, 30
- 4 weeks, you would start to see him biweekly -- or, I'm sorry --
- 5 every other week.
- 6 A. I don't remember that, but if it's in my records, then yes.
- 7 Q. You also saw him between pregnancies.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And after your second pregnancy.
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. When you were not pregnant, there were times that you were
- 12 on birth control, right?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And at those times you would see him every six months?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And when you -- and I believe you said when you were not on
- 17 | birth control, you'd see him approximately yearly.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And that was the last several years that you were seeing
- 20 | him, up through 2010.
- 21 | A. It was only a couple years; maybe two, maybe three.
- 22 | Q. Until 2010.
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. So as we just talked about, the last time you had an
- 25 appointment with Robert Hadden was 2010.

N1B1HAD4 Stein - Cross

- 1 A. I believe so.
- 2 | Q. In 2012 you saw a Dr. Shin, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Dr. Shin is an OB-GYN?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And he is a doctor at -- affiliated with Columbia as well?
- 7 A. Yeah, he's in the same practice.
- 8 | Q. Okay. So he's in the same practice meaning same practice
- 9 as Robert Hadden --
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. -- was.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | O. Same office locations.
- 14 A. I saw Dr. Shin at a different location.
- 15 Q. Okay. But ColumbiaDoctors, Columbia OB-GYN.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. I just want to talk to you briefly about how you made
- 18 appointments with Robert Hadden.
- 19 | A. Okay.
- 20 | Q. So if you were in the office for an appointment, after that
- 21 appointment, after the appointment was concluded, you would go
- 22 | to the front desk, to the receptionist, and you could schedule
- 23 | the next appointment?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Okay. If you didn't do that, you could also call and

- 1 | schedule an appointment, right?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Okay. And if you needed to change your appointment for any
- 4 | reason, you knew there was a number you could call and you
- 5 | would change the appointment?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And when you scheduled at the front desk, you scheduled
- 8 | with a receptionist, right?
- 9 A. To make the appointment, I scheduled with the -- with the
- 10 scheduler.
- 11 Q. Okay. With the scheduler.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And same thing if you called on the phone.
- 14 | A. Yeah.
- 15 | Q. And Robert Hadden himself never contacted you by phone.
- 16 A. I don't necessarily remember him contacting me by phone.
- 17 | For what reason, you mean, to make an appointment? Or for any
- 18 | reason?
- 19 Q. Yeah. He didn't call you regarding appointment scheduling.
- 20 A. He didn't have to. He told me when to schedule the
- 21 appointment at the last visit.
- 22 | Q. Right. And he didn't contact you by email.
- 23 A. I don't believe so.
- 24 | Q. Okay. Or by text message.
- 25 A. No.

N1B1HAD4 Stein - Cross

1 THE COURT: Or?

2 MS. WOZENCROFT: By text message.

THE COURT: Text?

- Q. And you said no, right?
- A. I said no.
- Q. One of the things you remember about being Robert Hadden's patient is that there would often be a very long wait to see
- 8 him.

4

- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And he always appeared sort of behind schedule.
- 11 A. I wouldn't say always, but several times, yes.
- 12 THE COURT: Does that mean of the day of, it was later
 13 than the time, or another day?
- 14 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I don't understand what 15 you're saying.
- 16 | THE COURT: Well, you said he was behind schedule.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I waited often in the -- often I waited

 18 in the waiting room for a long, lengthy period of time;

 19 sometimes it was very long and sometimes it was like, you know,
- 20 regular.
- 21 THE COURT: Got it.
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Okay. If we could turn to what is in
- 23 | evidence, Government Exhibit 902, which is a sealed exhibit.
- 24 | Should be in the binders.
- 25 And your Honor, I believe the jurors can turn to this

Stein - Cross

- 1 | as well because it's in evidence.
- THE COURT: Okay. You said 902, did you?
- 3 MS. WOZENCROFT: Yes, please.
- 4 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 5 Q. Ms. Stein, you mentioned on direct that this is a map of
- 6 one of the routes you can travel from your home in Rockland
- 7 | County to -- to New York City, to Columbia University, right?
 - A. This is the map that I did often travel, yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. This is the most common route you took --
- 10 | A. Yes.

- 11 Q. -- to go to appointments at Columbia.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. And just turning to Government Exhibit 903, which is
- 14 | the next exhibit.
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q. This is an alternative route that you would occasionally
- 17 | take.
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. I believe you said when there was inclement weather,
- 20 you would sometimes take this route.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And you can see on the map that there's a little icon that
- 23 says 45 minutes, 25.4 miles?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. You would agree with me that it's hard to predict exactly

Stein - Cross

- 1 how long it will take in part because there's different traffic 2 levels at different times of the day.
 - A. Yes.

- 4 Q. Okay. So even if you took the same route all the time,
- 5 sometimes it would be faster, sometimes it could take longer.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. I'm going to show you what has been pre --
- 8 MS. WOZENCROFT: And I'd ask that what had been
 9 premarked as Defense Exhibit V be shown to the witness and the
 10 parties.
- 11 Q. Ms. Stein, I'm going to ask you to look at your screen.
- 12 | Did something pop up there for you?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Great.
- This is another map which depicts the area we were just looking at on Exhibits 902 and 903, right, roughly?
- 17 A. Yes. Yeah.
- 18 Q. Okay. And this map depicts an alternative route from your
- 19 | home to Columbia University at Irving Plaza; is that correct?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Is this map a fair and accurate depiction of an
- 22 | alternate route? I understand it's not the route you took.
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. But it's a fair and accurate depiction of that route?
- 25 A. I think so, yes.

1

2

7

- MS. WOZENCROFT: Okay. I'd ask that Defense Exhibit V be moved into evidence.
- THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 4 (Defendant's Exhibit V received in evidence)
- 5 MS. WOZENCROFT: And your Honor, I would just ask if 6 we can pass it to the jurors since it's a sealed exhibit.
 - THE COURT: Okay.
- 8 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 9 Q. Ms. Stein, so now that everyone can see the map, I just 10 want to go over it again.
- We were just discussing that this map depicts a route from Rockland County to Columbia University Irving Medical
- 13 | Center, right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Correct? And I understand that this is not the route you
- 16 | took, but it's an alternate route.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And through this route, you don't cross through New
- 19 Jersey; is that correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. This route crosses over the Tappan Zee Bridge; is that
- 22 || right?
- 23 A. It looks like it, yes.
- 24 | Q. Okay. Okay. So a moment ago --
- MS. WOZENCROFT: We can take that down. Thank you.

- Q. A moment ago we discussed Dr. Shin, who is a doctor you saw after Dr. Hadden, right?
- 3 A. Yes. I saw him once.
- 4 Q. Okay. And you've seen other doctors associated with
- 5 | Columbia University in the course of your health care, right?
- 6 A. You mean like generally.
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. So without going into specifics, but for example,
- 10 you've seen an orthopedic surgeon at Columbia, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Okay. Dr. Tang?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And you've seen an orthopedist named Dr. Redler?
- 15 A. I think so, yeah.
- 16 Q. Okay. And those doctors also have offices in New York
- 17 | City, correct?
- 18 | A. I'm not sure. I don't remember where I saw them. I may
- 19 | have seen one in New Jersey or may -- I think I saw one of them
- 20 | actually in New Jersey, but maybe the other one -- I don't
- 21 remember, so --
- 22 | Q. Okay. Those doctors are associated with Columbia
- 23 University, right?
- 24 | A. Okay.
- 25 Q. Okay. I'm asking you.

- 1 \parallel A. Oh. I -- I think so, yes.
- Q. Okay. And when you would -- when you went to see Dr. Shin,
- 3 | you traveled through New Jersey to see Dr. Shin, correct?
- 4 A. I believe I saw him in Orangeburg, which is still New York.
- 5 I don't think that I saw him in the city. I think he had -- I
- 6 | remember it being in Orangeburg, which is New York, so I went
- 7 down the Palisades, so whatever exit Orangeburg is.
- 8 Q. Okay. If I can have one moment.
- 9 \parallel A. I think.
- 10 | THE COURT: Did you say you saw him one time?
- 11 | THE WITNESS: Yes. I met him in the course of my
- 12 | pregnancies, but he -- I went to visit him -- I had an
- 13 appointment with him after the last one with Hadden. So I
- 14 | think it was 2012.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And when you had your appointment with
- 16 | Dr. McCaffrey, you traveled through New Jersey to see
- 17 Dr. McCaffrey as well.
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 | THE WITNESS: Can I ask a question? No? Okay.
- 21 | Q. Now when you would have appointments with Robert Hadden,
- 22 | during those appointments, he would talk to you about more than
- 23 | medical information, right?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Okay. So you'd -- for lack of a better word, you'd have

- 1 small talk with him.
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Okay. So he might ask you about your family, right?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Or your children?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. By the way, you mentioned on direct, you said Robert
- 8 | Hadden didn't deliver either of your children, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. I assume that's because he was not on call when you went
- 11 | into labor, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Okay. So just for everyone's understanding, your
- 14 understanding was that Robert Hadden was part of a larger
- 15 OB-GYN practice, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And there are multiple doctors within that practice, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And they cover each other; they cover each other's patients
- 20 | if they go into labor, right?
- 21 A. However their practice works, but yeah.
- 22 | Q. Okay. So you understood that when you went into labor, if
- 23 Robert Hadden wasn't on call, one of his partners would be
- 24 available to deliver your child.
- 25 A. Well, I believe he told me on both occasions that he would

Stein - Cross

- 1 | not be there, or the first one he told me he was not going to
- 2 be there, I knew; and the second one, he came on call right
- 3 | after I delivered.
- 4 Q. Okay. So -- sorry -- getting back to small talk, so you
- 5 | said, you know, sometimes you would talk -- he would talk --
- 6 ask about your family or your children, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. And I think you mentioned on direct sometimes you'd talk
- 9 | about travel, right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Meaning your travel to his office.
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Okay. So you remember him saying things like, there was --
- 14 asking if there was traffic on the bridge --
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. -- right? Or he would ask if parking was hard.
- 17 A. I don't remember exactly what he asked, but I discussed
- 18 parking with him at some point.
- 19 | Q. Okay. It was part of sort of casual small talk as the exam
- 20 was going on.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. You don't -- as you sit here today, you can't tell us which
- 23 | appointments you had what small talk at, right?
- 24 | A. No.

25

Q. Okay. And just with regard to Defense Exhibit V, which we

- 1 | spoke about a moment ago, which was the New York route --
- $2 \parallel A. \text{Mm-hmm}.$
- 3 | Q. -- you'd agree with me that you still have to take a bridge
- 4 | to get into New York City in that route, right?
- 5 A. In that route, yeah.
- 6 Q. Okay. And that's another driving route, meaning you'd have
- 7 | to drive your car that route.
- 8 A. Or take a bus, yes, yeah.
- 9 Q. Or take a bus. And it would -- it could also involve
- 10 parking, for example.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Okay.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And as a result of the experiences you testified about
- 15 | today, you were part of a civil claim with regards to Robert
- 16 | Hadden, right?
- 17 A. With regards to Columbia, yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And you were compensated through that settlement,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 | A. I have not yet been compensated, but I will be.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And you expect to receive about \$2.5 million; is
- 22 | that right?
- 23 A. Approximately, yes.
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Okay. I have no other questions.
- 25 | Thank you.

Stein - Redirect

- 1 THE COURT: Great.
- 2 MS. KIM: Your Honor, could I just have one minute.
- 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MS. KIM:
- 5 Q. Ms. Stein, I'd like to direct your attention to what is in
- 6 | front of you and marked as Government Exhibit 906.
- 7 A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recognize what's depicted on this document?
- 9 | A. Yes.

- 10 Q. What is depicted in blue on this document?
- 11 A. This is the route I would have taken had I left Rockland
- 12 | County going over the Palisades -- going down the Palisades
- 13 Parkway over the George Washington Bridge into Manhattan.
- 14 | Q. And then in gray, do you see another route on this map?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Does this map -- is this map a fair and accurate depiction
- 17 | that shows the route that you would take from Rockland County
- 18 to appointments with Hadden in Manhattan in blue?
- 19 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
- 20 | Q. Yeah. Does this map fairly and accurately depict in blue
- 21 | the route that you would take from Rockland County into
- 22 | Manhattan for appointments with Hadden?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 MS. KIM: And your Honor, the government offers
- 25 Government Exhibit 906.

- 1 MS. WOZENCROFT: No objection.
- 2 | THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 3 (Government's Exhibit 906 received in evidence)
- MS. KIM: And we'd ask that the jurors be permitted to turn to Tab Government Exhibit 906.
- 6 THE COURT: Sure.
- 7 BY MS. KIM:
- 8 | Q. And do you see the route that's highlighted in blue?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Approximately how long is the estimate for driving time on
- 11 | that route?
- 12 A. It says here about 30 minutes.
- 13 Q. And the route that's highlighted in gray, approximately how
- 14 | long is the driving time there?
- 15 A. Here it says 35 minutes.
- 16 Q. And then what about the gray on the right? The route
- 17 | that's in gray on the right.
- 18 A. It says 35 minutes.
- 19 Q. Do you see a box on the right side of the map?
- 20 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm looking at the wrong thing. Over
- 21 there, it says between 35 and 45 minutes.
- 22 | Q. Okay. We can set that exhibit to the side.
- 23 When you talked to Hadden at appointments, you
- 24 | testified on direct examination that you talked to him about
- 25 | the George Washington Bridge; is that right?

Stein - Redirect

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Did you talk specifically about the George Washington
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Not the Tappan Zee Bridge, right?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 | Q. And that's because the route that you took into Manhattan
- 8 | for appointments with Hadden was over the George Washington
- 9 | Bridge; is that right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection, leading.
- 12 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 13 | Q. Growing up, Ms. Stein -- sorry. Taking a step back.
- 14 Ms. Stein, approximately when did you start living in
- 15 | Rockland County?
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection. Beyond the scope.
- 17 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 18 A. About 1983.
- 19 Q. When did you stop, approximately, living in Rockland
- 20 | County?
- 21 A. About 2017.
- 22 | Q. So for approximately how many years did you live in
- 23 | Rockland County?
- 24 | A. I think it's 34 years.
- 25 | Q. And growing up, did your family drive into Manhattan from

Stein - Redirect

- 1 | Rockland County?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 5 Q. When you would drive into Manhattan with your family from
- 6 Rockland County, what route did you take?
- 7 A. Down the Palisades Parkway.
- 8 Q. Approximately how many times did you take that route down
- 9 | the Palisades Parkway with your family?
- 10 A. Probably almost all the time.
- 11 | Q. And --
- 12 A. Almost all the time.
- 13 Q. And in that route down the Palisades Parkway into
- 14 | Manhattan, how did you cross over the Hudson River, if at all?
- 15 A. We went over the George Washington Bridge.
- 16 | Q. As an adult, how many times have you driven into Manhattan
- 17 | from Rockland County?
- 18 A. Countless times.
- 19 | Q. What route do you take?
- 20 | A. I take -- I would have taken -- going down the Palisades
- 21 Parkway is always the primary, the quickest; and then at one
- 22 point where I was not so confident on the road, I would take
- 23 | the Route 17-Route 4 way.
- Q. And do you need a map to take those routes?
- 25 A. No.

Stein - Redirect

- 1 \square Q. Why not?
- 2 A. Because I've gone many, many times. I know how to get
- 3 | there.
- 4 Q. When you were a patient of Hadden, did you use a map to
- 5 drive into Manhattan --
- 6 | A. No.
- 7 | Q. -- from Rockland County?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 | Q. Did you use a GPS?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. While you were a patient of Hadden, if you had to drive to
- 12 | an appointment, and you had had to take the Tappan Zee Bridge,
- 13 would you even know where to go?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. During appointments with Hadden, did there come a time when
- 16 | you learned where Hadden lived?
- 17 MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 A. Yeah. In the course of some of our conversations, he had
- 20 | mentioned that he lives -- somewhere in Bergen County.
- 21 | Q. I'm sorry. What was that?
- 22 | A. I'm sorry. Somewhere in Bergen County, New Jersey.
- 23 Q. You were asked on cross-examination about a civil suit. Do
- 24 | you remember that?
- 25 A. Yes.

Stein - Recross

- 1 | Q. What is the status of that matter?
- 2 A. It's -- it's finished but at the -- the -- the settlement
- 3 has not been disbursed yet.
- 4 | Q. And again, how much money do you expect to receive in
- 5 connection with that case?
- 6 A. Approximately \$2½ million.
- 7 | Q. Is that the gross amount?
- 8 A. No, that's the -- after all the -- the fees.
- 9 \ Q. And what is the gross amount?
- 10 A. A little over \$4 million.
- 11 | Q. Will the outcome of this trial in any way change your
- 12 settlement?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. Ms. Stein, would you give all of that money back if it
- 15 meant you had never met Hadden?
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Objection.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 The answer is yes.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 21 MS. KIM: Nothing further, your Honor.
- MS. WOZENCROFT: Just briefly?
- 23 THE COURT: Yes.
- 24 | RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:

Stein - Recross

- Q. Ms. Stein, in preparing in connection to this case, you met with the government several times, correct?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Okay. Sometimes it was over -- it was virtual, it was over
- 5 | the web?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- Q. And when you met with the government, your civil lawyer
- 8 appeared with you in those meetings, right?
- 9 A. Only a couple in the beginning.
- 10 | Q. Okay. He's the one who connected you with the government.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. His name is Anthony DiPietro.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you understood that he was communicating to the
- 15 government at times on your behalf.
- 16 A. I don't know if he was -- I don't really understand what
- 17 | you're trying -- I don't really understand the question. What
- 18 do you mean?
- 19 Q. He represents you, correct, in the civil -- he represented
- 20 you in the civil case.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And you understood that he sometimes would have
- 23 conversations with the government on your behalf.
- 24 | A. I think it was more like he asked me if I wanted to be part
- of this case and so he kind of transitioned me over to them. I

don't know about conversations he had with them without me. Ιf he asked my permission, he got my permission; if he didn't, he didn't. Q. He sometimes asked you about information --MS. KIM: Objection. Privileged. THE COURT: Ask the question and then we'll know.

Q. He sometimes asked you information related to what the government needed from you?

MS. KIM: Objection. Privileged.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. WOZENCROFT: Your Honor, can we have a sidebar?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Continued on next page)

Stein - Recross

1 (At the sidebar) 2 MS. WOZENCROFT: I can make a record. 3 Your Honor, I have Ms. Stein's 3500 material, which 4 includes an email from Anthony DiPietro to the government. And 5 it states the following: "So I asked if she has any 6 recollection of specifically having conversations with him 7 about the fact that she was coming to see him through New Jersey. She wanted to sit with it and she'd report back, and 8 9 wrote back saying, 'Yes, I'd say we did have those 10 conversations." 11 The government has now elicited, both on direct but at length on redirect, that she is positive, as she sits here, 12 13 that she had conversations about the GW Bridge and about how 14 she traveled, and it's important for us to be able to bring out 15 that she was not sure or she was equivocal about whether or not those conversations occurred back in 2020. 16 17 THE COURT: And you're trying to elicit that by a conversation between her lawyer in the civil case and --18 MS. WOZENCROFT: It's an email from her lawyer. 19 20 THE COURT: I'm not going to allow it. I'm not going 21 to allow it. 22 MS. WOZENCROFT: If I don't seek to move that document 23 in, I'm going to ask the question. She can say I don't know. 24 THE COURT: You're on thin ice there because this has

come up already, the relationship --

MS. WOZENCROFT: Certainly.

THE COURT: -- with respect to quashing that subpoena, that in part, at least, that it's attorney-client privilege, and I'm not going to encourage that, and I'm not going to do it here either.

Stein - Recross

MS. WOZENCROFT: I understand. And just for the record, the one thing I will add is that my understanding is that the part of the government's opposition in the motion to quash, or filing of the motion to quash, was that this information can be obtained without Mr. DiPietro from the actual patient or witness, so that's what we're attempting to do.

THE COURT: I don't exactly remember, but I'm not going to allow it.

MS. WOZENCROFT: Okay.

MS. KIM: We'll also just note for the record that my understanding is that questions were asked of the witness of whether or not she knew about conversations — is that right? — between the government and Mr. DiPietro. I think those questions were already asked of her, so I think — and her response was she didn't know.

THE COURT: She said when she was introduced, he was her in-between as her lawyer. That's enough.

MS. WOZENCROFT: Okay.

1 (In open court)

2 MS. WOZENCROFT: Just very briefly.

- 3 BY MS. WOZENCROFT:
- 4 Q. On redirect, you were asked about what is now Government
- 5 Exhibit in evidence 906.
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And that's a map that shows -- depicts two different routes
- 8 | traveling from Rockland County to New York City, correct?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And there were time allotments on those maps -- on that
- 11 map, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And just like we spoke about before, travel time for any
- 14 particular route can be affected by traffic patterns, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- MS. WOZENCROFT: No further questions.
- 17 THE COURT: Great.
- 18 MS. KIM: Nothing from the government, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Thanks. We'll excuse the witness. Thanks
- 20 very much.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 22 (Witness excused)
- 23 | THE COURT: Are there any other government witnesses?
- 24 MS. KIM: I think that's it for today, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Oh, great.

Okay. So that is it for today. But let me just give you a heads up what I think is likely to happen. I think that the presentation of testimony by both sides should be finished on Friday. So if that schedule holds, we would then send you home for the weekend, and Monday, next week, is a holiday here, so we would ask you to come back on Tuesday, at which time you'd have the closings.

You're planning to do the closings on Tuesday, right?
MS. KIM: Tuesday morning.

THE COURT: We'd have the closing arguments from both sides and you would have me talking about with you the jury instructions, and then you'd go back into the jury room to deliberate.

So bottom line is, if that schedule holds, we're ahead of the game, so to speak. Originally I said three weeks and then we said perhaps closer to two. Could be even earlier than that, sometime in the second week. So I think that's good news.

So anyway, thanks, everybody. You'll remember my instructions for you not to talk about the case, etc., etc., so I won't go into all of them again today. But I'll see you at 9:00 tomorrow morning. Everybody? Okay. All right. See you then.

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: I think that's it. Good to see you all.

```
N1B1HAD4
      I don't think you have to come early tomorrow. Oh, yes, you
1
2
      do.
3
               MS. KIM: We have the briefing tonight. Yes.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. See you at 8:30.
5
               MS. KIM: Thank you, your Honor.
               (Adjourned to January 12, 2023, at 9:00 a.m.)
6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	EMILY ANDERSON
4	Direct By Ms. Kim 581
5	Cross By Ms. Wozencroft 594
6	Redirect By Ms. Kim 612
7	KEYVAN GABBAY
8	Direct By Ms. Kim 616
9	Cross By Ms. Wozencroft 637
10	LISA M. ROCCHIO PhD
11	Direct By Mr. Monteleoni 650
12	ROSALINA LOZADA
13	Direct By Mr. Monteleoni 692
14	Cross By Ms. Von Dornum 705
15	SARA STEIN
16	Direct By Ms. Kim
17	Cross By Ms. Wozencroft 749
18	Redirect By Ms. Kim 764
19	Recross By Ms. Wozencroft 769
20	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
21	Exhibit No. Received
22	12A
23	103A
24	103
25	902

1	903
2	801A
3	801B
4	906
5	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
6	Exhibit No. Received
7	HH and II 599
8	V
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	